



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



## Colorectal cancer screening and prevention: A brief review of the current guidelines and modalities

Ashan T Hatharasinghe <sup>1, \*</sup>, Ike R Ogbu <sup>2</sup>, Abdul G Gheriani <sup>2</sup>, George A Trad <sup>3</sup> and Andre E Manov <sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> PGY3, Department of Internal Medicine Sunrise Health GME Consortium 2880 N Tenaya Way 2nd Floor Las Vegas, NV, USA.

<sup>2</sup> PGY2, Department of Internal Medicine Sunrise Health GME Consortium Las Vegas, NV, USA.

<sup>3</sup> PGY1, Department of Internal Medicine Sunrise Health GME Consortium Las Vegas, NV, USA.

<sup>4</sup> Associate Program Director, Department of Internal Medicine Sunrise Health GME Consortium Las Vegas, NV, USA.

GSC Advanced Research and Reviews, 2021, 07(02), 097–103

Publication history: Received on 09 April 2021; revised on 12 May 2021; accepted on 15 May 2021

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/gscarr.2021.7.2.0106>

### Abstract

Colorectal cancer (CRC) remains a frequently addressed topic in primary care. Recent studies have been published detailing modifiable risk factors for CRC, as well as preventative measures. Providers must be up to date on screening recommendations and modalities. Colonoscopy is the preferred method of screening for CRC, and the screening recommendations in the United States were recently updated in 2020. It is also common for the practitioner to encounter patients who refuse colonoscopy but are willing to undergo alternative methods of testing. The COVID pandemic has also placed a burden on hospital resources, and colonoscopy may not be logistically feasible in some healthcare settings. Therefore, awareness of the guidelines for the various alternative modalities, along with their respective guidelines for frequency of screening is critical. This article provides a brief review of the risk factors associated with colon cancer, the screening modalities (including colonoscopy, sigmoidoscopy, CT colonography, fecal immunohistochemical testing (FIT), guaiac-based fecal occult blood testing (gFOBT), multi target stool DNA testing (MTs-DNA), and others) and the most recent screening recommendations for the general population.

**Keywords:** Colorectal cancer; Screening; Methods; Modalities; Guidelines

### 1. Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) screening is a mainstay of primary care in the United States. The implementation of routine diagnostic screening has led to a decrease in the number of deaths from colon cancer especially in older populations due to early detection [1, 2]. CRC is the fourth largest cause of cancer-related deaths in the United States, behind lung, breast, and prostate cancers [3]. The incidence worldwide is similar across gender, however females above the age of 65 have higher mortality rates compared to aged-matched men [4]. Most cases are isolated in nature with no prior family history, however the hereditary component has been estimated to be as high as 30%, and some studies are showing an alarming increase in the rate of early-onset CRC (before years of age 50) [1,5]. The screening guidelines for colorectal cancer have also been updated most recently in 2020 by the United States Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) with a “draft recommendation” for initiating CRC screening at age 45 rather than the previously advised age 50 [6]. Although colonoscopy has become a much more convenient procedure to perform and to undergo, with many colonoscopies being performed as outpatient, it is common for the practitioner to encounter patients who refuse colonoscopy but are willing to undergo alternative methods of testing. Recent studies have been published detailing modifiable risk factors for CRC, as well as preventative measures other than colonoscopy. Therefore, it is of utmost importance to be up to date on both the guidelines for screening colonoscopy and the various alternative modalities,

\* Corresponding author: Ashan T Hatharasinghe  
PGY3, Department of Internal Medicine Sunrise Health GME Consortium 2880 N Tenaya Way 2nd Floor Las Vegas, NV, USA.

along with their respective guidelines for frequency of screening. This article discusses the risk factors associated with colon cancer, the screening modalities, and the most recent screening recommendations for both the general population and high-risk populations.

---

## 2. Risk Factors and Prevention

There are several lifetime risk-factors for CRC that range from demographic, genetic, behavioral, and environmental factors. The non-modifiable risk factors showing a positive association include age 50 and older, male gender, black race, first-degree relative or personal history of colonic adenomatous polyps or colon cancer, familial adenomatous polyposis, Lynch syndrome, Peutz- Jeghers syndrome, MUTYH-associated polyposis, abdominopelvic radiation, cystic fibrosis, diabetes and presence of ulcerative pan colitis or Crohn's disease for more than eight years or left sided ulcerative colitis for fifteen years. Modifiable risk factors associated with higher CRC risk include diet (high red and processed meat, low fruit and vegetable intake), alcohol and tobacco use, obesity and sedentary lifestyle [7].

Low-dose aspirin (81 mg) has been shown to reduce CRC risk in patients without previously diagnosed CRC in multiple studies and is thought to do so by preventing micro-metastases [8-10]. Aspirin at a higher dosage of 600 mg daily, has also been found to reduce the risk of CRC in patients with Lynch syndrome [11]. The American College of Gastroenterology and the USPSTF both advise that patients aged 50-69 years old with a 10% or higher 10-year risk of cardiovascular disease take daily low dose aspirin as a preventive measure for CRC [12, 13].

Vitamin D has also been shown to reduce the risk of CRC. Several studies have supported this phenomenon, and vitamin D is thought to provide reduction via the prevention and regulation of cell proliferation in the intestinal epithelium [14,15]. One study found the optimal 25-hydroxy vitamin D level to be 75-100 nmol/L, however there are no current society recommendations with regards to vitamin D supplementation for the prevention of colon cancer [16].

Of note, whole grains, weight loss, and regular physical exercise have also been shown to decrease the risk for CRC.

---

## 3. Screening Methods

Colonoscopy is the most preferred method of CRC screening due to the high sensitivity and detection rate. Evidence has also shown it is associated with reduced mortality (up to 68%) and CRC incidence [17]. It is also the most invasive method of screening and must be performed by a trained endoscopist. An overwhelming majority of colonoscopies are performed in the outpatient setting which can be convenient to both the endoscopist and the patient [18]. The main risk is perforation which has multiple causes including direct trauma from the endoscope, barotrauma from insufflation, perforation via tissue biopsy or removal of the tissue itself through cold or hot snare [19]. The most common site of perforation is the sigmoid followed by the cecum [20, 21]. Perforation is a serious complication and carries a morbidity rate of 40-50% and a mortality rate that ranges from 5-8% [22-24]. The rate of perforation is relatively low, with one study finding an incidence rate of 0.57 per 1000 procedures [19, 25, 26]. A recently published study found perforation from colonoscopy in hospitalized patients to be highest in patients who were above the age of 65, Caucasians, those with underlying inflammatory bowel disease or who underwent polypectomy, and end-stage renal disease [24]. Screening colonoscopy is often the topic of much anxiety for patients, with many patients refusing colonoscopy outright. Patient apprehension is typically due to the negative stigma of undergoing an invasive procedure, fear of pain, and the requirement to undergo bowel preparation with a clear liquid diet and a laxative such as polyethylene glycol. Poor bowel preparation and subsequent inadequate visualization of the colon can result in a lower detection rate, and/or create the need for a repeat colonoscopy. Split- dose preparation has been shown to increase the detection rate for sessile polyps and possibly adenomas. It involves taking half of the preparation the evening before and half of the preparation on the day of colonoscopy starting 4-5 hours before the procedure and finishing 3 hours before the procedure starts. The clinician-led discussion regarding the importance of screening has proven to be of favorable influence on a patient's decision to undergo screening, and patients with a history of polyps or family history of CRC are more likely to perceive colonoscopy positively [27,28].

Flexible sigmoidoscopy is the other endoscopic option for CRC screening and has been shown to decrease the mortality from CRC by 27%. It is particularly useful in patients with a palpable rectal mass, rectal bleeding, or without the stigmata of proximal CRC (such as anemia) [29]. The main disadvantage of this modality is the potential to miss proximal lesions due to its limited anatomical focus, and flexible sigmoidoscopy has, as mentioned, a lower reduction in mortality for CRC when compared to colonoscopy [30]. Although colonoscopy is the optimal screening method, flexible

sigmoidoscopy still has a demonstrated reduction in mortality and incidence of CRC when compared to no screening and there is limited preparation compared to colonoscopy [31].

Computed tomographic (CT) colonography, also known as “virtual colonoscopy” is a radiologic method of screening in which 2D and 3D images of the colon are produced and assessed for the presence of polyps by a radiologist. As with colonoscopy, it requires the patient undergo bowel preparation beforehand, and the colon is insufflated thus carrying a risk of perforation (although this risk is low when compared to colonoscopy) [32]. CT colonography of course does expose the patient to radiation, and other concerns include limited detection of sub centimeter polyps, and it only providing imaging rather than removal or biopsy of lesions. Extra-colonic findings are common however, recent studies have found it to be more cost effective and a reasonable alternative to colonoscopy in asymptomatic and average risk patients [32-34].

Guaiaic-based fecal occult blood testing (gFOBT) is a simple screening method that can be performed easily in the office setting or at home. gFOBT works by detecting fecal presence of hemoglobin because of occult bleeding from CRC. The card contains a reagent paper that will yield a positive result (i.e., color change) through a peroxidase reaction [35]. gFOBT is limited by high false positive rate and lower sensitivity for pre-cancerous polyps [36,37]. High sensitivity gFOBT has superior performance than older tests. It does require dietary restriction forty-eight hours beforehand which includes foods such as beets, broccoli, and red meat. The test may be more favorable to patients as it does not require bowel preparation or anesthesia.

Fecal immunohistochemical testing (FIT) is another non-invasive method of screening for CRC. It works primarily by detecting the presence of blood in the stool via immunoassay. Unlike gFOBT, it is capable of quantifying presence of stool in hemoglobin. FIT testing performed annually has been associated with a reduction in mortality for CRC and is a better option than gFOBT for detection [36, 38]. A previous study also found FIT testing in combination with colonoscopy to be an optimal screening strategy in terms of cost effectiveness and resource allocation [39].

Additional modalities of screening through stool include tests for mutations and molecular abnormalities in RNA and DNA, particularly those associated with the development of colon polyps or CRC. A popular test that is approved for screening in the United States is Cologuard™ which is a multi-target stool DNA (MTs-DNA) test that detects DNA mutation, methylation, and employs FIT. The test is approved for asymptomatic patients with average risk of CRC. This test is another convenient option for patients as it is non-invasive and can be done in the patient’s home, and in light of the COVID-pandemic there have been recommendations to use this method in the appropriate patient population (as opposed to colonoscopy) so as to avoid unnecessary exposure and consumption of hospital resources [36,40]. Epi proColon™ is another less invasive test which detects methylated septin 9, a biomarker associated with CRC, and is approved in the United States [41]. Despite their utility, each of these tests have disadvantages including reliance on patient collection of stool samples (for MTs-DNA), and lower specificity than FIT resulting in more false-positive results. Most current literature supports FIT as the most cost-effective non-invasive method of screening [39, 42, and 43].

Other less invasive tests that are currently being studied involve evaluation of the gut microbiota, microRNA biomarker analysis of stool and serum, tumor-derived circulating endothelial cell clusters, metabolite biomarkers such as volatile organic compounds, and other DNA and RNA biomarkers [42-45]. Currently there are no approved tests in the United States that employ these techniques, although there is potential for this to change with further research.

---

#### 4. Screening Recommendations in the United States

The recommendations for colorectal cancer screening in the United States were recently updated by the USPSTF in 2020 (as a draft recommendation), the American College of Gastroenterology (ACG) in 2021, and the American Cancer Society (ACS) in 2018 [6,12,46]. The most notable change in their guidelines was to start screening at age 45 in all average risk adults. The USPSTF categorized their recommendation as “level B” which states, “there is high certainty that the net benefit is moderate or there is moderate certainty that the net benefit is moderate to substantial” [47]. The ACG also noted their guideline as “conditional” and very low-quality evidence [12]. The American College of Physicians (ACP) most recently updated their guidelines in 2019, however they recommend that screening start at age 50 [48,49]. Screening is generally advised to be discontinued in patients above age 75 or if life expectancy is less than 10 years, however the ACG notes that the decision to continue screening in patients above this age threshold should be an individualized decision [12]. The USPSTF recommends colonoscopy to be discontinued in patients older than 85 [6]. The guidelines for all three bodies with respect to age is summarized in Table 1.

**Table 1** Screening age range recommendations across major US medical societies.

	<b>USPSTF</b>	<b>ACG</b>	<b>ACP</b>	<b>ACS</b>
Age 45-50	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Age 50-75	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Age > 75	No*	Individualized**	No*	Individualized**

\*In addition, the recommendation is to stop screening if life expectancy is less than 10 years [6, 48, and 49].

\*\*The ACG and ACS recommend screening for patients above 75 years of age be individualized and discussed with the patient [12, 46].

The screening frequency and intervals for the different screening modalities can be variable depending on the medical society, however all societies recommend colonoscopy every 10 years if choosing this intervention. There are several major discrepancies which should be noted, as the ACP does not provide guidelines for CT colonography or MT-sDNA due to limited evidence, and only advises doing flexible sigmoidoscopy every 10 years on the condition it is combined with FIT every 2 years [48, 49]. The USPSTF recommends the option of either performing flexible sigmoidoscopy alone every 5 years or performing it every 10 years in combination with FIT annually [6]. Unlike the other societies, the ACG does not provide a recommendation for gFOBT [12]. The recommendations for all currently approved modalities in the United States are summarized in Table 2.

**Table 2** Screening frequency recommendations for currently approved modalities across major US societies.

	<b>USPSTF</b>	<b>ACG</b>	<b>ACP</b>	<b>ACS</b>
Colonoscopy	10 years	10 years	10 years	10 years
Flexible Sigmoidoscopy	5 years	5-10 years	N/A**	5 years
CT Colonography	5 years	5 years	N/A**	5 years
gFOBT	1 year	N/A**	2 years	1 year
FIT	1 year	1 year	2 years	1 year
MTs-DNA	1-3 years	3 years	N/A**	3 years
Flexible Sigmoidoscopy + FIT	10 years + 1 year*	N/A**	10 years + 2 years*	N/A**

\*The USPSTF recommends flexible sigmoidoscopy every 10 years if combined with FIT every year [6]. The ACP recommends flexible Sigmoidoscopy every 10 years if combined with FIT every 2 years [48,49]. \*\*No recommendation is given.

## 5. Conclusion

The implementation of screening has certainly led to an overall improvement in the efforts to detect precancerous lesions and prevent progression to colorectal cancer. Although colonoscopy remains the optimal method of screening, this may change as new modalities develop supporting evidence. Ultimately the decision of which screening method to use is one that should be made by the physician and the patient, and it is the duty of the physician to remain cognizant of these frequently changing guidelines. The COVID 19 epidemic may also change the screening modalities relying more on home-based screening test like FIT, gFOBT or MTs-DNA testing.

## Compliance with ethical standards

### *Disclosure of conflict of interest*

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## References

- [1] Stoffel EM, Murphy CC. Epidemiology and Mechanisms of the Increasing Incidence of Colon and Rectal Cancers in Young Adults. *Gastroenterology*. 2020 Jan; 158(2): 341-353.
- [2] Brenner H, Kloor M, Pox CP. Colorectal cancer. *Lancet*. 2014 Apr 26; 383(9927): 1490-1502.

- [3] U.S. Cancer Statistics Working Group. U.S. Cancer Statistics Data Visualizations Tool, based on 2019 submission data (1999-2017): U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and National Cancer Institute. June 2020.
- [4] Kim SE, Paik HY, Yoon H, Lee JE, Kim N, Sung MK. Sex- and gender-specific disparities in colorectal cancer risk. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2015 May 7; 21(17): 5167-75.
- [5] Nguyen HT, Duong HQ. The molecular characteristics of colorectal cancer: Implications for diagnosis and therapy. *Oncol Lett*. 2018 Jul; 16(1): 9-18.
- [6] Draft recommendation statement: screening for colorectal cancer—screening. US Preventive Services Task Force website. <https://uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/draft-recommendation/colorectal-cancer-screening3>. Published October 2020. Accessed May 2, 2021.
- [7] Johnson CM, Wei C, Ensor JE, Smolenski DJ, Amos CI, Levin B, Berry DA. Meta-analyses of colorectal cancer risk factors. *Cancer Causes Control*. 2013 Jun; 24(6): 1207-22.
- [8] Lin HD, Vora P, Soriano-Gabarró M, Chan KA. Association Between Low-Dose Aspirin Use and Colorectal Cancer Incidence in Taiwan. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2020 Nov 2; 3(11): e2026494.
- [9] Figueiredo JC, Jacobs EJ, Newton CC, Guinter MA, Cance WG, Campbell PT. Associations of aspirin and non-aspirin non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs with colorectal cancer mortality after diagnosis. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2 Feb 2021.
- [10] Bosetti C, Santucci C, Gallus S, Martinetti M, La Vecchia C. Aspirin and the risk of colorectal and other digestive tract cancers: an updated meta-analysis through 2019. *Ann Oncol*. 2020 May; 31(5): 558-568.
- [11] Burn J, Sheth H, Elliott F, Reed L, Macrae F, Mecklin JP, Möslin G, McDonald FE, Bertario L, Evans DG, Gerdes AM, Ho JWC, Lindblom A, Morrison PJ, Rashbass J, Ramesar R, Seppälä T, Thomas HJW, Pylvänäinen K, Borthwick GM, Mathers JC, Bishop DT; CAPP2 Investigators. Cancer prevention with aspirin in hereditary colorectal cancer (Lynch syndrome), 10-year follow-up and registry-based 20-year data in the CAPP2 study: a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2020 Jun 13; 395(10240): 1855-1863.
- [12] Shaikat A, Kahi CJ, Burke CA, Rabeneck L, Sauer BG, Rex DK. ACG Clinical Guidelines: Colorectal Cancer Screening 2021. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2021 Mar 1; 116(3): 458-479.
- [13] Recommendation: Aspirin Use to Prevent Cardiovascular Disease and Colorectal Cancer: Preventive Medication: United States Preventive Services Taskforce [Internet]. Recommendation: Aspirin Use to Prevent Cardiovascular Disease and Colorectal Cancer: Preventive Medication | United States Preventive Services Taskforce. 2016.
- [14] Klampfer L. Vitamin D and colon cancer. *World J Gastrointest Oncol*. 2014 Nov 15; 6(11): 430-7.
- [15] Giardina C, Madigan JP, Tierney CA, Brenner BM, Rosenberg DW. Vitamin D resistance and colon cancer prevention. *Carcinogenesis*. 2012 Mar; 33(3): 475-82.
- [16] McCullough ML, Zoltick ES, Weinstein SJ, Fedirko V, Wang M, Cook NR, Eliassen AH, Zeleniuch-Jacquotte A, Agnoli C, Albanes D, Barnett MJ, Buring JE, Campbell PT, Clendenen TV, Freedman ND, Gapstur SM, Giovannucci EL, Goodman GG, Haiman CA, Ho GYF, Horst RL, Hou T, Huang WY, Jenab M, Jones ME, Joshi CE, Krogh V, Lee IM, Lee JE, Männistö S, Le Marchand L, Mondul AM, Neuhauser ML, Platz EA, Purdue MP, Riboli E, Rolsahm TE, Rohan TE, Sasazuki S, Schoemaker MJ, Sieri S, Stampfer MJ, Swerdlow AJ, Thomson CA, Tretli S, Tsugane S, Ursin G, Visvanathan K, White KK, Wu K, Yaun SS, Zhang X, Willett WC, Gail MH, Ziegler RG, Smith-Warner SA. Circulating Vitamin D and Colorectal Cancer Risk: An International Pooling Project of 17 Cohorts. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2019 Feb 1; 111(2): 158-169.
- [17] Lauby-Secretan B, Vilahur N, Bianchini F, Guha N, Straif K; International Agency for Research on Cancer Handbook Working Group. The IARC Perspective on Colorectal Cancer Screening. *N Engl J Med*. 2018 May 3; 378(18): 1734-1740.
- [18] Ranasinghe I, Parzynski CS, Searfoss R, Montague J, Lin Z, Allen J, Vender R, Bhat K, Ross JS, Bernheim S, Krumholz HM, Drye EE. Differences in Colonoscopy Quality Among Facilities: Development of a Post-Colonoscopy Risk-Standardized Rate of Unplanned Hospital Visits. *Gastroenterology*. 2016 Jan; 150(1): 103-13.
- [19] Rai V, Mishra N. Colonoscopic Perforations. *Clin Colon Rectal Surg*. 2018 Jan; 31(1): 41-46.
- [20] Iqbal CW, Cullinane DC, Schiller HJ, Sawyer MD, Zietlow SP, Farley DR. Surgical management and outcomes of 165 colonoscopic perforations from a single institution. *Arch Surg*. 2008 Jul; 143(7): 701-6.

- [21] Iqbal CW, Chun YS, Farley DR. Colonoscopic perforations: a retrospective review. *J Gastrointest Surg.* 2005 Dec; 9(9): 1229-35.
- [22] Park JY, Choi PW, Jung SM, Kim NH. The Outcomes of Management for Colonoscopic Perforation: A 12-Year Experience at a Single Institute. *Ann Coloproctol.* 2016 Oct; 32(5): 175-183.
- [23] Teoh AY, Poon CM, Lee JF, Leong HT, Ng SS, Sung JJ, Lau JY. Outcomes and predictors of mortality and stoma formation in surgical management of colonoscopic perforations: a multicenter review. *Arch Surg.* 2009 Jan; 144(1): 9-13.
- [24] Garg R, Singh A, Ahuja KR, Mohan BP, Ravi SJK, Shen B, Kirby DF, Regueiro M. Risks, time trends, and mortality of colonoscopy-induced perforation in hospitalized patients. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2020 Aug; 35(8): 1381-1386.
- [25] Polter DE. Risk of colon perforation during colonoscopy at Baylor University Medical Center. *Proc (Bayl Univ Med Cent).* 2015 Jan; 28(1): 3-6.
- [26] Shi X, Shan Y, Yu E, Fu C, Meng R, Zhang W, Wang H, Liu L, Hao L, Wang H, Lin M, Xu H, Xu X, Gong H, Lou Z, He H, Xing J, Gao X, Cai B. Lower rate of colonoscopic perforation: 110,785 patients of colonoscopy performed by colorectal surgeons in a large teaching hospital in China. *Surg Endosc.* 2014 Aug; 28(8): 2309-16.
- [27] Yeazel MW, Church TR, Jones RM, Kochevar LK, Watt GD, Cordes JE, Engelhard D, Mongin SJ. Colorectal cancer screening adherence in a general population. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2004 Apr; 13(4): 654-7. Yim M, Butterly LF, Goodrich ME, Weiss JE, Onega TL. Perception of colonoscopy benefits: a gap in patient knowledge? *J Community Health.* 2012 Jun; 37(3): 719-24.
- [28] Cross AJ, Wooldrage K, Robbins EC, Pack K, Brown JP, Hamilton W, Thompson MR, Flashman KG, Halligan S, Thomas-Gibson S, Vance M, Saunders BP, Atkin W. Whole-colon investigation vs. flexible sigmoidoscopy for suspected colorectal cancer based on presenting symptoms and signs: a multicentre cohort study. *Br J Cancer.* 2019 Jan; 120(2): 154-164.
- [29] Ko CW, Doria-Rose VP, Barrett MJ, Kamineni A, Enewold L, Weiss NS. Screening flexible sigmoidoscopy versus colonoscopy for reduction of colorectal cancer mortality. *Int J Colorectal Dis.* 2019 Jul; 34(7): 1273-1281.
- [30] Holme Ø, Løberg M, Kalager M, Bretthauer M, Hernán MA, Aas E, Eide TJ, Skovlund E, Schneede J, Tveit KM, Hoff G. Effect of flexible sigmoidoscopy screening on colorectal cancer incidence and mortality: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA.* 2014 Aug 13; 312(6): 606-15.
- [31] Obaro AE, Burling DN, Plumb AA. Colon cancer screening with CT colonography: logistics, cost-effectiveness, efficiency and progress. *Br J Radiol.* 2018 Oct; 91(1090): 20180307.
- [32] van der Meulen MP, Lansdorp-Vogelaar I, Goede SL, Kuipers EJ, Dekker E, Stoker J, van Ballegooijen M. Colorectal Cancer: Cost-effectiveness of Colonoscopy versus CT Colonography Screening with Participation Rates and Costs. *Radiology.* 2018 Jun; 287(3): 901-911.
- [33] Sha J, Chen J, Lv X, Liu S, Chen R, Zhang Z. Computed tomography colonography versus colonoscopy for detection of colorectal cancer: a diagnostic performance study. *BMC Med Imaging.* 2020 May 18; 20(1): 51.
- [34] Ostrow JD. Tests for Fecal Occult Blood. In: Walker HK, Hall WD, Hurst JW, editors. *Clinical Methods: The History, Physical, and Laboratory Examinations.* 3rd edition. Boston: Butterworths. 1990. Chapter 98.
- [35] Dickinson BT, Kisiel J, Ahlquist DA, Grady WM. Molecular markers for colorectal cancer screening. *Gut.* 2015 Sep; 64(9): 1485-94.
- [36] Young GP, Symonds EL, Allison JE, Cole SR, Fraser CG, Halloran SP, Kuipers EJ, Seaman HE. Advances in Fecal Occult Blood Tests: the FIT revolution. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2015 Mar; 60(3): 609-22.
- [37] Buskermolen M, Cenin DR, Helsingen LM, Guyatt G, Vandvik PO, Haug U, Bretthauer M, Lansdorp-Vogelaar I. Colorectal cancer screening with faecal immunochemical testing, sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy: a microsimulation modelling study. *BMJ.* 2019 Oct 2; 367: 15383.
- [38] Sekiguchi M, Igarashi A, Matsuda T, Matsumoto M, Sakamoto T, Nakajima T, Kakugawa Y, Yamamoto S, Saito H, Saito Y. Optimal use of colonoscopy and fecal immunochemical test for population-based colorectal cancer screening: a cost-effectiveness analysis using Japanese data. *Jpn J Clin Oncol.* 2016 Feb; 46(2): 116-25.
- [39] Young PE, Tadros M, Mago S. Positive Fecal Immunochemical Test or Cologuard in the Era of the Novel Coronavirus Disease-2019 Pandemic. *Gastroenterology.* 2020 Dec; 159(6): 2249-2250.

- [40] deVos T, Tetzner R, Model F, Weiss G, Schuster M, Distler J, Steiger KV, Grützmann R, Pilarsky C, Habermann JK, Fleshner PR, Oubre BM, Day R, Sledziewski AZ, Lofton-Day C. Circulating methylated SEPT9 DNA in plasma is a biomarker for colorectal cancer. *Clin Chem*. 2009 Jul; 55(7): 1337-46.
- [41] Loktionov A. Biomarkers for detecting colorectal cancer non-invasively: DNA, RNA or proteins? *World J Gastrointest Oncol*. 2020 Feb 15; 12(2): 124-148.
- [42] Tepus M, Yau TO. Non-Invasive Colorectal Cancer Screening: An Overview. *Gastrointest Tumors*. 2020 Jul; 7(3): 62-73.
- [43] Cima I, Kong SL, Sengupta D, Tan IB, Phyto WM, Lee D, Hu M, Iliescu C, Alexander I, Goh WL, Rahmani M, Suhaimi NA, Vo JH, Tai JA, Tan JH, Chua C, Ten R, Lim WJ, Chew MH, Hauser CA, van Dam RM, Lim WY, Prabhakar S, Lim B, Koh PK, Robson P, Ying JY, Hillmer AM, Tan MH. Tumor-derived circulating endothelial cell clusters in colorectal cancer. *Sci Transl Med*. 2016 Jun 29; 8(345): 345ra89.
- [44] Vychytilova-Faltejskova P, Stitkovcova K, Radova L, Sachlova M, Kosarova Z, Slaba K, Kala Z, Svoboda M, Kiss I, Vyzula R, Cho WC, Slaby O. Circulating PIWI-Interacting RNAs piR-5937 and piR-28876 Are Promising Diagnostic Biomarkers of Colon Cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2018 Sep; 27(9): 1019-1028.
- [45] Wolf AMD, Fontham ETH, Church TR, Flowers CR, Guerra CE, LaMonte SJ, Etzioni R, McKenna MT, Oeffinger KC, Shih YT, Walter LC, Andrews KS, Brawley OW, Brooks D, Fedewa SA, Manassaram-Baptiste D, Siegel RL, Wender RC, Smith RA. Colorectal cancer screening for average-risk adults: 2018 guideline update from the American Cancer Society. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2018 Jul; 68(4): 250-281.
- [46] U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Ratings [Internet]. United States Preventive Services Taskforce. [cited 2021May2].
- [47] Qaseem A, Crandall CJ, Mustafa RA, Hicks LA, Wilt TJ; Clinical Guidelines Committee of the American College of Physicians. Screening for Colorectal Cancer in Asymptomatic Average-Risk Adults: A Guidance Statement From the American College of Physicians. *Ann Intern Med*. 2019 Nov 5; 171(9): 643-654.
- [48] Correction: Screening for Colorectal Cancer in Asymptomatic Average-Risk Adults. *Ann Intern Med*. 2020 Apr 7; 172(7): 512. Erratum for: *Ann Intern Med*. 2019 Nov 5; 171(9): 643-654.