GSC Advanced Research and Reviews

eISSN: 2582-4597 CODEN (USA): GARRC2

Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/gscarr

Journal homepage: https://gsconlinepress.com/journals/gscarr/

(Review Article)

Check for updates

Incorporating sustainable engineering practices into supply chain management for environmental impact reduction

Uzoma Okwudili Nnaji [1, *], Lucky Bamidele Benjamin [2], Nsisong Louis Eyo-Udo [3] and Emmanuel Augustine Etukudoh [4]

[1] *Livingstone Integrated Technology Limited, Lagos, Nigeria.*
[2] *Independent Researcher, London, United Kingdom.*
[3] *Ulster University, United Kingdom.*
[4] *Independent Researcher, Abuja, Nigeria.*

GSC Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 19(02), 138–143

Publication history: Received on 01 April 2024; revised on 07 May 2024; accepted on 10 May 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/gscarr.2024.19.2.0177

Abstract

This paper explores the imperative integration of sustainable engineering practices into Supply Chain Management (SCM) as a vital strategy for reducing environmental impact. It delves into the multifaceted challenges hindering this integration, including economic constraints, regulatory and compliance issues, technological and infrastructure limitations, and cultural and organizational barriers. Conversely, it outlines strategic approaches for overcoming these obstacles, emphasizing policy and incentive mechanisms, collaboration and partnerships, technology and innovation, and education and awareness. The review highlights the significant potential of sustainable practices to transform SCM, contributing to environmental sustainability, economic efficiency, and social equity.

Keywords: Sustainable Engineering; Supply Chain Management; Environmental Impact; Sustainability Challenges; Integration Strategies

1. Introduction

Sustainability has transitioned from a mere buzzword to a critical operational and strategic imperative in the contemporary global business landscape. The pressing challenges of climate change, resource depletion, and environmental degradation demand a reevaluation of traditional business practices towards more sustainable models. Supply Chain Management (SCM), the backbone of global trade, logistics, and production, emerges as a pivotal arena for implementing sustainability (Lehmacher, 2017; Ponte, 2019). The intricate web of sourcing, manufacturing, distribution, and disposal encompasses numerous opportunities to integrate sustainable practices that significantly contribute to environmental conservation, social responsibility, and economic viability. Recognizing the vital role of SCM in achieving sustainability goals underscores the necessity to adopt innovative and environmentally friendly practices within supply chains (Fabbe-Costes, Roussat, Taylor, & Taylor, 2014; Raut, Narkhede, & Gardas, 2017; Sauer & Seuring, 2017).

Despite the growing consensus on the importance of sustainability in SCM, integrating sustainable engineering practices into the supply chain presents a multifaceted challenge. Sustainable engineering focuses on designing products, processes, and systems to reduce environmental impacts and conserve resources for future generations. However, embedding these practices into SCM involves navigating complex trade-offs between economic performance, environmental stewardship, and social equity. The challenges include overcoming technological barriers, managing costs, ensuring regulatory compliance, and aligning the interests of diverse stakeholders. Conversely, this integration

* Corresponding author: Uzoma Okwudili Nnaji

offers substantial opportunities to enhance efficiency, foster innovation, reduce waste and emissions, and build resilience against environmental risks. Thus, exploring the dynamic interplay between the challenges and opportunities of incorporating sustainable engineering into SCM is crucial for the transition towards more sustainable supply chains (Ahmed, Senthilkumar, & Nallusamy, 2018; Al-Odeh & Smallwood, 2012; Azevedo, Carvalho, Duarte, & Cruz-Machado, 2012).

The primary aim of this paper is to delineate the pathways for incorporating sustainable engineering practices into SCM, with the ultimate goal of mitigating environmental impacts and promoting sustainability. Specifically, the objectives include:

- To explore strategies within SCM that lower greenhouse gas emissions through energy efficiency, renewable energy adoption, and optimized logistics.
- To identify practices that reduce waste generation through materials recycling, remanufacturing, and the circular economy principles.
- To examine methods to enhance resource use efficiency, including water, energy, and raw materials, through sustainable sourcing and lean manufacturing.
- To investigate approaches that extend product lifecycles through sustainable design, durability, and ease of repair, thereby reducing the environmental impact on the product's life.

This paper focuses on the integration of sustainable engineering practices into SCM, highlighting their relevance and potential to foster environmental sustainability. It examines various practices, from green procurement and eco-friendly manufacturing processes to sustainable logistics and product end-of-life management. The discussion emphasizes the environmental impacts of these practices, including reductions in emissions, waste, and resource depletion. While acknowledging the complexities and challenges involved, the paper aims to provide a comprehensive overview of how sustainable engineering can be effectively incorporated into SCM, thereby contributing to the broader sustainability agenda. Through this exploration, the paper offers valuable insights for businesses, policymakers, and researchers seeking to advance sustainability within global supply chains.

2. Theoretical Framework

2.1. Sustainability in SCM

Sustainability in Supply Chain Management is the management of material, information, and capital flows and cooperation among companies along the supply chain while considering goals from all three dimensions of sustainable development—economic, environmental, and social— (Beske & Seuring, 2014; Lee, 2015; Panigrahi, Bahinipati, & Jain, 2019). This includes considering the impacts on the environment and society across the entire lifecycle of a product, from raw materials sourcing to end-of-life disposal. The concept has evolved beyond a mere ethical choice to become a strategic necessity in response to increasing environmental concerns, regulatory pressures, consumer demand for responsible products, and the realization of potential economic benefits.

Relevant theories supporting sustainability integration into SCM include the Triple Bottom Line (TBL) theory, which proposes that companies should commit to focusing on social and environmental concerns just as they do on profits, emphasizing the equal importance of people, planet, and profit (Laosirihongthong, Samaranayake, Nagalingam, & Adebanjo, 2020). The Resource-Based View (RBV) theory also provides a framework, suggesting that leveraging unique organizational resources can lead to a competitive advantage, where sustainable practices are seen as valuable resources. Furthermore, the theory of Sustainable Supply Chain Network Design emphasizes the importance of designing supply chains that are robust, resilient, and capable of sustaining economic, environmental, and social goals in the long run (Lin & Wu, 2014; Madhani, 2010; Srivastava, Fahey, & Christensen, 2001).

2.2. Sustainable Engineering Practices

Sustainable engineering practices are crucial in transforming SCM towards sustainability. These practices are designed to minimize environmental damage and use resources efficiently throughout the supply chain. Key practices include (Babawurun, Ewim, Scott, & Neye-Akogo, 2023; Ewim, Abolarin, Scott, & Anyanwu, 2023; Field & Sroufe, 2007; Oyedele, Ajayi, & Kadiri, 2014; Rehman & Shrivastava, 2013; Schimmoller, 2000):

- Green Manufacturing: This involves developing and adopting manufacturing processes that reduce waste and pollution. Techniques such as closed-loop recycling, reprocessing waste materials into new products, and using environmentally friendly materials are paramount.

- Renewable Energy Utilization: Incorporating renewable energy sources, such as solar, wind, and hydro, into the supply chain operations reduces dependence on fossil fuels and lowers greenhouse gas emissions. This transition also includes the electrification of transportation and the use of biofuels.
- Material Recycling and Use of Recycled Materials: Encouraging the use of recycled materials in production and ensuring products at the end of their lifecycle are recyclable contribute significantly to reducing the demand for virgin raw materials and lowering the environmental impact associated with material extraction and processing.
- Sustainable Logistics: This encompasses optimizing logistics and distribution routes to reduce fuel consumption and emissions, using eco-friendly packaging materials, and adopting shared transportation modes to increase efficiency.

2.3. Environmental Impact Reduction

The adoption of sustainable engineering practices within SCM has a profound impact on environmental conservation. Companies can significantly reduce waste and emissions by implementing green manufacturing techniques, contributing to cleaner air and water. Renewable energy utilization directly addresses the challenge of reducing greenhouse gas emissions, a critical factor in combating climate change. Furthermore, material recycling conserves resources and reduces the energy required for material processing, leading to lower carbon footprints.

Sustainable logistics practices are crucial in minimizing the environmental impacts of transporting goods. Optimizing routes and leveraging more efficient modes of transportation can significantly reduce fuel consumption and emissions. Additionally, the use of eco-friendly packaging reduces waste and pollution (Dekker, Bloemhof, & Mallidis, 2012; McKinnon, Browne, Whiteing, & Piecyk, 2015). Overall, integrating sustainable engineering practices into SCM promotes the efficient use of resources, minimizes environmental degradation, and supports the long-term viability of ecosystems. This holistic approach aligns with the broader objectives of sustainable development, ensuring that supply chain operations contribute positively to the environment and society.

3. Challenges and Barriers

3.1. Economic Constraints

Economic constraints often challenge integrating sustainable practices within Supply Chain Management. The initial cost of adopting sustainable technologies and practices can be high, encompassing investments in renewable energy sources, eco-friendly materials, and redesigning processes and products. Such upfront costs can deter businesses, particularly small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), due to limited financial resources and the pressure to deliver short-term financial returns to stakeholders (Vidal & Croom, 2018). Additionally, the market's willingness to pay a premium for sustainable products is variable, making the economic viability of such investments uncertain. The challenge is further compounded by the global nature of supply chains, where economic incentives for sustainability may vary significantly across different regions, affecting the uniform adoption of sustainable practices (Gutsche & Ziegler, 2019; Wei, Ang, & Jancenelle, 2018).

3.2. Regulatory and Compliance Issues

The regulatory landscape for sustainability in SCM is complex and fragmented, varying widely by country and industry. Companies often face challenges in navigating this landscape, which includes a myriad of international, national, and local regulations related to environmental protection, labor rights, and ethical sourcing. Compliance with these regulations requires a thorough understanding of legal requirements, rigorous monitoring of supply chain practices, and, often, significant changes to procurement, production, and distribution processes. The dynamic nature of regulatory environments, with frequently changing standards and new legislation, adds complexity. Non-compliance poses legal risks and can damage a company's reputation, leading to lost customer trust and market share.

3.3. Technological and Infrastructure Limitations

Integrating sustainable engineering practices into SCM relies heavily on technology and infrastructure, which can present significant challenges. In many regions, especially developing countries, the necessary infrastructure for renewable energy, recycling facilities, and sustainable logistics is inadequate or non-existent. This lack of infrastructure hampers the ability of companies to implement sustainable practices across their supply chains. Moreover, the rapid pace of technological change can render investments in certain technologies obsolete within a short period, discouraging companies from making the necessary investments. There is also a notable gap in technological readiness and adoption between different stakeholders in the supply chain, making achieving a seamless integration of sustainable practices difficult (Eggers & Park, 2018; Lambe & Spekman, 1997).

3.4. Cultural and Organizational Barriers

The successful adoption of sustainable practices within SCM is deeply influenced by organizational culture and the willingness of individuals and groups to embrace change. Resistance to change is a common phenomenon in organizations, driven by inertia, fear of the unknown, and satisfaction with the status quo. This resistance can be particularly pronounced regarding sustainability initiatives, which often require significant changes in workflows, roles, and responsibilities. Additionally, prioritizing short-term financial goals over long-term sustainability objectives can create a cultural barrier to adopting sustainable practices. Overcoming these barriers requires strong leadership, a clear vision for sustainability, and the active engagement and empowerment of employees at all levels of the organization (Atadoga et al., 2024; Ohene, Chan, & Darko, 2022; Oyewole, Okoye, Ofodile, & Ejairu, 2024).

4. Strategies for Integration

4.1. Policy and Incentive Mechanisms

Governments and industry bodies can implement various policies and incentive mechanisms to motivate companies to adopt sustainable practices. Financial incentives such as tax breaks, subsidies for renewable energy use, and grants for research and development in sustainable technologies can lower the economic barriers to sustainability initiatives. Regulatory measures, including mandatory sustainability reporting and compliance with international sustainability standards, can set a baseline for corporate behavior. Moreover, introducing carbon pricing mechanisms, such as carbon taxes or cap-and-trade systems, makes the environmental impact of business operations a direct financial consideration, thereby encouraging companies to adopt greener practices. Policy frameworks should also encourage or mandate extended producer responsibility, ensuring that companies are accountable for the entire lifecycle of their products, from design to disposal.

4.2. Collaboration and Partnerships

The complexity of global supply chains means no single entity can achieve sustainability alone. Collaboration among stakeholders—businesses, governments, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and academia—is essential for sharing knowledge, best practices, innovations, and technologies. Such partnerships can facilitate the development of industry-wide standards and certifications that promote sustainability. Collaborative initiatives, like joint investments in renewable energy projects or shared logistics platforms, can reduce costs and increase the efficiency of sustainability efforts. Additionally, engaging with local communities and suppliers, especially in developing countries, can ensure the inclusivity and equity of sustainability initiatives, strengthening social sustainability along the supply chain.

4.3. Technology and Innovation

Advancements in technology and innovation are key enablers for integrating sustainable engineering practices into SCM. Digital technologies like the Internet of Things (IoT), blockchain, and artificial intelligence (AI) can improve supply chains' transparency, traceability, and efficiency. For example, IoT devices can monitor and optimize energy use in real-time. At the same time, blockchain can provide an immutable record of sustainable sourcing. Innovations in material science, such as the development of biodegradable materials or more efficient recycling processes, can significantly reduce the environmental impact of products. Moreover, digital platforms can facilitate sharing of resources and capabilities among companies, promoting a more circular economy. Encouraging investment in R&D and fostering an ecosystem that supports innovation in sustainability technologies are crucial for advancing sustainable SCM.

4.4. Education and Awareness

Building a culture of sustainability within organizations and across the supply chain requires ongoing education and awareness efforts. Training programs for employees at all levels can enhance understanding of the importance of sustainability and how individual actions contribute to broader goals. Education initiatives can also extend to suppliers and partners, ensuring they have the knowledge and skills to implement sustainable practices. Raising awareness among consumers about the environmental and social impacts of their purchasing decisions can drive demand for sustainable products, incentivizing companies to prioritize sustainability in their supply chains. Partnerships with educational institutions can develop future leaders with the skills and values to advance sustainability in SCM.

Integrating sustainable engineering practices into SCM is a multifaceted challenge that requires a coordinated effort across policy, collaboration, technology, and education. By addressing these areas strategically, companies can overcome barriers to sustainability, creating supply chains that are more efficient and resilient and aligned with the principles of environmental stewardship and social responsibility.

5. Conclusion

This paper has explored the integration of sustainable engineering practices into Supply Chain Management as a pivotal strategy for reducing environmental impact and advancing sustainability. It highlighted the theoretical underpinnings of sustainability in SCM, reviewed essential sustainable engineering practices, and examined their role in environmental impact reduction. The challenges and barriers to integration were analyzed, including economic constraints, regulatory issues, technological and infrastructure limitations, and cultural and organizational hurdles. Strategies for overcoming these barriers were proposed, such as policy and incentive mechanisms, collaboration and partnerships, advancements in technology and innovation, and education and awareness.

The integration of sustainable engineering practices into SCM has significant implications for businesses, policymakers, and society. For businesses, it represents an opportunity to enhance efficiency, foster innovation, and build resilience while meeting the growing consumer demand for sustainable products. Policymakers are crucial in creating an enabling environment through relevant policies and incentives. For society, adopting sustainable practices in SCM contributes to environmental preservation, social equity, and economic viability, aligning with sustainable development goals.

Future Research Directions

Future research should aim to fill the gaps identified in this paper. Detailed case studies and empirical research could provide valuable insights into the practical challenges and successes of integrating sustainable practices in various industries and geographical contexts. Investigating the long-term economic impacts of sustainability initiatives in SCM, including cost-benefit analyses, could further justify investments in sustainable practices. Additionally, exploring the role of emerging technologies and innovations in enhancing sustainability in SCM offers a promising area for research. Finally, studies focusing on sustainability adoption's human and organizational aspects could yield strategies for overcoming cultural and behavioral barriers.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Ahmed, A. K., Senthilkumar, C., & Nallusamy, S. (2018). Study on environmental impact through analysis of big data for sustainable and green supply chain management. *International Journal of Mechanical and Production Engineering Research and Development, 8*(1), 1245-1254.

[2] Al-Odeh, M., & Smallwood, J. (2012). Sustainable supply chain management: Literature review, trends, and framework. *International Journal of Computational Engineering & Management, 15*(1), 85-90.

[3] Atadoga, A., Awonuga, K. F., Ibeh, C. V., Ike, C. U., Olu-lawal, K. A., & Usman, F. O. (2024). Harnessing data analytics for sustainable business growth in the us renewable energy sector. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5*(2), 460-470.

[4] Azevedo, S. G., Carvalho, H., Duarte, S., & Cruz-Machado, V. (2012). Influence of green and lean upstream supply chain management practices on business sustainability. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management, 59*(4), 753-765.

[5] Babawurun, T., Ewim, D. R. E., Scott, T. O., & Neye-Akogo, C. (2023). A comprehensive review of wind turbine modeling for addressing energy challenges in Nigeria and South Africa in the 4IR Context. *The Journal of Engineering and Exact Sciences, 9*(2), 15479-15401e.

[6] Beske, P., & Seuring, S. (2014). Putting sustainability into supply chain management. *Supply Chain Management: an international journal, 19*(3), 322-331.

[7] Dekker, R., Bloemhof, J., & Mallidis, I. (2012). Operations Research for green logistics–An overview of aspects, issues, contributions and challenges. *European journal of operational research, 219*(3), 671-679.

[8] Eggers, J., & Park, K. F. (2018). Incumbent adaptation to technological change: The past, present, and future of research on heterogeneous incumbent response. *Academy of Management Annals, 12*(1), 357-389.

[9] Ewim, D. R. E., Abolarin, S. M., Scott, T. O., & Anyanwu, C. S. (2023). A survey on the understanding and viewpoints of renewable energy among South African school students. *The Journal of Engineering and Exact Sciences, 9*(2), 15375-15301e.

[10] Fabbe-Costes, N., Roussat, C., Taylor, M., & Taylor, A. (2014). Sustainable supply chains: a framework for environmental scanning practices. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 34*(5), 664-694.

[11] Field, J. M., & Sroufe, R. P. (2007). The use of recycled materials in manufacturing: implications for supply chain management and operations strategy. *International Journal of Production Research, 45*(18-19), 4439-4463.

[12] Gutsche, G., & Ziegler, A. (2019). Which private investors are willing to pay for sustainable investments? Empirical evidence from stated choice experiments. *Journal of Banking & Finance, 102*, 193-214.

[13] Lambe, C. J., & Spekman, R. E. (1997). Alliances, external technology acquisition, and discontinuous technological change. *Journal of Product Innovation Management: AN International Publication of the Product Development & Management Association, 14*(2), 102-116.

[14] Laosirihongthong, T., Samaranayake, P., Nagalingam, S. V., & Adebanjo, D. (2020). Prioritization of sustainable supply chain practices with triple bottom line and organizational theories: industry and academic perspectives. *Production Planning & Control, 31*(14), 1207-1221.

[15] Lee, S.-Y. (2015). The effects of green supply chain management on the supplier's performance through social capital accumulation. *Supply Chain Management: an international journal, 20*(1), 42-55.

[16] Lehmacher, W. (2017). *The global supply chain*: Springer.

[17] Lin, Y., & Wu, L.-Y. (2014). Exploring the role of dynamic capabilities in firm performance under the resource-based view framework. *Journal of business research, 67*(3), 407-413.

[18] Madhani, P. M. (2010). Resource based view (RBV) of competitive advantage: an overview. *Resource based view: concepts and practices, Pankaj Madhani, ed*, 3-22.

[19] McKinnon, A., Browne, M., Whiteing, A., & Piecyk, M. (2015). *Green logistics: Improving the environmental sustainability of logistics*: Kogan Page Publishers.

[20] Ohene, E., Chan, A. P., & Darko, A. (2022). Prioritizing barriers and developing mitigation strategies toward net-zero carbon building sector. *Building and Environment, 223*, 109437.

[21] Oyedele, L. O., Ajayi, S. O., & Kadiri, K. O. (2014). Use of recycled products in UK construction industry: An empirical investigation into critical impediments and strategies for improvement. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 93*, 23-31.

[22] Oyewole, A. T., Okoye, C. C., Ofodile, O. C., & Ejairu, E. (2024). Reviewing predictive analytics in supply chain management: Applications and benefits. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 21*(3), 568-574.

[23] Panigrahi, S. S., Bahinipati, B., & Jain, V. (2019). Sustainable supply chain management: A review of literature and implications for future research. *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal, 30*(5), 1001-1049.

[24] Ponte, S. (2019). Business, power and sustainability in a world of global value chains.

[25] Raut, R. D., Narkhede, B., & Gardas, B. B. (2017). To identify the critical success factors of sustainable supply chain management practices in the context of oil and gas industries: ISM approach. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 68*, 33-47.

[26] Rehman, M. A., & Shrivastava, R. (2013). Green manufacturing (GM): past, present and future (a state of art review). *World Review of Science, Technology and Sustainable Development, 10*(1-2-3), 17-55.

[27] Sauer, P. C., & Seuring, S. (2017). Sustainable supply chain management for minerals. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 151*, 235-249.

[28] Schimmoller, V. E. (2000). *Recycled materials in European highway environments uses, technologies, and policies*: DIANE Publishing.

[29] Srivastava, R. K., Fahey, L., & Christensen, H. K. (2001). The resource-based view and marketing: The role of market-based assets in gaining competitive advantage. *Journal of management, 27*(6), 777-802.

[30] Vidal, N. G., & Croom, S. (2018). Integrating sustainable practices within supply chain management: A systems perspective. *BioProducts Business*, 92-106.

[31] Wei, S., Ang, T., & Jancenelle, V. E. (2018). Willingness to pay more for green products: The interplay of consumer characteristics and customer participation. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 45*, 230-238.