

GSC Advanced Research and Reviews

eISSN: 2582-4597 CODEN (USA): GARRC2 Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/gscarr Journal homepage: https://gsconlinepress.com/journals/gscarr/

(RESEARCH ARTICLE)

Check for updates

Impact of ground cover vegetation types on the diversity and similarity of spider assemblage at two adjacent sites

Mohammad Kanedi *, Nismah Nukmal, Gina Dania Pratami and Hajariyah

Department of Biology, Faculty of Math and Sciences, University of Lampung, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia.

GSC Advanced Research and Reviews, 2021, 08(01), 060-065

Publication history: Received on 07 June 2021; revised on 10 July 2021; accepted on 13 July 2021

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/gscarr.2021.8.1.0144

Abstract

Spider (Arachnida) is one of the classes of arthropods known to give strong responses to differences in land cover vegetation. This study intended to investigate whether the difference of vegetation types that are located adjacently occupied by the same genera of spiders. Two adjacent areas in Liwa Botanical Garden that covered by two different types vegetation were assigned as the sampling sites. The spiders sampling was carried out over a 100 meter long transect line (5 lines each) by applying active searching and pitfall trapping techniques. There were 21 genera from 9 spider families that were collected from two sampling sites. In the land vegetated with wood, there were 12 genera with 129 specimens. In the herbaceous land, there were 13 spider genera with 120 specimens. The Simpson's index of diversity were 0.7739 and 0.8868, meanwhile the Shannon's index were 1.8575and 2.2831, respectively obtained at herbaceous and woody land. The difference of diversity between two compared sites by Hutcheson t-test was highly significant ($\alpha < 0.01$). This presumption is also supported by the coefficient of dissimilarity calculated using Sorensen's index formula (Ss = 75.7575). Thus it can be concluded that the different types of land cover vegetation have a significant impact on the diversity of the dwelling spiders even though the two fields are located adjacent to each other.

Keywords: Arachnida; Spider diversity; Vegetation type's effect; Spider assemblage; KRL Liwa

Introduction

It is common knowledge that the presence and type of vegetation cover in an area and its management determines the composition and abundance of fauna in that place. Arthropods are one of the groups of animals that are known to be very sensitive to differences in the composition and condition of cover plants in a habitat [1, 2, 3]. One of the classes of arthropods that have been shown to give strong responses to differences in land cover vegetation is spiders (Arachnida). Assemblage composition of spiders much more similar within the same vegetation type in comparison to the different vegetation type [4].

Apart from depending on the type of vegetation, the composition of the spider assemblage is known to depend on the structure of the vegetation [5]. Research on the distribution and diversity of spiders in tropical forests of Sulawesi (Indonesia) revealed that in secondary forest vegetation the diversity of spiders is higher than that of shrubs [6].

However, the studies mentioned above do not include information on whether the vegetations being compared were located adjacently. This research was conducted to determine whether there are differences in the diversity of spiders on two fields with different vegetation cover bordering each other.

*Corresponding author: Mohammad Kanedi

Department of Biology, Faculty of Math and Sciences, University of Lampung, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia.

Copyright © 2021 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article. This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Liscense 4.0.

Material and methods

1.1. Study area

This study was conducted in Liwa Botanical Garden, a park established by the West Lampung Regency authority as a place for collection, cultivation, preservation and displays of various types of plants both native and exotic. The garden (common designation: KRL Liwa) is located at the district of Balikbukit (5°02′18.45″S - 104°04′34.77″E), West Lampung Regency, Lampung Province, Indonesia. The garden which has an area of 86.68 hectares is located at an altitude of 800-920 meters above sea level. The topography of the Liwa Botanical Garden area is hilly, with a fairly steep slope, some even with a slope of more than 40%. The climatological data owned by the botanical garden manager shows that the annual rainfall is 2,500-3000 mm, wet months 7-9 months, temperature range 17.5 - 7.5°C, relative humidity 72 - 98%, sunlight intensity 34 - 63%. [7]

This botanical garden is adjacent to the largest national park in Sumatra, the Bukit Barisan Selatan National Park, only separated by a small river called *Way Sindalapai*. Based on the land cover, this botanical garden consists of three types of vegetation, namely trees, shrubs and herbs. Area covered with trees and shrubs is defined as woody ground, while the area covered with herbaceous plants is called herbaceous ground (Figure 1)



BG (back ground) is a part of Bukit Barisan Selatan National Park bordering the garden; MG (middle ground) is the woods area covered by trees and shrubs; FG (foreground) is the herb area covered by herbaceous plants. MG and FG are assigned as the sampling sites of spiders.

Figure 1 Photograph of the study area.

1.2. Sampling

Spiders sampling was conducted on those two fields depicted in Figure 1, namely woody and herbaceous grounds. The animals sampling was carried out over a 100 meter long transect line. The number of transects made in the two sampling areas, the woody and herbaceous lands, is 5 lines each. The spider sampling was carried out using active searching and pitfall trapping techniques.

Active searching is intended to catch spiders that are nesting in the nest or that are actively moving or jumping. Active searching technique is the direct catching of spiders using a sweeping net along the transect (100 meters) within a range of 5 meters to the left and right of the transect line. The spiders that are caught are put in an anesthetic bottle which already contains tissue paper that has been dripped with acetone. After that, the spider is put in a bottle containing 40% alcohol fixative.

The pit-fall trapping technique is applied in an attempt to catch spiders that are actively moving on the surface of the ground. The traps were set in the transect line. The number of traps planted per transect was 10. The ten traps were placed alternately at a distance of 10 meters, five on the left and five on the right of the line. The traps consisted of open plastic cups (6 cm in diameter and 9 cm deep). The trap cups were buried into the ground until the lip of the cup is level with the ground. The cup was filled with a mixture of water and detergent and spiked with table salt as a preservative. Trapping results are collected after 24 hours of the trap being set.

The process of identification is based on the morphology and the determination of spiders carried out to the Genera level using various reference books such as: Barrion and Litsinge (1995) and Deeleman-Reinhold (2001) [8,9].

1.3. Data analysis

The data analysis applied in the study adopted from Adelusi et al.(2018), i.e. by analyzing the diversity of spiders using Simpson's index of diversity (1-D), Shannon-Wiener diversity index (H'), and Sorensen's similarity index (Ss)[10].

The Simpson's index of diversity (1-D) was calculated using following formula:

$$1 - D = 1 - \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1} ni(ni-1)}{N(N-1)}\right)$$

Where: D = Simpson's index; *ni* = number of individuals of the i-th species; N = total number of entities.

Next, the Shannon-Wiener diversity index (H') was quantified using formula bellows:

$$\mathbf{H}' = -\sum pi \ln pi$$

Where: H' = Shannon-Wiener index; $p_i = (n_i/N)$ proportion of the *i*-th species; $n_i =$ number of *i*-th species; N = total number of individuals; ln = natural logarithm

To let Shannon diversity index of the two spider sampling areas can be compared statistically, the Hutcheson t-test was applied using formula recommended by Magurran (1988) [11].

$$t = \frac{H1' - H2'}{\sqrt[2]{Var H1' + Var H2'}}$$

Where: t = t test value; Hi' = Shannon diversity index at the i-th area; var H'= variance in diversity

For this purpose, variance in diversity (Var H') is calculated using following formula:

Var H' =
$$\frac{\sum pi(\ln pi)^2 - (\sum pi \ln pi)^2}{N} - \frac{S-1}{2N^2}$$

Where: S = total number of species; pi = (ni/N) proportion of the *i*-th species; N = total number of individuals.

To determine the appropriate range of the t distribution, the degrees of freedom can be calculated using the formula:

df =
$$\frac{(\text{Var H1}' + \text{Var H2}')^2}{[(\text{Var H1}')^2/\text{N1}] + [(\text{Var H2}')^2/\text{N2}]}$$

Where: df= requisite degree of freedom (df) in t test; Var Hi'= variance in diversity of i-th site; N_i = total number of individuals at i-th site.

The formula of Sorensen's Similarity Index (Ss) is:

$$Ss = \frac{2a}{(2a+b+c)} \times 100$$

Where: a = number of species found at the two sites; b = number of species found only at the first site; c = number of species found only at the second site.

Results and discussion

The number of spider specimens according to their clan and family that we managed to collect from two locations, namely herbaceous and woody vegetation areas, is presented in Table 1.Next, the quantification results of the diversity of spiders at the two locations that were compared using diversity indices of Simpson and Shannon-Wiener are

presented in Table 2.Meanwhile, the results of statistical tests using the Hutcheson t-test on the variance in diversity at the two spiders sampling sites are presented in Table 3.

Table 1Spider specimens from two compared sampling sites

Familia	Genera	Number of individuals	
		HG	WG
Agelenidae	Tegenaria sp.	0	4
Araneidae	Cyclosa sp.1	23	14
	Cyclosa sp.2	10	16
	Nephila sp.	8	2
	Gasteracantha sp.1	0	15
	Gasteracantha sp.2	0	9
	Argiope sp.	0	2
	Neoscona sp.	3	0
Corinnidae	Corinnomma sp.	3	0
Ctenizidae	Ctenizasp.	0	2
Lycosidae	Rabidosa sp.	54	26
	Trochosa sp.1	6	0
	Trochosa sp.2	1	0
Phalangiidae	Phalangium sp.	1	0
Salticidae	Simaetha sp.	0	10
Tetragnathidae	Leucauge sp.	0	11
Theridiidae	Theridion sp.	0	7
	Steatoda sp.	0	2
	Argyrodes sp.1	13	0
	Asagena sp.	5	0
	Argyrodes sp.2	2	0
	Asagena sp. Argyrodes sp.2 =Herbaceous ground: WG=V	5 2 Woody ground	0

 Table 2 Diversity indices of both sampling sites

Donomotors	Vegetation types	
Parameters	Herbaceous	Woody
Number of Genera	12	13
Number of individuals	129	120
Simpson's index of diversity (1-D)	0.7739	0.8868
Shannon-Wiener index (H')	1.8575	2.2831
Variance in diversity (Var H')	0.00771	0.00305

Table 3 Results of Hutcheson t-test against the variance in diversity of both sites

Parameters	Score/value	
Hutcheson t-test	4.1030	
Degree of freedom (df)	215	
t-distribution limit (t _{215; 0.01})	2.601	

The last, the results of the similarity quantification using the Sorensen's similarity index, we get the Ss=24.2424. Since similarity is the opposite of dissimilarity, so the similarity coefficient can be modified to a coefficient of dissimilarity by taking its inverse: 1-Ss = 100 - 24.24 = 75.7575.

Discussion

Based on the actual data and the results of quantitative analysis of the spider samples obtained from two adjacent sampling sites in this study, it is clear that the type of ground cover vegetation determines the composition of the occupant spiders. Where the diversity indices (Simpson and Shannon-Wiener) of spiders at the area covered by vegetation comprised of trees and shrubs are higher that of covered by herbaceous vegetation. Based on the Hutcheson t-test results, the difference of diversity of spider genera between woody ground and herbaceous land is highly significant ($\alpha < 0.01$).

This confirms the results of research that has been carried out in many regions of the world which reveal that differences in vegetation types, structure and conditions greatly affect species composition of the spider assemblage. The impact of the type, structure and condition of vegetation on the diversity and abundance of spiders can be seen, among others, in the research reported by Greenstone (1984) in Costa Rica, Russell-Smithand Stork (1995) in Borneo, Samu et al. (2014)in Hungary, or Junggebauer et al. (2021) in Sumatra [12, 13, 14, 15].

The high diversity of spiders on land with higher and dense vegetation is thought to be related to their role as predators requiring a variety of prey species [16]. As has been revealed by Meloni et al. (2020), the decreasing vegetation cover and/or changes in vegetation pattern towards small and over-dispersed vegetation patches can lead to decrease in ground arthropods diversity [17]. The complex vegetation of forests and shrubs is known to provide shelter and hibernation, oviposition, and foragi ng sites for major kinds of arthropods including spiders [18].

Conclusion

The composition of the spider genera is proven to be largely determined by the type of land cover vegetation. The land covered by vegetation consisting of trees and shrubs is inhabited by a more diverse genus of spiders than land covered by herbs, even though the two fields share a border.

Compliance with ethical standards

Acknowledgments

Authors sincerely thank the management of Liwa Botanical Garden, especially to Mr. Sukimin (Kepal UPTD Kebun Raya Liwa), for his support.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

Authors declared there is no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] The abundance and diversity of beneficial arthropods in citrus orchards. Bulletin of Entomological Research. 100(4): 489-499.
- [2] Zayadi H, Hakim L, SetyoLeksono A. Composition and Diversity of Soil Arthropods of Rajegwesi Meru Betiri National Park. The Journal of Tropical Life Science. September 2013; 3(3): 166 – 171.

- [3] Salman INA and Blaustein L. Vegetation Cover Drives Arthropod Communities in Mediterranean/Subtropical Green Roof Habitats. Sustainability. 2018; 10: 4209.
- [4] Hore U and Uniyal VP.Diversity and composition of spider assemblages in five vegetation types of the Terai Conservation Area, India, The Journal of Arachnology. 1 August 2008; 36(2): 251-258.
- [5] Štokmane M,Spuņģis V. The influence of vegetation structure on spider species richness, diversity and community organization in the Apšuciems calcareous fen, Latvia. Animal Biodiversity and Conservation. 2016; 39(2): 221–236.
- [6] Koneri R, Nangoy MJ.The distribution and diversity of spiders (Arachnida: Aranae) in Sahendaruman Mountain, Sangihe Islands, North Sulawesi, Indonesia. Applied Ecology and Environmental Research. 2017; 15(3): 797-808.
- [7] Adi MC, Ratna Y, Indra N, aand Uli AV. Kebun Raya Liwa (Liwa Botanical Garden) UPTD Pengelola Kebun Raya Liwa, Lampung Barat.2019.
- [8] Barrion AT,Litsinger JA. Riceland Spiders of South and Southeast Asia. CAB International and Int. Rice Res. Inst.1995; 700
- [9] Deeleman-Reinhold CL. Forest Spiders of South East Asia. Koninklijke Brill NV, Leiden, the Netherlands. 2001;591.
- [10] Adelusi SM, Ada RT, Omudu EA. Diversity and Abundance of Insects Species in Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria. International Journal of New Technology and Research (IJNTR). 2018; 4(6): 52-57.
- [11] Magurran AE. Ecological diversity and its measurement (1st Ed). Chapman and Hall, London. 1988;179.
- [12] Greenstone, M.H. Determinants of web spider species diversity: Vegetation structural diversity vs. prey availability. Oecologia. 1984; 62: 299–304.
- [13] Russell-Smith A and Stork NE. Composition of spider communities in the canopies of rainforest trees in Borneo. Journal of Tropical Ecology. May 1995; 11(2): 223 – 235.
- [14] Samu F, Lengyel G, Szita E, Bidlo A and O'dor P. The effect of forest stand characteristics on spider diversity and species composition in deciduous-coniferous mixed forests. 2014. The Journal of Arachnology. 2014; 42:135– 141.
- [15] Junggebauer A, Hartke TR, Ramos D, Schaefer I, Buchori D, Hidayat P, Scheu S, Drescher J. Changes in diversity and community assembly of jumping spiders (Araneae: Salticidae) after rainforest conversion to rubber and oil palm plantations. PeerJ. 2021; 9:e11012.
- [16] Lafage D, Djoudi EA, Perrin G, Gallet S, Pétillon J. Responses of ground-dwelling spider assemblages to changes in vegetation from wet oligotrophic habitats of Western France. Arthropod-Plant Interactions. 2019; 13: 653–662.
- [17] Meloni F, Civieta BF, Zaragoza JA, Moraza ML and Bautista S.Vegetation Pattern Modulates Ground Arthropod Diversity in Semi-Arid Mediterranean Steppes. Insects. 2020; 11: 59.
- [18] Peng MH, Hung YC, Liu KL and Neoh KB. Landscape configuration and habitat complexity shape arthropod assemblage in urban parks. Sci Rep. 2020; 10: 16043.