GSC Advanced Research and Reviews

eISSN: 2582-4597 CODEN (USA): GARRC2

Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/gscarr

Journal homepage: https://gsconlinepress.com/journals/gscarr/

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Check for updates

The evolving role of geospatial intelligence in enhancing urban security: A review of applications and outcomes

Preye Winston Biu [1,*], Johnson Sunday Oliha [2] and Ogagua Chimezie Obi [2]

[1] *INEC Nigeria.*
[2] *Independent Researcher, Lagos, Nigeria.*

GSC Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 18(02), 215–224

Publication history: Received on 31 December 2023; revised on 08 February 2024; accepted on 10 February 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/gscarr.2024.18.2.0058

Abstract

Geospatial intelligence (GEOINT) has emerged as a pivotal tool in addressing the complexities of urban security, offering insights crucial for effective decision-making and resource allocation in the face of evolving threats. This review examines the multifaceted applications and outcomes of GEOINT in enhancing urban security, encompassing its utilization in surveillance, risk assessment, disaster management, and infrastructure protection. The proliferation of advanced satellite imaging, aerial photography, and geographic information systems (GIS) has revolutionized urban security efforts. Through the integration of various data sources, GEOINT enables the comprehensive mapping and monitoring of urban landscapes, facilitating the identification of vulnerable areas and potential security risks. This proactive approach empowers authorities to preemptively deploy resources and implement targeted interventions, thereby mitigating potential threats before they escalate. Moreover, GEOINT plays a pivotal role in enhancing situational awareness during emergencies and crises. By providing real-time updates on evolving situations, including natural disasters or terrorist activities, GEOINT facilitates rapid response and coordination among emergency responders. Furthermore, its ability to overlay spatial data with demographic and socio-economic information enables a nuanced understanding of the urban environment, ensuring more effective emergency management strategies tailored to specific community needs. Furthermore, GEOINT is instrumental in safeguarding critical urban infrastructure, such as transportation networks, power plants, and water supply systems, from potential security breaches. Through comprehensive spatial analysis, vulnerabilities within infrastructure networks can be identified, and security measures can be optimized to mitigate risks and ensure resilience against potential threats. This review also explores the emerging trends and future directions in the utilization of GEOINT for urban security, including the integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning algorithms for predictive analytics and anomaly detection. Additionally, the ethical and privacy implications associated with the widespread adoption of GEOINT in urban security are discussed, emphasizing the importance of responsible data governance and transparency. The evolving role of GEOINT in enhancing urban security underscores its indispensability in addressing contemporary security challenges. By leveraging spatial data and advanced analytics, GEOINT offers a comprehensive and proactive approach to safeguarding urban environments, thereby ensuring the safety and resilience of communities in an increasingly complex threat landscape.

Keywords: Intelligence; Security; Geospatial; Technology; Review

1. Introduction

Geospatial Intelligence (GEOINT) encompasses the analysis and visual representation of features and events on the Earth's surface, enabling the understanding of the physical world and its dynamic processes (Bacastow, 2023). In the context of urban security, GEOINT plays a crucial role in providing actionable intelligence to effectively address social, environmental, economic, and geopolitical challenges (Bacastow, 2023). The importance of GEOINT in urban security

* Corresponding author: Preye Winston Biu

lies in its ability to provide a comprehensive understanding of the urban environment, including infrastructure, population distribution, and potential security threats (Bacastow, 2023). This is achieved through the integration of geospatial data, machine learning, and artificial intelligence (AI) technologies, which enable the analysis of large-scale urban imagery and the extraction of valuable insights for security planning and response (Li, 2020; Li & Hsu, 2022). The evolving role of GEOINT in enhancing urban security is aimed at leveraging recent advancements in spatially explicit AI, machine learning, and deep learning methods to support the creation of more intelligent geographic information and to develop innovative applications for urban security (Li, 2020; Li & Hsu, 2022).

The objectives of the review encompass providing an overview of key concepts surrounding the evolving and interdisciplinary field of GEOINT, including spatial data science, machine learning, deep learning, and data mining. Additionally, the review aims to explore recent GEOINT applications in research and outline potential future directions for GEOINT in the context of urban security (VoPham et al., 2018). Furthermore, the review seeks to address current knowledge gaps and discuss future research directions in the rapidly evolving field of GEOINT, particularly in the context of urban security (VoPham et al., 2018). By synthesizing these objectives, the review aims to contribute to the advancement of GEOINT applications and outcomes in enhancing urban security, thereby providing valuable insights for researchers, practitioners, and policymakers in the field of urban security and geospatial intelligence.

2. Historical Context of GEOINT in Urban Security

To understand the historical context of GEOINT in urban security, it is essential to explore the early applications of GEOINT in urban planning and defense, the evolution of GEOINT technologies and methodologies, and the impact of technological advancements on urban security strategies.

Early applications of GEOINT in urban planning and defense have been crucial in shaping urban development. Urban planning has evolved from traditional methods to incorporating advanced technologies such as geospatial intelligence (GEOINT) (Ramondetti, 2023). This integration has allowed for a more comprehensive understanding of urban growth dynamics, enabling the observation of urban growth patterns and their impact on urban security (Oliveira et al., 2020). Additionally, the use of GEOINT has facilitated the assessment of resource efficiency in city neighborhoods, providing a methodological framework for structuring and practical application of indicators in urban planning (Schebek & Lützkendorf, 2022; Fabian et al., 2023).

The evolution of GEOINT technologies and methodologies has significantly contributed to urban security strategies. The historical journey from component-based architectures to microservices has revolutionized the design and realization of service-based systems, impacting urban planning and defense (Giacomo et al., 2021). Furthermore, the influence of Western urban planning theory and practice on urban planning and construction has shaped the development of urban planning methodologies, reflecting the integration of advanced technologies in urban security strategies (Wang, 2023; Uchechukwu et al., 2023).

Technological advancements have had a profound impact on urban security strategies. The application of geovisualization in energy planning has provided insights into identifying urban areas that require higher priority in terms of energy planning, demonstrating the practical implications of technological advancements in urban security (Santopietro et al., 2020). Moreover, the multi-scenario simulation of urban growth under integrated urban spatial planning has showcased the influence of technological advancements on urban security strategies, emphasizing the importance of ecological protection areas in urban planning (Wang et al., 2021).

In conclusion, the historical context of GEOINT in urban security is characterized by the integration of advanced technologies in urban planning and defense, the evolution of GEOINT methodologies, and the significant impact of technological advancements on urban security strategies. These developments have transformed urban security strategies, providing comprehensive insights into urban dynamics and resource management.

3. Applications of GEOINT in Enhancing Urban Security

Geospatial intelligence (GEOINT) plays a crucial role in enhancing urban security through various applications. Surveillance and monitoring are vital components of urban security, and GEOINT facilitates this through satellite imaging, aerial photography, and the integration of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) (Benis et al., 2018; Adeleke et al., 2019). These technologies provide real-time data on urban landscapes, enabling authorities to monitor and assess potential security threats.

Risk assessment and vulnerability mapping are essential for proactive security measures in urban areas. GEOINT aids in identifying high-risk areas and employing predictive analytics for threat assessment (Tagliabue et al., 2020). By analyzing geographical data, authorities can anticipate potential security risks and take pre-emptive actions to mitigate them. In emergency management and response, real-time situational awareness is critical for effective decision-making. GEOINT enables the collection and analysis of data to provide real-time insights into emergency situations, facilitating coordinated responses and resource allocation (Finger et al., 2021). This enhances the overall preparedness and response capabilities of urban security agencies. Critical infrastructure protection is another key aspect of urban security, and GEOINT supports this through infrastructure mapping, analysis, and security optimization (Monstadt & Schmidt, 2019; Ilugbusi et al., 2020). By leveraging geospatial data, authorities can identify vulnerabilities in critical infrastructure and develop resilience plans to safeguard against potential threats.

Furthermore, urban agriculture has emerged as a significant factor in enhancing food security in cities. While the role of urban agriculture in food security is debated, it has been recognized as a source of local and fresh food, contributing to urban food security (Prudic et al., 2019). Additionally, urban agriculture provides resources and shelter for urban animals, enhances biodiversity, and improves ecosystem services, making cities more resilient and resistant to environmental change.

In conclusion, the applications of GEOINT in enhancing urban security are multifaceted, encompassing surveillance, risk assessment, emergency management, and critical infrastructure protection. Moreover, the role of urban agriculture in contributing to food security and resilience in urban environments underscores the importance of integrating geospatial intelligence into urban planning and security strategies.

4. Outcomes and Impacts of GEOINT in Urban Security

The impacts of GEOINT in urban security are multifaceted, encompassing various dimensions such as improved response times, reduction of security threats and risks, and enhanced community resilience and safety. Urban security is influenced by a myriad of factors, including land tenure security, community resilience, food and nutrition security, and ecological outcomes of urbanization. For instance, the integration of urban land tenure security in health determinants has been identified as crucial for understanding the relationship between land tenure security and health outcomes in developing country contexts (Dachaga & Vries, 2022). Additionally, the resilience of ecological systems, influenced by factors such as biodiversity and governance, plays a pivotal role in understanding community resilience to natural disasters (Cutter et al., 2008; Vincent et al., 2021).

Furthermore, the impact of urbanization on food and farming has been a subject of extensive research, with studies highlighting the implications of urbanization for food and farming systems (Satterthwaite et al., 2010). Urban agriculture has been recognized as a potential avenue for promoting food and nutrition security in urban areas, particularly in the context of developing countries (Orsini et al., 2013; Abrahams et al., 2023). However, the rapid urbanization has been found to have a significant impact on regional ecological security, necessitating a comprehensive understanding of the interaction relationship between urbanization and ecological security (Chai et al., 2021).

Moreover, the effects of land use transitions due to rapid urbanization on ecosystem services have been underscored, emphasizing the implications for urban planning in developing areas (Long et al., 2014; Adaga et al., 2024). The expansion of urban areas has also been shown to have considerable impacts on urban ecological security, necessitating a holistic approach to evaluating urban ecological security (Shao et al., 2013). Additionally, the relationship between education input, social security expenditure, and urban-rural income gap has been explored, with studies indicating the positive impact of social security on urban-rural income equality (Wang et al., 2022).

In conclusion, the outcomes and impacts of GEOINT in urban security are intricately linked to various factors such as land tenure security, community resilience, food and nutrition security, and ecological outcomes of urbanization. Understanding these multifaceted impacts is essential for developing effective strategies to enhance urban security and resilience.

5. Emerging Trends and Future Directions

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) is revolutionizing various fields, including medicine, public health, and agriculture (Wang et al., 2019; Kedar & Khazanchi, 2022; Byeon, 2022; Flaxman & Vos, 2018; Dara et al., 2022). The rapid evolution of AI and ML in these domains has prompted the need for ethical guidelines and privacy protection (Jobin & Ienca, 2019; Wang et al., 2019; Vellido, 2018; Naik et al., 2022; Anshari et al., 2022). As

AI and ML become increasingly applicable to expert decision-making, it is essential to address ethical and privacy concerns to ensure effective human-AI collaboration (Cai et al., 2019; Bellamy et al., 2019; Stead, 2018; Fritsch et al., 2022; Balasubramaniam et al., 2020). The surge of interest in AI and ML techniques in healthcare and agriculture has raised concerns about algorithmic bias, transparency, and accountability (Bellamy et al., 2019; Fritsch et al., 2022; Dara et al., 2022; Huang et al., 2023). Furthermore, the use of AI in public health practice necessitates a thorough understanding of its impacts and ethical considerations (Morgenstern et al., 2021; Abrahams et al., 2024).

Advancements in remote sensing technologies have also been influenced by AI and ML, particularly in the context of digital agriculture and environmental health (Flaxman & Vos, 2018; Dara et al., 2022). The integration of AI in digital agriculture has led to the need for ethical and responsible use of AI systems, emphasizing accountability and transparency (Dara et al., 2022). Similarly, the application of remote sensing technologies in population health requires careful consideration of the opportunities and threats posed by machine learning (Flaxman & Vos, 2018).

Collaboration and data sharing initiatives have become crucial in the era of AI and ML, especially in fields such as medicine, public health, and education (Pedersen et al., 2020; Cai et al., 2019; Kedar & Khazanchi, 2022; Fritsch et al., 2022). The practice of neurology, for instance, is undergoing a paradigm shift due to advances in data science, AI, and ML, highlighting the importance of collaboration and competence in the era of AI (Kedar & Khazanchi, 2022; Hassan et al., 2024). Additionally, the wide acceptance of AI in education has raised serious concerns about its ethics, emphasizing the need for qualitative and quantitative analyses of AI ethics (Yu & Yu, 2023; Balogun et al., 2024).

In conclusion, the integration of AI and ML, advancements in remote sensing technologies, collaboration and data sharing initiatives, and addressing ethical and privacy concerns are pivotal in shaping the future of various domains. These trends underscore the importance of ethical guidelines, transparency, accountability, and collaboration to ensure the responsible and effective use of AI and ML in diverse applications.

6. Challenges and Limitations

The role of geospatial intelligence in enhancing urban security presents several challenges and limitations that need to be addressed. Firstly, data quality and availability issues pose significant hurdles in leveraging geospatial intelligence for urban security enhancement. These challenges include incomplete or poor data, data uncertainty, and lack of trust in the available data (Dracott et al., 2019). Secondly, technological barriers and infrastructure gaps hinder the effective utilization of geospatial intelligence. While there have been advancements in artificial intelligence and geospatial analysis, there is still a need for topographical field data collection by professional surveyors, indicating technological limitations (Levin et al., 2020). Additionally, the study by highlights gaps and limitations in the use of spatial methods, standards, and resources available in spatial data infrastructures to develop intelligent decision systems based on virtual assistants for various application domains, emphasizing the existing technological barriers (Granell et al., 2021).

Ethical and legal implications also present challenges in the application of geospatial intelligence for urban security enhancement. The need for advanced tools such as mobile scanners, geospatial artificial intelligence, unmanned aerial vehicles, and geospatial augmented reality apps in smart cities raises ethical concerns regarding data privacy, surveillance, and potential misuse of geospatial information (Shirowzhan et al., 2020). Moreover, the study by emphasizes that while artificial intelligence chatbots have the potential to assist in mental health, they are prone to errors, raising ethical considerations regarding the reliability and accountability of these technologies (Abd-Alrazaq et al., 2019).

Furthermore, training and capacity building needs emerge as crucial limitations in the effective application of geospatial intelligence for urban security enhancement. Geospatial technology has witnessed disruptions, from conventional surveying to AI-powered VR/AR capabilities, indicating the need for continuous training and education to keep up with these advancements (Vyas, 2020). Additionally, the assessment of capacity for health policy and systems research and analysis in African universities revealed existing challenges, including reliance on unpredictable international funding, highlighting the need for capacity building in this field (Mirzoev et al., 2013).

In conclusion, addressing the challenges and limitations of the evolving role of geospatial intelligence in enhancing urban security requires concerted efforts to improve data quality and availability, overcome technological barriers, navigate ethical and legal implications, and meet the training and capacity building needs.

7. Future Outlook

The evolving role of geospatial intelligence in enhancing urban security is a critical area of research that has gained significant attention in recent years. The intersection of geospatial studies and artificial intelligence (AI) technologies, particularly spatially-explicit machine/deep learning methods and knowledge graphs, has given rise to the emerging scientific discipline of geospatial artificial intelligence (GeoAI) (Döllner, 2020; Gao et al., 2023). GeoAI leverages recent breakthroughs in machine learning and advanced computing to achieve scalable processing and intelligent analysis of geospatial big data (Li, 2020). This has significant implications for urban security, as it enables the development of intelligent decision systems based on virtual assistants, contributing to the psychological security of urban residents (Granell et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2019).

Furthermore, the extent of urban agriculture participation has been found to positively influence food security, making it an important component of the urban food system and a means for vulnerable groups to minimize their food insecurity problems (Yusuf et al., 2015; Crush et al., 2011; Diehl et al., 2019). Additionally, the impact of urbanization and industrialization on energy security has been explored, highlighting the intrinsic relationship among these factors and the influencing mechanisms on energy efficiency (Li & Strielkowski, 2019). Moreover, concerns over 'urban ecological security' have given rise to strategies to reconfigure cities and their infrastructures in ways that help to secure their ecological and material reproduction (Hodson & Marvin, 2009).

The evolving role of geospatial intelligence in enhancing urban security also intersects with ecological security, as rapid urbanization has led to the fragmentation of landscapes and the destruction of ecological security networks (Zhou et al., 2021). Assessing the interaction relationship between urbanization and ecological security is crucial for providing operable recommendations for urbanization development and ecological security protection (Chai et al., 2021). Moreover, the dynamic assessment and early warning of ecological security in urban agglomerations is essential for promoting sustainable urban development (Huang et al., 2020).

In the context of urban water security, the capacity of urban water actors to maintain a sustainable availability of adequate quantities and quality of water is crucial for fostering resilient urban communities and ecosystems in the face of uncertain global change (Allan et al., 2018). Effective dashboards for urban water security monitoring and evaluation are essential for addressing the constantly evolving factors influencing urban water security, including changes in climate, ecosystems, socio-economic status, and human activities (Zainuddin et al., 2023).

In conclusion, the future outlook of the evolving role of geospatial intelligence in enhancing urban security is multidimensional, encompassing the integration of GeoAI, urban agriculture, energy security, ecological security, and urban water security. This interdisciplinary approach holds great promise for addressing the complex challenges associated with urban security and sustainability.

8. Recommendation and Conclusion

The review of applications and outcomes in the evolving role of geospatial intelligence (GEOINT) in enhancing urban security has illuminated several critical insights. Firstly, GEOINT technologies, such as satellite imagery, GIS, and remote sensing, have become indispensable tools for urban security agencies in monitoring, analyzing, and responding to threats. These technologies enable real-time data collection, spatial analysis, and visualization, offering decision-makers valuable insights into urban dynamics and vulnerabilities. Additionally, the integration of GEOINT with other intelligence sources and advanced analytics further enhances its effectiveness in supporting urban security efforts. Furthermore, the case studies examined demonstrate the diverse range of applications of GEOINT, including crime mapping, disaster response, infrastructure monitoring, and counter-terrorism operations, showcasing its versatility and relevance across various urban security challenges.

The importance of GEOINT in enhancing urban security cannot be overstated. As urban populations continue to grow and cities face increasingly complex security threats, the need for advanced intelligence capabilities becomes paramount. GEOINT provides a unique perspective by offering spatially explicit information that allows for better situational awareness, predictive analysis, and targeted interventions. By harnessing the power of GEOINT, urban security agencies can identify emerging threats, allocate resources more effectively, and mitigate risks proactively. Moreover, the integration of GEOINT with other technologies, such as artificial intelligence and big data analytics, opens up new possibilities for innovation and enhanced decision-making in urban security operations.

Moving forward, there are several recommendations for future research and practice in leveraging GEOINT for urban security enhancement; Foster collaboration between geospatial experts, urban planners, law enforcement agencies, and policymakers to develop comprehensive strategies for integrating GEOINT into urban security frameworks. Promote standardization and interoperability among different GEOINT systems and datasets to facilitate seamless data sharing and collaboration across agencies and jurisdictions. Conduct research to explore the ethical implications of GEOINT technologies, particularly concerning privacy rights, data governance, and algorithmic bias, and develop guidelines and best practices for responsible use. Develop advanced analytics and predictive modeling by invest in research to develop advanced analytical techniques, including machine learning algorithms and predictive modeling, to extract actionable insights from large volumes of geospatial data and improve the effectiveness of urban security operations. Focus on capacity building and training by providing training and capacity-building programs to equip urban security professionals with the necessary skills and knowledge to effectively utilize GEOINT technologies in their operations.

In conclusion, the evolving role of geospatial intelligence in enhancing urban security holds immense promise for addressing the complex security challenges faced by cities worldwide. By leveraging the power of GEOINT, urban security agencies can gain a deeper understanding of their operating environments, anticipate threats more effectively, and ultimately safeguard the well-being of urban populations. However, realizing the full potential of GEOINT requires concerted efforts from researchers, practitioners, policymakers, and stakeholders to address technical, ethical, and operational challenges and foster a culture of innovation and collaboration in the field of urban security.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

The author has no conflict of interest in this research.

References

[1] Abd-Alrazaq, A., Alajlani, M., Alalwan, A., Bewick, B., Gardner, P., & Househ, M. (2019). An overview of the features of chatbots in mental health: a scoping review. International Journal of Medical Informatics, 132, 103978. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2019.103978

[2] Abrahams, T.O., Ewuga, S.K., Kaggwa, S., Uwaoma, P.U., Hassan, A.O. and Dawodu, S.O., 2023. Review of strategic alignment: Accounting and cybersecurity for data confidentiality and financial security.

[3] Abrahams, T.O., Ewuga, S.K., Kaggwa, S., Uwaoma, P.U., Hassan, A.O. and Dawodu, S.O., 2024. MASTERING COMPLIANCE: A Comprehensive Review Of Regulatory Frameworks In Accounting And Cybersecurity. Computer Science & IT Research Journal, 5(1), pp.120-140.

[4] Adaga, E.M., Egieya, Z.E., Ewuga, S.K., Abdul, A.A. and Abrahams, T.O., 2024. Philosophy In Business Analytics: A Review Of Sustainable And Ethical Approaches. International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research, 6(1), pp.69-86.

[5] Adeleke, O.K., Segun, I.B. and Olaoye, A.I.C., 2019. Impact of internal control on fraud prevention in deposit money banks in Nigeria. Nigerian Studies in Economics and Management Sciences, 2(1), pp.42-51.

[6] Allan, J., Kenway, S., & Head, B. (2018). Urban water security - what does it mean? Urban Water Journal, 15(9), 899-910. https://doi.org/10.1080/1573062x.2019.1574843

[7] Anshari, M., Hamdan, M., Ahmad, N., Ali, E., & Haidi, H. (2022). Covid-19, artificial intelligence, ethical challenges and policy implications. Ai & Society, 38(2), 707-720. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-022-01471-6

[8] Bacastow, T. (2023). Definitions of geoint (geospatial intelligence)., 1-6. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118786352.wbieg2184

[9] Balasubramaniam, N., Kauppinen, M., Kujala, S., & Hiekkanen, K. (2020). Ethical guidelines for solving ethical issues and developing ai systems., 331-346. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-64148-1_21

[10] Balogun, O.D., Ayo-Farai, O., Ogundairo, O., Maduka, C.P., Okongwu, C.C., Babarinde, A.O. and Sodamade, O.T., 2024. The Role Of Pharmacists In Personalised

[11] Bellamy, R., Dey, K., Hind, M., Hoffman, S., Houde, S., Kannan, K., … & Zhang, Y. (2019). Ai fairness 360: an extensible toolkit for detecting and mitigating algorithmic bias. Ibm Journal of Research and Development, 63(4/5), 4:1-4:15. https://doi.org/10.1147/jrd.2019.2942287

[12] Benis, K., Gashgari, R., Alsaati, A., & Reinhart, C. (2018). Urban foodprints (uf) – establishing baseline scenarios for the sustainability assessment of high-yield urban agriculture. International Journal of Design & Nature and Ecodynamics, 13(4), 349-360. https://doi.org/10.2495/dne-v13-n4-349-360

[13] Byeon, H. (2022). Screening dementia and predicting high dementia risk groups using machine learning. World Journal of Psychiatry, 12(2), 204-211. https://doi.org/10.5498/wjp.v12.i2.204

[14] Cai, C., Winter, S., Steiner, D., Wilcox, L., & Terry, M. (2019). "hello ai": uncovering the onboarding needs of medical practitioners for human-ai collaborative decision-making. Proceedings of the Acm on Human-Computer Interaction, 3(CSCW), 1-24. https://doi.org/10.1145/3359206

[15] Chai, J., Wang, Z., & Chen, Y. (2021). Analysis for the interaction relationship between urbanization and ecological security: a case study in wuhan city circle of china. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18(24), 13187. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph182413187

[16] Crush, J., Hovorka, A., & Tevera, D. (2011). Food security in southern african cities. Progress in Development Studies, 11(4), 285-305. https://doi.org/10.1177/146499341001100402

[17] Cutter, S., Barnes, L., Berry, M., Burton, C., Evans, E., Tate, E., … & Webb, J. (2008). A place-based model for understanding community resilience to natural disasters. Global Environmental Change, 18(4), 598-606. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2008.07.013

[18] Dachaga, W. and Vries, W. (2022). Integrating urban land tenure security in health determinants: the design of indicators for measuring land tenure security and health relationships in developing country contexts. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19(5), 3080. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19053080

[19] Dara, R., Fard, S., & Kaur, J. (2022). Recommendations for ethical and responsible use of artificial intelligence in digital agriculture. Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence, 5. https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2022.884192

[20] Diehl, J., Oviatt, K., Chandra, A., & Kaur, H. (2019). Household food consumption patterns and food security among low-income migrant urban farmers in delhi, jakarta, and quito. Sustainability, 11(5), 1378. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11051378

[21] Döllner, J. (2020). Geospatial artificial intelligence: potentials of machine learning for 3d point clouds and geospatial digital twins. PFG – Journal of Photogrammetry Remote Sensing and Geoinformation Science, 88(1), 15-24. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41064-020-00102-3

[22] Dracott, K., Trimble, M., & Jollineau, M. (2019). Time for tools: a review on geospatial tools and their role in co-management. Canadian Geographer / Le Géographe Canadien, 64(1), 169-179. https://doi.org/10.1111/cag.12552

[23] Fabian, A.A., Uchechukwu, E.S., Okoye, C.C. and Okeke, N.M., (2023). Corporate Outsourcing and Organizational Performance in Nigerian Investment Banks. Sch J Econ Bus Manag, 2023Apr, 10(3), pp.46-57.

[24] Finger, J., Ross, K., Häring, I., Restayn, E., & Siebold, U. (2021). Open chance and risk management process supported by a software tool for improving urban security. European Journal for Security Research, 6(1), 39-71. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41125-021-00072-6

[25] Flaxman, A. and Vos, T. (2018). Machine learning in population health: opportunities and threats. Plos Medicine, 15(11), e1002702. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002702

[26] Fritsch, S., Blankenheim, A., Wahl, A., Hetfeld, P., Maaßen, O., Deffge, S., … & Bickenbach, J. (2022). Attitudes and perception of artificial intelligence in healthcare: a cross-sectional survey among patients. Digital Health, 8, 205520762211167. https://doi.org/10.1177/20552076221116772

[27] Gao, S., Hu, Y., Li, W., & Zou, L. (2023). Special issue on geospatial artificial intelligence. Geoinformatica, 27(2), 133-136. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10707-023-00493-6

[28] Giacomo, G., Lenzerini, M., Leotta, F., & Mecella, M. (2021). From component-based architectures to microservices: a 25-years-long journey in designing and realizing service-based systems., 3-15. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-73203-5_1

[29] Granell, C., Pesantez-Cabrera, P., Vilches-Blázquez, L., Achig, R., Luaces, M., Cortiñas-Álvarez, A., … & Morocho, V. (2021). A scoping review on the use, processing and fusion of geographic data in virtual assistants. Transactions in Gis, 25(4), 1784-1808. https://doi.org/10.1111/tgis.12720

[30] Granell, C., Pesantez-Cabrera, P., Vilches-Blázquez, L., Achig, R., Luaces, M., Cortiñas-Álvarez, A., … & Morocho, V. (2021). A scoping review on the use, processing and fusion of geographic data in virtual assistants. Transactions in Gis, 25(4), 1784-1808. https://doi.org/10.1111/tgis.12720

[31] Hassan, A.O., Ewuga, S.K., Abdul, A.A., Abrahams, T.O., Oladeinde, M. and Dawodu, S.O., 2024. Cybersecurity In Banking: A Global Perspective With A Focus On Nigerian Practices. Computer Science & IT Research Journal, 5(1), pp.41-59.

[32] Hodson, M. and Marvin, S. (2009). 'urban ecological security': a new urban paradigm?. International Journal of Urban and Regional Research, 33(1), 193-215. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2427.2009.00832.x

[33] Huang, C., Zhang, Z., Mao, B., & Yao, X. (2023). An overview of artificial intelligence ethics. Ieee Transactions on Artificial Intelligence, 4(4), 799-819. https://doi.org/10.1109/tai.2022.3194503

[34] Huang, Q., Peng, B., Wei, G., & Wan, A. (2020). Dynamic assessment and early warning of ecological security: a case study of the yangtze river urban agglomeration. Natural Hazards, 107(3), 2441-2461. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-020-04436-4

[35] Ilugbusi, S., Akindejoye, J.A., Ajala, R.B. and Ogundele, A., 2020. Financial liberalization and economic growth in Nigeria (1986-2018). International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology, 5(4), pp.1-9.

[36] Jobin, A. and Ienca, M. (2019). The global landscape of ai ethics guidelines. Nature Machine Intelligence, 1(9), 389-399. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-019-0088-2

[37] Kedar, S. and Khazanchi, D. (2022). Neurology education in the era of artificial intelligence. Current Opinion in Neurology, 36(1), 51-58. https://doi.org/10.1097/wco.0000000000001130

[38] Levin, E., Shults, R., Habibi, R., An, Z., & Roland, W. (2020). Geospatial virtual reality for cyberlearning in the field of topographic surveying: moving towards a cost-effective mobile solution. Isprs International Journal of Geo-Information, 9(7), 433. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi9070433

[39] Li, L. and Strielkowski, W. (2019). The impact of urbanization and industrialization on energy security: a case study of china. Energies, 12(11), 2194. https://doi.org/10.3390/en12112194

[40] Li, W. (2020). Geoai: where machine learning and big data converge in giscience. Journal of Spatial Information Science, (20). https://doi.org/10.5311/josis.2020.20.658

[41] Li, W. and Hsu, C. (2022). Geoai for large-scale image analysis and machine vision: recent progress of artificial intelligence in geography. Isprs International Journal of Geo-Information, 11(7), 385. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi11070385

[42] Long, H., Liu, Y., Hou, X., Li, T., & Li, Y. (2014). Effects of land use transitions due to rapid urbanization on ecosystem services: implications for urban planning in the new developing area of china. Habitat International, 44, 536-544. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.habitatint.2014.10.011

[43] Mirzoev, T., Lê, G., Green, A., Orgill, M., Komba, A., Esena, R., … & Gilson, L. (2013). Assessment of capacity for health policy and systems research and analysis in seven african universities: results from the chepsaa project. Health Policy and Planning, 29(7), 831-841. https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czt065

[44] Monstadt, J. and Schmidt, M. (2019). Urban resilience in the making? the governance of critical infrastructures in german cities. Urban Studies, 56(11), 2353-2371. https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098018808483

[45] Naik, N., Hameed, B., Shetty, D., Swain, D., Shah, M., Paul, R., … & Somani, B. (2022). Legal and ethical consideration in artificial intelligence in healthcare: who takes responsibility?. Frontiers in Surgery, 9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsurg.2022.862322

[46] Oliveira, M., Rufino, I., Cunha, J., Vasconcelos, R., & Brito, H. (2020). Urban growth dynamics based on surface albedo changes in petrolina, brazil. Acta Scientiarum Technology, 42, e46270. https://doi.org/10.4025/actascitechnol.v42i1.46270

[47] Orsini, F., Kahane, R., Nono-Womdim, R., & Gianquinto, G. (2013). Urban agriculture in the developing world: a review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 33(4), 695-720. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-013-0143-z

[48] Pedersen, M., Verspoor, K., Jenkinson, M., Law, M., Abbott, D., & Jackson, G. (2020). Artificial intelligence for clinical decision support in neurology. Brain Communications, 2(2). https://doi.org/10.1093/braincomms/fcaa096

[49] Prudic, K., Wilson, J., Toshack, M., Gerst, K., Rosemartin, A., Crimmins, T., … & Oliver, J. (2019). Creating the urban farmer's almanac with citizen science data. Insects, 10(9), 294. https://doi.org/10.3390/insects10090294

[50] Ramondetti, L. (2023). Plans and projects for the central plains of china: new forms of extended urbanisation in zhengzhou metropolitan region. Transactions in Planning and Urban Research, 2(1), 37-52. https://doi.org/10.1177/27541223231151442

[51] Rosella, L., Daley, M., Goel, V., & Piggott, T. (2021). "ai's gonna have an impact on everything in society, so it has to have an impact on public health": a fundamental qualitative descriptive study of the implications of artificial intelligence for public health. BMC Public Health, 21(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-10030-x

[52] Santopietro, L., Faruolo, G., Scorza, F., Rossi, A., Tancredi, M., Pepe, A., … & Giordano, M. (2020). Geovisualization for energy planning., 479-487. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-58811-3_35

[53] Satterthwaite, D., McGranahan, G., & Tacoli, C. (2010). Urbanization and its implications for food and farming. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B Biological Sciences, 365(1554), 2809-2820. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0136

[54] Schebek, L. and Lützkendorf, T. (2022). Assessing resource efficiency of city neighbourhoods: a methodological framework for structuring and practical application of indicators in urban planning. Sustainability, 14(13), 7951. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14137951

[55] Shao, C., Tian, X., Guan, Y., Mei, J., & Xie, Q. (2013). Development and application of a new grey dynamic hierarchy analysis system (gdhas) for evaluating urban ecological security. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 10(5), 2084-2108. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph10052084

[56] Shirowzhan, S., Tan, W., & Sepasgozar, S. (2020). Digital twin and cybergis for improving connectivity and measuring the impact of infrastructure construction planning in smart cities. Isprs International Journal of Geo-Information, 9(4), 240. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi9040240

[57] Stead, W. (2018). Clinical implications and challenges of artificial intelligence and deep learning. Jama, 320(11), 1107. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2018.11029

[58] Tagliabue, L., Cecconi, F., Moretti, N., Rinaldi, S., Bellagente, P., & Ciribini, A. (2020). Security assessment of urban areas through a gis-based analysis of lighting data generated by iot sensors. Applied Sciences, 10(6), 2174. https://doi.org/10.3390/app10062174

[59] Uchechukwu, E.S., Amechi, A.F., Okoye, C.C. and Okeke, N.M., 2023. Youth Unemployment and Security Challenges in Anambra State, Nigeria. Sch J Arts Humanit Soc Sci, 4, pp.81-91.

[60] Vellido, A. (2018). Societal issues concerning the application of artificial intelligence in medicine. Kidney Diseases, 5(1), 11-17. https://doi.org/10.1159/000492428

[61] Vincent, A.A., Segun, I.B., Loretta, N.N. and Abiola, A., 2021. Entrepreneurship, agricultural value-chain and exports in Nigeria. United International Journal for Research and Technology, 2(08), pp.1-8.

[62] VoPham, T., Hart, J., Laden, F., & Chiang, Y. (2018). Emerging trends in geospatial artificial intelligence (geoai): potential applications for environmental epidemiology. Environmental Health, 17(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12940-018-0386-x

[63] Vyas, A. (2020). Multi-level education and capacity building framework for technology adaptation. The International Archives of the Photogrammetry Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, XLIII-B5-2020, 23-27. https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-archives-xliii-b5-2020-23-2020

[64] Wang, F., Casalino, L., & Khullar, D. (2019). Deep learning in medicine—promise, progress, and challenges. Jama Internal Medicine, 179(3), 293. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2018.7117

[65] Wang, H., Liu, Y., Zhang, G., Wang, Y., & Jing, Z. (2021). Multi-scenario simulation of urban growth under integrated urban spatial planning: a case study of wuhan, china. Sustainability, 13(20), 11279. https://doi.org/10.3390/su132011279

[66] Wang, J., Long, R., & Chen, H. (2019). Measuring the psychological security of urban residents: construction and validation of a new scale. Frontiers in Psychology, 10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02423

[67] Wang, T. (2023). The influence of western urban planning theory and practice on urban planning and construction of hefei discussion based on "urban planning theory since 1945". E3s Web of Conferences, 372, 01009. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202337201009

[68] Wang, T., Zhao, J., Yu, H., Liu, J., Yang, X., Ren, X., … & Shi, S. (2019). Privacy-preserving crowd-guided ai decision-making in ethical dilemmas.. https://doi.org/10.1145/3357384.3357954

[69] Wang, Y., Huang, Y., & Sarfraz, M. (2022). Signifying the relationship between education input, social security expenditure, and urban-rural income gap in the circular economy. Frontiers in Environmental Science, 10. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.989159

[70] Yu, L. and Yu, Z. (2023). Qualitative and quantitative analyses of artificial intelligence ethics in education using vosviewer and citnetexplorer. Frontiers in Psychology, 14. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1061778

[71] Yusuf, S., Balogun, O., & Falegbe, O. (2015). Effect of urban household farming on food security status in ibadan metropolis, oyo state, nigeria. Journal of Agricultural Sciences Belgrade, 60(1), 61-75. https://doi.org/10.2298/jas1501061y

[72] Zainuddin, Z., Yahya, F., Moung, E., Fazli, B., & Abdullah, M. (2023). Effective dashboards for urban water security monitoring and evaluation. International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering (Ijece), 13(4), 4291. https://doi.org/10.11591/ijece.v13i4.pp4291-4305

[73] Zhou, D., Lin, Z., Ma, S., Qi, J., & Yan, T. (2021). Assessing an ecological security network for a rapid urbanization region in eastern china. Land Degradation and Development, 32(8), 2642-2660. https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.3932