

(RESEARCH ARTICLE)



## Analysis of road network system development plans and strategies in West Papua

Charlton Parlindungan <sup>1</sup>, Sakti Adji Adisasmita <sup>2</sup>, Jacob Manusawai <sup>3</sup>, Anton Silas Sineri <sup>3</sup> and Hendri <sup>3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Environmental Science of Doctoral Program, Post-Graduate Program, University of Papua, West Papua, 98314, Indonesia.

<sup>2</sup> Civil Engineering Science, Engineering Faculty, University of Hasannudin, South of Sulawesi, 92171, Indonesia.

<sup>3</sup> Forestry Department, Faculty of Forestry and Post-Graduate Program, University of Papua, West Papua, 98314, Indonesia.

GSC Advanced Research and Reviews, 2023, 15(02), 148–161

Publication history: Received on 20 April 2023; revised on 27 May 2023; accepted on 30 May 2023

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/gscarr.2023.15.2.0164>

### Abstract

Manokwari Regency's development of a road network system is crucial to accelerating the improvement of the status of national roads, which are currently disrupting the convenience of road use and the surrounding community due to the degree of saturation being in category F, which includes limited traffic flow, low speed, volume below capacity, and a lack of safety features. Strategy III of West Papua Province's constructed road network system adaptations to local contexts and national hospitality strategies is selected using the Equal Important, Expert Judgment, and Analytical Hierarchy Process methodologies. Next, conduct a SWOT analysis, leading you to an IFE of 3.0 and an EFE of 2.86. To take advantage of chances and lessen the impact of threats, it is necessary first to identify the strategies of aggressive SO. Specifically, 64 SO techniques were identified by having managers and city planners mix 8 strength elements with 8 opportunity components. Unfortunately, few of these chimerical strategies performed well. West Papua's managers and city planners identified six feasible SO solutions to improve the city's transportation system.

**Keywords:** Road; Improvement; Strategy; Equal important; Expert judgment; Analytical hierarchy process; SWOT analysis

### 1. Introduction

Road infrastructure facilities and infrastructure have become the basic service level in the road sector. At the same time, they are breaking down the geographical isolation of isolated locations, lowering expensive costs, and increasing the quality of life for the people [1,2]. As a result of Manokwari's selection as the capital city of West Papua Province, the city's function has evolved to that of a Regional Activity Centre, operating as a centre for providing services, a processing centre, and a transportation node for several districts. Because the inadequate availability of transport infrastructure services in West Papua Province is the most significant barrier, a commitment to and an action plan for developing transport infrastructure are required. This is because transport infrastructure development is anticipated to speed up regional development in an integrated manner [3,4,5].

The current situation is that various regency roads are located in the Manokwari area, which is a service centre and transportation node with a concentration on Drs. Esau Sesa - Maruni with a length of 21.43 km and an existing width of 4-6 m. This condition is made worse by the degree of road saturation in category F, which includes limited traffic flow, low speed, volume below capacity, and frequent stops [6,7]. The convenience of nearby neighbourhoods and the quality of life for residents are both affected by these problems [8,9]. As a result of this road segment's potential to be upgraded to the status of a provincial road, regional development will be accelerated in an integrated manner.

\* Corresponding author: Hendri

According to regional potential, criteria for developing a road network system include government centers, tourist attractions, markets, agriculture, and population density. Administrative criteria include the suitability of the RTRW, road functions, land readiness, spatial analysis, and policies regarding legislation and indigenous peoples. [10, 11].

In an effort to attain the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs 2030) and the Long-Term Strategy for Low Carbon and Climate Resilience (LTS LCCR 2050), governance, sustainable development, and urban resilience are the primary focuses of the construction and improvement of road infrastructure. Thus, efforts to mitigate and adapt to climate change and hydrometeorological disasters, which have become a trend in recent years in Indonesian territory, including West Papua, necessitated road enrichment. [12, 13, 14].

Based on this concept, this study was carried out to re-evaluate the state of district roads with the potential to be raised to provincial road status. This study seeks to examine the priority development of the road network system and then identify the strategies and policies required for transforming these roads into provincial roads. [15,16].

## 2. Material and methods

### 2.1. Study area

In general, this study will employ three (three) road network system development designs as a strategy [11]:

- Strategy I: Establish a road network system (RNS) proposed by the West Papua Provincial Government (Figure 1).
- Strategy II: Central Government proposed road network system (RNS) development (a road network system development plan that considers city roles as outlined in the West Papua Provincial Spatial Plan) (Figure 2).
- Strategy III: Compilation of road network system development plans from the local and national governments, considering equity and growth (Figure 3).

The three preliminary designs will be researched and compared to select one technique to construct a road network system development program in West Papua Province.




Figure 1 RNS proposed by West Papua Provincial Government



Figure 2 RNS proposed by Central Government



Figure 3 RNS proposed by local and national

2.2. Method of data analysis

Impact prediction measures the plan’s impact and benefits against specified scenarios. The road network development objectives to be attained are used to determine economic, social, spatial, technical, and service criteria [17,18]. To assist the assessment of the previous requirements, they must be reduced to comparable indications and parameters. So that the positive and negative aspects of each technique may be determined. The Equal Important, Expert Judgment, and Analytical Hierarchy Process methods, which are an analysis of the results of interview surveys to find out the opinions of experts on the level of importance of each criterion and indicator, can be used to determine the weight of each criterion and indicator [19,20,21].

2.2.1. Economic criteria

The Net Present Value (NPV) technique will be used to calculate benefit indicators, the Economic Internal Rate of Return (EIRR) method will be used to produce return on investment indicators, and the RUC (Road User Cost) will be computed from the three developed strategies for managing the road network system. The Integrated Road Management System (IRMS) program will be used to calculate the three ways. The higher the NPV and EIRR values, the higher the value supplied, whereas the opposite is true for the RUC value. The net present value (NPV) is the rupiah value of current road or bridge handling if road or bridge maintenance is performed in the following years with the equation presented in below [22,23]:

$$NPV = \sum_{i=1}^{i=30} \frac{[RUCR_i + ACR_i] - [RUC_i + AC_i]}{(1 + \frac{DISC}{100})^i} \dots\dots\dots 1$$

which NPV = net present value, RUCR<sub>i</sub> = road user cost for the referral program in year i, ACR<sub>i</sub> = government allocated for referral program in year i, RUC<sub>i</sub> = road user costs for the project program in year i, AC<sub>i</sub> = government allocated for the project program in year I, and DISC = % rate of reduction.

The economic internal rate of return (EIRR) is used to calculate the interest rate to attain an NPV value of zero. Knowing the current interest rate as well as its future tendencies allows for the implementation of an activity. The IRR must

exceed the current interest rate. If the IRR is smaller, it can be stated that the implementation expenses would be more profitable if spent elsewhere. The EIRR equation [24,25] can be shown as follows:

$$EIRR = \sum_{t=0}^n \frac{B_t}{(1+i)^t} = \sum_{t=0}^n \frac{C_t}{(1+i)^t} \dots\dots\dots 2$$

which EIRR = external internal rate of return, B<sub>t</sub> = benefit per year, C<sub>t</sub> = Cost per year, t = year, and n = age plan.

2.2.2. Spatial criteria

The SLQ (Simple Location Quotient) method [26,27] will calculate leading sectors that are indicators of regional development. This method compares the regional potential of each district and province to that of the nation. As shown in Table 1, this theory will employ a 1 (one) comparison value to indicate the sector being analyzed to be included in the category.

**Table 1** SLQ method categories

Value	Condition
≥ 1	Sectors with indications of export activity (base sector)
1 - 0.05	Non base sector
< 0.05	Sector that lacks support for regional products

The formula used for SLC calculations can be seen below:

$$SLQ^{Ki} = \frac{x^{Ki} / \sum x^{Ki}}{x^{Ri} / \sum x^{Ri}} \dots\dots\dots 3$$

which SLQ<sup>Ki</sup> = simple location quotient of sector i for regency, X<sup>Ki</sup> = sector i product in district or province Gross Regional Domestic Product (GRDP), and X<sup>Ri</sup> = sector products in provincial or national GRDP.

2.2.3. Social criteria

On the basis of social criteria, indicators of welfare and the poor population would be weighed against movement. The nature of costs (cost) characterizes the level of welfare, whereas the nature of benefits characterizes the poor. At the welfare level, it is thought that an area is prosperous if it has a big percentage of families with family members who have received tertiary education. As a result, we do not emphasize treatment of these areas. In this indicator, the smaller the multiplication value between the number of families with college-educated family members and the number of movements of each approach, the better. In contrast, the poor population indicator assumes that the greater the number of movements that pass through a poor area, the better the chance for that region's wellbeing. As a result, the bigger the multiplication value of the number of poor families with the number of moves of each method, the more favorable the indicator [28,29].

2.2.4. Technical transportation criteria

The assessment of transportation technical criteria, with indicators of connectivity between modes and modes of transportation, is carried out by estimating the number of infrastructure and transportation facilities in the area traversed by the road network system under development. The larger the daily traffic going through this location, the higher the value. The presence of a terminal, wharf/port, and/or airport in the area is referred to as transportation infrastructure in this indicator. While the means of transportation referred to in this indicator are the number of families in the area who own 2 (two) or 4 (four) wheeled vehicles [30,31].

2.2.5. Service criteria

Regarding service criteria, the regional accessibility indicator includes the criterion of the availability of a public road network. The accessibility index value given in km units of road length for each km<sup>2</sup> unit of area to be served (km/km<sup>2</sup>) is the unit for analyzing regional accessibility. The population mobility indicator includes a road network accommodating community mobility/movement. The mobility index value given in kilometers of road length per 1,000 residents (km/1,000 population) is the unit for analyzing mobility. Each metric will be multiplied by the quantity of

daily traffic from each strategy to compare indicators of regional accessibility and passenger mobility from each road network system development strategy that will be implemented. The more beneficial the road network system is, the higher the value derived from the multiplication result [32,33].

Following the determination of which strategy was produced in West Papua Province, policy and strategy analysis with a SWOT analysis was employed in road enrichment efforts focusing on governance, SDGs 2030, and LTS LCCR 2050.

The process of finding, analyzing, and assessing potentially useful internal and external variables is known as the SWOT analysis, which is an abbreviation for the words "strengths" (S), "weaknesses" (W), "opportunities" (O), and "threats" (T). The subsequent steps must be performed to construct the matrix of strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats [34,35]:

- Internal factor identification, including significant strengths and weaknesses, and the creation of the internal factor evaluation matrix (IFE).
- Internal factors, including significant opportunities and dangers, are identified, and an external factor evaluation matrix (EFE) is created.
- Create an internal-external matrix.
- The weighting is calculated using the respondents' responses and then multiplied by the rating (1-5, very low-extremely high) to generate a score for each internal and external aspect.
- Formulation of strategy recommendations using the SWOT (strengths, weaknesses, threats, opportunities) matrix.
- To select the five best strategies and policies based on weighting, ranking, and scoring.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Determination of the selected strategy

The ranking and weighting of the criteria and indicators will be performed before performing the overall assessment stage of each strategy's criteria and indicators. In this study, the Equal Important, Expert Judgment, and Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) techniques were ranked and weighted, as shown in Tables 2, 3, and 4 [36, 37, 38].

**Table 2** Weight of criteria and indicators using equal important

No	Criteria	Weight	Indicator	Weight
1	Economic	0.20	Benefit	0.067
			Internal rate of return	0.067
			Road user costs	0.067
2	Spatial	0.20	Development area	0.100
			Protected forest area	0.100
3	Social	0.20	Prosperity	0.100
			Poverty	0.100
4	Technical transportation	0.20	Intermodal Connectivity	0.100
			Transportation tool	0.100
5	Service	0.20	Accessibility	0.100
			Mobility	0.100

**Table 3** Weight of criteria and indicators using expert judgment

No	Criteria	Weight	Indicator	Weight
1	Service	0.46	Accessibility	0.350
			Mobility	0.120
2	Spatial	0.26	Development area	0.190
			Protected forest area	0.070
3	Economic	0.16	Benefit	0.100
			Internal rate of return	0.040
			Road user costs	0.020
4	Technical transportation	0.09	Intermodal Connectivity	0.070
			Transportation tool	0.020
5	Service	0.04	Accessibility	0.030
			Mobility	0.010

**Table 4** Weight of criteria and indicators using analytical hierarchy process

No	Criteria	Weight	Indicator	Weight
1	Social	0.29	Prosperity	0.160
			Poverty	0.130
2	Spatial	0.24	Development area	0.140
			Protected forst area	0.100
3	Economic	0.19	Benefit	0.080
			Internal rate of return	0.060
			Road user costs	0.050
4	Technical transportation	0.16	Intermodal Connectivity	0.090
			Transportation tool	0.070
5	Service	0.12	Accessibility	0.070
			Mobility	0.050

Then, as shown in Table 5, determine the standardization of values and the selected strategy [39,40].

**Table 5** Result of various strategies standardization values

No	Criteria	Indicator	Parameter	Standardization of values			Point of equal important			Point of expert judgement			Point of AHP		
				Strg I	Strg II	Strg III	Strg I	Strg II	Strg III	Strg I	Strg II	Strg III	Strg I	Strg II	Strg III
1	Economic	Benefit	NPV	0,841	0,796	1,000	0,056	0,053	0,067	0,034	0,032	0,040	0,050	0,048	0,060
		Internal rate of return	EIRR	0,190	0,000	1,000	0,013	0,000	0,067	0,004	0,000	0,020	0,015	0,000	0,080
		Road user costs	RUC	1,000	0,000	0,713	0,067	0,000	0,048	0,100	0,000	0,071	0,050	0,000	0,036
2	Spatial	Development area	number of leading sectors to movement	0,661	0,669	1,000	0,066	0,067	0,100	0,126	0,127	0,190	0,093	0,094	0,140
		Protected forest area	number of movements of roads passing through protected forests	1,000	0,976	0,000	0,100	0,098	0,000	0,070	0,068	0,000	0,100	0,098	0,000
3	Social	Prosperity	the number of families whose members are in college education	1,000	0,992	0,661	0,100	0,099	0,066	0,010	0,010	0,007	0,130	0,129	0,086
		Poverty	number of poor families	0,661	0,669	1,000	0,066	0,067	0,100	0,020	0,020	0,030	0,106	0,107	0,160
4	Technical transportation	Intermodal Connectivity	number of transportation infrastructure	0,661	0,669	1,000	0,066	0,067	0,100	0,046	0,047	0,070	0,059	0,060	0,090
		Transportation tool	number of means of transportation owned	0,661	0,669	1,000	0,066	0,067	0,100	0,013	0,013	0,020	0,046	0,047	0,070
5	Service	Accessibility	vehicle km / area	0,659	0,669	1,000	0,066	0,067	0,100	0,231	0,234	0,350	0,046	0,047	0,070
		Mobility	vehicle km/1000 population	0,661	0,669	1,000	0,066	0,067	0,100	0,079	0,080	0,120	0,033	0,033	0,050
Total value							0.73	0.65	0.85	0.73	0.63	0.92	0.73	0.66	0.84

Table 5 data demonstrate that strategy III was adopted. In certain ways, the development of the road infrastructure system is adapted to local situations as well as national hospitality strategies.

### 3.2. SWOT analysis

#### 3.2.1. Internal factor

The first step in developing the internal factor evaluation matrix is to rank the weaknesses and strengths of the current transportation system in West Papua Province. Thirty city transportation experts and planners were polled for their thoughts on the matter. Impact or importance was determined after identifying internal elements through questionnaires and consulting with experts. Each strength and weakness is given a number between 0 and 1 so that the sum of the coefficients equals 1 [41,42]. This allows us to determine the degree to which the internal components are impacted. Each discovered internal factor was also given a score between 1 and 4, with 1 indicating extreme weakness, 2 normal weakness, 3 normal strength, and 4 extreme strength [43]. The final score is determined by multiplying the weight by the ranking. Table 6 displays the weight, rank, and score of the identified internal components [44].

**Table 6** Result of internal factors (strengths and weaknesses)

No	Strengths	Weight	Ranking	Score
1	Environmental impact assessment document	0.05	3	0.15
2	Regional spatial plans	0.05	3	0.15
3	There are roads that are 4-6 meters wide	0.20	4	0.80
4	Acceleration of the local economy	0.10	4	0.40
5	Improve the investment climate	0.05	3	0.15
6	Enhance social and economic activities	0.05	3	0.15
7	Reduce regional isolation	0.10	4	0.40
8	Significant potential for industrial agriculture	0.05	3	0.15
	Sub Total	0.65		2.35
No	Weaknesses	Weight	Ranking	Score
1	Low Human Development Index	0.15	2	0.30
2	Disparities in geography and geography	0.10	2	0.20
3	Not yet planned for in low-carbon development	0.01	2	0.02
4	Without considering climate resiliency	0.01	2	0.02
5	High degree of road saturation	0.02	2	0.04
6	Air pollution	0.01	1	0.01
7	Noise pollution	0.01	1	0.01
8	Discomfort for road users	0.01	1	0.01
9	Feelings of discomfort for the community around the road	0.01	1	0.01
10	there is no green open space	0.01	1	0.01
11	Limited transportation facilities and infrastructure	0.01	2	0.02
	Sub Total	0.35		0.65
	Total	1.00		3.00

#### 3.2.2. External factor

The opportunities and threats were identified in the second phase of the SWOT analysis. West Papua did the same for the transportation sector by compiling expert opinions in Table 7. Once identified, external factors followed the same



evaluation process as internal ones to determine their importance and relative ranking. In reality, the scores 1, 2, 3, and 4 represented the most basic, typical, and most basic levels of understanding [45]. Table 7 displays the weight, ranking, and final score for the most important opportunities and threats in the field of transportation [46].

**Table 7** Result of external factors (opportunities and threats)

No	Opportunities	Weight	Ranking	Score
1	Road widening to 25 meters for 21.43 km	0.15	4	0.60
2	Compensation costs	0.15	4	0.60
3	National construction funds	0.10	4	0.40
4	Easy access to vehicle loans	0.01	3	0.03
5	Smooth agricultural supplies	0.03	3	0.09
6	Reducing traffic congestion	0.05	3	0.15
7	Free-market of Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) and AFTA (ASEAN region)	0.01	3	0.03
8	Decentralization and Special Autonomy	0.05	3	0.15
	Sub Total	0.55		2.05
No	Threats	Weight	Ranking	Score
1	High hydrometeorological disaster	0.15	2	0.30
2	High Disaster Risk Index	0.05	2	0.10
3	Mangroves have been destroyed	0.01	2	0.02
4	The road drainage system has multiple points of degradation	0.01	1	0.01
5	Climate change issues	0.01	1	0.01
6	Land conflicts due to road widening	0.05	1	0.05
7	Low traffic order	0.01	1	0.01
8	High traffic accidents	0.01	1	0.01
9	Realizing equitable development	0.05	2	0.10
10	Accelerating district and provincial economic growth	0.05	2	0.10
11	Enhancing infrastructure, services, and transportation	0.05	2	0.10
	Sub Total	0.45		0.81
	Total	1.00		2.86

### 3.2.3. Evaluation of the transportation system's status

Following this phase of SWOT implementation, a preferred situation will have been chosen among the four possible ones (aggressive, competitive, conservative, and defensive), and appropriate measures for enhancing sustainable transportation in West Papua will be provided [47]. To put it another way, we can compare and contrast internal and external elements using the corresponding matrices. Using an evaluation matrix, the current state of the transportation network in West Papua can be specified. The final scores obtained from the internal and external factor assessment matrices are placed in the vertical and horizontal dimensions, respectively, to construct the matrix, determine the status of the transportation system, and select the most effective techniques. This matrix, which is similar to a strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats (SWOT) analysis, details the best strategies for bolstering the transportation system. The ranking of the transportation system was determined by subtracting the sum of the scores on the internal and external factor matrices. The sum of the external factors' scores is 2.86 (Table 7), while the sum of the internal factors' scores is 3.00 (Table 6). The matrices for internal and external factors and their corresponding scores, are

depicted in Figure 4. Figure 4 shows that the West Papua transportation system is currently stable. It's important to note that the transportation system is in an aggressive domain if Internal Factor Evaluation (IFE) is greater than 2.5 and External Factor Evaluation (EFE) is greater than 2.5. The West Papua transportation system is aggressive, with IFE=3.0 and EFE=2.86; as a result, SO techniques can be identified to take advantage of opportunities and mitigate the strength of threats [48].

When taking a cautious stance, SO techniques offer the most potential for enhancing the transportation system. That is to say, the aggressive strategy's primary goal is to use existing chances to strengthen the transportation system from within. As a result, Table 8 displays the aggressive methods that have been extracted from the confluence of the transportation system of strengths and opportunities in West Papua. To this purpose, managers and city planners combined 8 strength variables with 8 opportunity factors to identify 64 SO tactics. Most of these hybrid approaches, however, were not particularly appealing. Managers and city planners in West Papua have settled on 6 viable SO solutions to enhance the city's transportation network (Table 8) [49,50]. The recommended SO strategies emphasize rapid road enhancements with recommendations on Regional Spatial Planning and Environmental Impact Analysis; local economic improvement, and agricultural product distribution; concerned with low carbon development and climate resilient; and facility and infrastructure referred to decentralization and special autonomy.




Figure 4 Quadruple situations of SWOT implementation

Table 8 Key strategies for urban transportation system in West Papua

	External
Internal	Opportunities
	Road widening to 25 meters for 21.43 km
	Compensation costs
	National construction funds
	Easy access to vehicle loans
	Smooth agricultural supplies
	Reducing traffic congestion
	Free-market of Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) and AFTA (ASEAN region)
	Decentralization and Special Autonomy

<b>Stregths</b>	<b>Strategies (SO)</b>
Environmental impact assessment document	SO1. Accelerated road widening is urgently required to alleviate traffic congestion, isolation, and discomfort to users and the general public
Regional spatial plans	SO2 The AMDAL analyzed the substantial impacts of road widening and adapted it to the West Papua Provincial Spatial Plan
There are roads that are 4-6 meters wide	SO3 Local economy development, financial conditions, and free market competition
Acceleration of the local economy	SO4 The delivery of agricultural goods is becoming improved
Improve the investment climate	SO5 The focus of road improvements is on low-carbon development, climate change, and disasters.
Enhance social and economic activities	SO6 Transportation facility and infrastructure management with a focus on decentralization and special autonomy
Reduce regional isolation	
Significant potential for industrial agriculture	

#### 4. Conclusion

Using the Equal Important, Expert Judgment, and Analytical Hierarchy Process methods for road network system development, the study reveals that the third strategy chosen is compiling road network system development plans from the local and national governments, considering equity and growth (strategy III).

Furthermore, to obtain strategy and policy development from strategy III, a SWOT analysis was carried out and obtained IFE and EFE values of 3.0 and 2.86, respectively. Thus, developing policies and strategies for the road network enhancements system in West Papua Province refers to quadruple aggressiveness.

In this study, 8 combined 8 strength variables with 8 opportunity factors to identify 64 SO tactics were obtained. However, managers and city planners define the 6 best tactics by focusing attention on rapid road enhancements with recommendations on Regional Spatial Planning and Environmental Impact Analysis; local economic improvement, and agricultural product distribution; concerned with low carbon development and climate resilient; and facilities and infrastructure referred to decentralization and special autonomy.

#### Compliance with ethical standards

##### *Acknowledgments*

The authors would like to extend their gratitude to everyone who contributed to the SWOT analysis for road improvement in West Papua Province, particularly the Head of the National Road Implementation Office for the Province, as well as the Head of Public Works and Spatial Planning and his or her staff, which included specialists and planners active in city transportation.

##### *Disclosure of conflict of interest*

There is no conflict of interest.

##### *Statement of ethical approval*

Ethical clearance was approved by the Ethics Committee of West Papua National Road Implementation Center referring to Regulation of the Minister of Public Works and Public Housing No 6/PRT/M/2020 on September 2020.

##### *Statement of informed consent*

Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

---

**References**

- [1] Filazzola A, Shrestha N, MacIvor JS. The contribution of constructed green infrastructure to urban biodiversity: A synthesis and meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Ecology*. 2019 Sep, 56(9):2131-43.
- [2] Parlindungan, C., Adisasmita S.A., Manusawai, J, Sineri, A.S., and Hendri. Towards West Papua's urban green infrastructure roads management. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*. 2023, 18(01): 716-729. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr/2023.18.1.0630>.
- [3] Baum-Snow, N., Henderson, J. V., Turner, M. A., Zhang, Q., & Brandt, L. Does investment in national highways help or hurt hinterland city growth?. *Journal of Urban Economics*. 2020, 115, 103124.
- [4] Directorate General of Highways, National Road Implementation Agency Region XVII Manokwari. Traffic Account Survey on Drs. Esau Esa – Maruni. Manokwari. 2022, 84 pp.
- [5] Hall P, Tewdwr-Jones M. *Urban and regional planning*. Routledge, 2019 Oct 8.
- [6] Ambugadu AM, Hosni NB. MODELLING INFORMAL SETTLEMENTS EXPANSION FOR SUSTAINABLE URBAN DEVELOPMENT: A SCIENTOMETRIC REVIEW. *PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt/Egyptology*. 2022 Apr 9, 19(1):1567-92.
- [7] Akbardin, J., Permana, A. Y., & Nurahman, H. The Study Degree of Saturation on Toll Road Access Based on Changes in Urban Settlement Land. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 2020 September Vol. 1625, No. 1, p. 012038. IOP Publishing.
- [8] Liu D, Clarke KC, Chen N. Integrating spatial nonstationarity into SLEUTH for urban growth modeling: A case study in the Wuhan metropolitan area. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*. 2020 Nov 1, 84:101545.
- [9] Shao Z, Sumari NS, Portnov A, Ujoh F, Musakwa W, Mandela PJ. Urban sprawl and its impact on sustainable urban development: a combination of remote sensing and social media data. *Geo-spatial Information Science*. 2021 Apr 3, 24(2):241-55.
- [10] Mavrin V, Magdin K, Shepelev V, Danilov I. Reduction of environmental impact from road transport using analysis and simulation methods. *Transportation Research Procedia*. 2020 Jan 1, 50:451-7.
- [11] Directorate General of Highways, West Papua National Road Implementation Center. Increased-road capacity (widening) of the Maruni - Border road section and the Drs. Esau Sesa section in Manokwari Regency, West Papua Province. 2022, 1046 pp.
- [12] Mallick SK, Das P, Maity B, Rudra S, Pramanik M, Pradhan B, Sahana M. Understanding future urban growth, urban resilience and sustainable development of small cities using prediction-adaptation-resilience (PAR) approach. *Sustainable Cities and Society*. 2021 Nov 1, 74:103196.
- [13] Raszkowski A, Bartniczka B. On the road to sustainability: Implementation of the 2030 Agenda sustainable development goals (SDG) in Poland. *Sustainability*. 2019 Jan 12, 11(2):366..
- [14] Begum, S., Fisher, R. S., Ferranti, E. J., & Quinn, A. D. (2022). Evaluation of Climate Change Resilience of Urban Road Network Strategies. *Infrastructures*. 2022, 7(11), 146.
- [15] Loder A, Ambühl L, Menendez M, Axhausen KW. Understanding traffic capacity of urban networks. *Scientific reports*. 2019 Nov 8, 9(1):16283.
- [16] Elmqvist T, Andersson E, Frantzeskaki N, McPhearson T, Olsson P, Gaffney O, Takeuchi K, Folke C. Sustainability and resilience for transformation in the urban century. *Nature sustainability*. 2019 Apr, 2(4):267-73.
- [17] Tachaudomdach S, Upayokin A, Kronprasert N, Arunotayanun K. Quantifying road-network robustness toward flood-resilient transportation systems. *Sustainability*. 2021 Mar 13, 13(6):3172.
- [18] Sharai S, Olskevych M, Roi M. Development of the procedure for simulation modeling of interrelated transport processes on the main road network. *Eastern-European Journal of Enterprise Technologies*. 2019, 5(3):70-83.
- [19] Sharifi A. Resilient urban forms: A review of literature on streets and street networks. *Building and Environment*. 2019 Jan 1, 147:171-87.
- [20] Hsieh CH, Feng CM. The highway resilience and vulnerability in Taiwan. *Transport policy*. 2020 Mar 1, 87:1-9.
- [21] Han Y, Wang Z, Lu X, Hu B. Application of AHP to road selection. *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*. 2020 Feb 1, 9(2):86.

- [22] Karpushko, M. O., Bartolomei, I. L., Karpushko, E. N., Zhidelev, A. V., & Bryzgalov, V. I. Analysis of Efficiency of Toll Road Network Development. In IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering. 2021 March, Vol. 1079, No. 3, p. 032096, IOP Publishing.
- [23] De Silva, M. J., Pasindu, H. R., & Bandara, Y. M. S. S. M. Review of the road sector investments in the last decade: its economic impact and sustainability. 2022.
- [24] Yafri Y, Priyambodho B. Feasibility of North Tangerang Toll Road Construction. Jurnal Syntax Admiration. 2023 Apr 24, 4(4):426-42.
- [25] Farida, I., Anasarida, A. A., Susetyaningsih, A., & Kurniawati, R. Revenue components of road construction operations based on economic feasibility analysis. In Journal of Physics: Conference Series. 2019 December, Vol. 1402, No. 2, p. 022017. IOP Publishing.
- [26] Shigeta R. A Spatiotemporal Exploratory Analysis of Assault Crimes Near Portland's TriMet Public Transportation Network. 2021.
- [27] Sakr, M., Zimányi, E., Vaisman, A., & Bakli, M. User-centered road network traffic analysis with MobilityDB. Transactions in GIS. 2022.
- [28] Allen T, Arkolakis C. The welfare effects of transportation infrastructure improvements. The Review of Economic Studies. 2022 Nov 7, 89(6):2911-57.
- [29] Asher S, Novosad P. Rural roads and local economic development. American economic review. 2020 Mar 1, 110(3):797-823.
- [30] Batra, A., Singh, S., Pang, G., Basu, S., Jawahar, C. V., & Paluri, M. Improved road connectivity by joint learning of orientation and segmentation. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. 2019, pp. 10385-10393.
- [31] Boeing G. Urban spatial order: Street network orientation, configuration, and entropy. Applied Network Science. 2019 Dec, 4(1):1-9.
- [32] Sharifi A. Resilient urban forms: A review of literature on streets and street networks. Building and Environment. 2019 Jan 1, 147:171-87.
- [33] Banerjee A, Duflo E, Qian N. On the road: Access to transportation infrastructure and economic growth in China. Journal of Development Economics. 2020 Jun 1, 145:102442.
- [34] Nikjow MA, Liang L, Qi X, Sepasgozar S. Engineering procurement construction in the context of belt and road infrastructure projects in west Asia: a SWOT analysis. Journal of Risk and Financial Management. 2021 Feb 26, 14(3):92.
- [35] Akbar M, Utary C, Kakerissa Y, Asmal S. Priorities of road network development to support national food flows in Merauke District with SWOT and AHP methods. In IOP conference series: earth and environmental science 2019 Oct 1, Vol. 343, No. 1, p. 012185. IOP Publishing.
- [36] De Soyres F, Mulabdic A, Ruta M. Common transport infrastructure: A quantitative model and estimates from the Belt and Road Initiative. Journal of Development Economics. 2020 Mar 1, 143:102415.
- [37] Mottahedi A, Sereshki F, Ataei M, Qarahasanlou AN, Barabadi A. Resilience estimation of critical infrastructure systems: Application of expert judgment. Reliability Engineering & System Safety. 2021 Nov 1, 215:107849.
- [38] Zeng M, Gong Y. Evaluation of Urban Road Plant Landscape in Mianyang Based on Analytic Hierarchy Process. Art and Design Review. 2021 Apr 8, 9(2):198-209.
- [39] Zhang H, Yao Y. An integrative vulnerability evaluation model to urban road complex network. Wireless Personal Communications. 2019 Jul 1, 107:193-204.
- [40] Zhou J, He P, Qin Y, Ren D. A selection model based on SWOT analysis for determining a suitable strategy of prefabrication implementation in rural areas. Sustainable cities and society. 2019 Oct 1, 50:101715.
- [41] Trisnawati I, Mudjanarko SW, Koespiadi K. Analysis of Road Network Development Strategies in South Sumatra Province. THE SPIRIT OF SOCIETY JOURNAL: International Journal of Society Development and Engagement. 2023 Mar 17, 6(2):152-64.
- [42] Cui J, Allan A, Lin D. SWOT analysis and development strategies for underground pedestrian systems. Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology. 2019 May 1, 87:127-33.

- [43] Guzman P. Assessing the sustainable development of the historic urban landscape through local indicators. Lessons from a Mexican World Heritage City. *Journal of Cultural Heritage*. 2020 Nov 1, 46:320-7.
- [44] Mohammadpour S, Zali N, Amiri S. 2. Strategic planning of regional transport integrated development (Case Study: Kerman Province). *Geography and Planning*. 2020 Oct 22, 24(73):277-303.
- [45] Nabi Bidhendi G, Daryabeigi Zand A, Vaezi Heir A, Nabi Bidhendi A. Prioritizing of strategies for the ecological design of urban waste transfer stations using SWOT analysis. *Journal of Environmental Science Studies*. 2020 Jun 21, 5(2):2665-72.
- [46] Shang Y, Sun Y, Xu A. Rural ecotourism planning and design based on SWOT analysis. *International Journal of Low-Carbon Technologies*. 2020 Aug, 15(3):368-72.
- [47] Cheng B, Huang J, Guo Z, Li J, Chen H. Towards sustainable construction through better construction and demolition waste management practices: a SWOT analysis of Suzhou, China. *International Journal of Construction Management*. 2022 May 24:1-1.
- [48] Gerami F, Hosseini SZ. Planning for Sustainable Tourism Development using SWOT Analysis–Case Study: Pasargad Region–Fars, Iran. *Athens Journal of Tourism*. 2021, 8(1):43-54.
- [49] Fan P, Zhu Y, Ye Z, Zhang G, Gu S, Shen Q, Meshram SG, Alvandi E. Identification and Prioritization of Tourism Development Strategies Using SWOT, QSPM, and AHP: A Case Study of Changbai Mountain in China. *Sustainability*. 2023 Mar 10, 15(6):4962.
- [50] Saputra HJ, Djakfar L, Wicaksono A. Road Network Handling Strategies in Katingan District. *Research Journal of Advanced Engineering and Science*. 2019, 4(3):265-72.