Molecular diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2: A validation of saliva samples
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Abstract

Nasopharyngeal swabs are the most used in sample collecting for covid-19 tests in SARS-CoV-2 molecular diagnosis. However, this sampling method presents some disadvantages, since, in addition to being dependent on imported materials, it is invasive, causes discomfort in patients, and presents the risk of contamination for the medical collection team. This study aimed at validating saliva samples to obtain viral RNA to be used in the molecular diagnostic test for SARS-CoV-2 using the RT-qPCR technique. Results presented 93.44% concordance in comparison to nasopharyngeal swabs sampling. Therefore, saliva samples used in SARS-CoV-2 RT-qPCR detection tests presented consistent results.
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1. Introduction

The protein Spike (S), an antigen found on the surface of SARS-CoV-2, is one of the main means of infection of the virus. It binds to the receptor ACE2, an angiotensin-converting enzyme, found in several epithelial cells of the respiratory tract and salivary gland ducts of the host [1].

The infection of SARS-CoV-2 in the salivary glands of monkeys showed a high affinity between the viral antigen and the ACE2 protein of the host [2]. This way, the presence of the virus SARS-CoV-2 in the human saliva reinforces the possibility of salivary glandular infection [3].

Collection of samples for covid-19 tests through the nasopharynx for swabs are the most used in RT-qPCR molecular diagnostic tests. However, this collection method is not only invasive and painful for the patient, being contraindicated in some cases, but it also requires some training from the medical staff and puts health professionals at risk of contamination due to their proximity to patients and the consequent exposure to the virus [3].

Saliva samples, in turn, can be easily collected by the patient without the need for invasive procedures, bringing other benefits, such as the decrease in the exposure of health professionals, no contraindications, and no need for a viral transport solution, just a sterile container [3, 4].

This study aimed at validating the use of saliva from patients as a sample for Covid-19 molecular diagnostic tests in the Alto Paranaíba region, Minas Gerais, Brazil.
2. Material and methods

Between the months of August and September 2020, 61 paired samples, Nasopharyngeal swabs (NPS) and saliva, were collected from asymptomatic and symptomatic patients with suspected SARS-CoV-2 contamination, submitted by the Regional Health Superintendence of Patos de Minas, Minas Gerais, Brazil. The samples were collected from patients presenting COVID-19 symptoms, such as fever, sore throat, headache, cough, absence of smell and taste, and from asymptomatic patients who were in contact with either positive or suspect cases.

Health professionals were responsible for collecting the NPS samples, conditioned in a saline solution, while patients were guided by them during the self-collection of their saliva samples in sterile containers. All samples were kept in a cooler at 4°C, maximum, until the Laboratory of Molecular Diagnosis of Federal University of Viçosa, campus Rio Paranaiba, Minas Gerais State, where the molecular analysis was conducted.

The samples were first vortexed in a biosafety cabinet NB2. RNA extraction was conducted using 200 µl of the samples following the manual column extraction with the Bio Gene Kit from Bioclin. After RNA extraction RT-qPCR assay was carried out using the Allplex™ 2019-nCov Assay (Seegene) kit, which identifies three SARS-CoV-2 target genes (RdRP, N, and E) (Fig. 1). Following the manufacturer’s instruction, internal control was added before RNA isolation. The protocol was composed of 3 cycles: i) 20 minutes at 50°C; ii) 15 minutes at 95°C; and iii) 45 cycles of 15 seconds at 94°C and 30 seconds at 58°C. The assays were conducted on the CFX-96 Real-Time Cycler (Bio-Rad). Purified water was used as internal negative control and sequences of amplification genes for positive control, as instructed by the manufacturer.

Positive results were considered when at least one of the target genes was amplified before the 40th cycle of the RT-qPCR during the cycle threshold (Ct), regardless of the internal amplification control.

![Figure 1](image1.png) RT-qPCR amplification of SARS-CoV-2 detection. Each color represents the dynamic of amplification cycles of the viral genes RdRP (in pink), E (in red) and N (in blue), and internal control (in green)

3. Results and discussion

![Figure 2](image2.png) Venn diagram illustrating the concordance among obtained results. Nasopharyngeal samples in green and saliva samples in blue
Results presented 93.44% concordance in both sampling methods. Among the results of paired samples that were completely concordant, 15 were positive while 42 were not. The virus was detectable exclusively through nasopharyngeal swab in only one sample, and in other three samples, it was only detected on saliva (Fig. 2).

Studies have shown a high detection rate in saliva samples from asymptomatic [5] and symptomatic patients [6] by RT-qPCR. In both studies, SARS-CoV-2 infections, which were not detected in nasopharyngeal swab samples, were detected in saliva, suggesting they can have greater detection sensitivity as the tongue and salivary glands are possibly the main sites of infection, replication, and both direct and indirect transmission of SARS-CoV-2 [3, 7].

4. Conclusion
Molecular diagnostics of SARS-CoV-2 via RT-qPCR using saliva samples is proving to be an alternative to nasopharyngeal swab collection methods.
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