GSC Advanced Research and Reviews

eISSN: 2582-4597 CODEN (USA): GARRC2

Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/gscarr

Journal homepage: https://gsconlinepress.com/journals/gscarr/

(REVIEW ARTICLE)

Adaptive business policies for the energy transition: Balancing short-term profitability with long-term sustainability in oil and gas companies

Wasiu Abiola Owoola [1], Babatunde Alaba Olaniyo [2], Okwe Daniel Obeka [3], Raphael Oluwatobiloba Lawal [4,*] and Sakiru Folarin Bello [4]

[1] *TotalEnergies EP Nigeria; Lagos, Nigeria.*
[2] *ExxonMobil, Lagos, Nigeria.*
[3] *Department of Business Administration, Faculty of Administration and Management, Rivers State University, Nigeria.*
[4] *Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Ibadan, Nigeria.*

GSC Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 21(01), 439–450

Publication history: Received on 16 September 2024; revised on 22 October 2024; accepted on 25 October 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/gscarr.2024.21.1.0397

Abstract

This review examines the challenges and opportunities faced by oil and gas companies as they navigate the global energy transition, focusing on the delicate balance between maintaining short-term profitability and ensuring long-term sustainability. As the world shifts towards cleaner energy sources, traditional fossil fuel companies are under increasing pressure to adapt their business models and strategies. This study synthesizes current literature on adaptive business policies, sustainability initiatives, and their impacts on the oil and gas industry's future. Through a comprehensive analysis of case studies and empirical research, we identify several key mechanisms through which companies can effectively balance short-term financial objectives with long-term sustainability goals. These include diversification into renewable energy, investment in carbon capture and storage technologies, and the adoption of circular economy principles. Our findings suggest that successful adaptation requires a nuanced understanding of market trends, strong leadership commitment, and strategic use of technology and innovation. The review also highlights the potential of partnerships and collaborations in accelerating the transition process. By identifying key factors contributing to successful adaptive strategies and their subsequent impacts on both profitability and sustainability, this study provides valuable insights for industry leaders, policymakers, and researchers working towards a more sustainable energy future. Future research directions are proposed to further explore the long-term viability and scalability of these adaptive business policies across different market contexts.

Keywords: Energy Transition; Oil and Gas Industry; Sustainability; Adaptive Business Policies; Profitability; Innovation

1. Introduction

The global energy sector is undergoing a profound and unprecedented transformation, driven by the urgent need to address climate change, the rapid advancement of renewable energy technologies, and shifting societal expectations [1]. This energy transition poses significant challenges for traditional oil and gas companies, which have long been the backbone of the global energy system [2]. These companies now find themselves at a critical juncture, facing the imperative to balance their short-term profitability with long-term sustainability in an increasingly carbon-constrained world.

The challenge is multifaceted and complex. On one hand, oil and gas companies must continue to meet the world's current energy demands, maintain their financial performance to satisfy shareholders, and manage vast existing assets

* Corresponding author: Raphael Oluwatobiloba Lawal

and infrastructure [3]. On the other hand, they must also prepare for a future where fossil fuels play a diminishing role in the global energy mix, investing in new technologies and business models that may not yield immediate returns [4]. This balancing act requires adaptive business policies that can navigate the complexities of the energy transition while ensuring the company's long-term viability.

The concept of adaptive business policies in the context of the energy transition represents a paradigm shift in how oil and gas companies approach their operations and strategic planning [5]. It involves not just incremental changes to existing practices, but often radical transformations of business models, investment strategies, and corporate cultures. These policies must be flexible enough to respond to rapidly changing market conditions, technological advancements, and regulatory landscapes, while also being robust enough to guide long-term decision-making and capital allocation.

The stakes in this transition are enormously high. The oil and gas industry directly employs millions of people worldwide and indirectly supports many more jobs across its value chain [6]. It also plays a crucial role in the economic stability of many countries, particularly those rich in fossil fuel resources. A poorly managed transition could lead to significant economic disruption, stranded assets, and social unrest [7]. Conversely, a well-managed transition could unlock new opportunities for growth, innovation, and sustainable development.

Moreover, the role of oil and gas companies in the energy transition extends beyond their own operations. These companies possess vast technical expertise, project management capabilities, and financial resources that could be instrumental in accelerating the development and deployment of clean energy technologies [8]. Their global reach and deep understanding of energy markets position them to play a pivotal role in shaping the future energy landscape.

The energy transition is characterized by significant uncertainties, including the pace of technological change, the evolution of energy demand in different sectors and regions, and the trajectory of climate policies around the world [9]. Oil and gas companies must navigate these uncertainties while also addressing immediate business pressures and stakeholder expectations.

Given the complexity and global significance of this issue, this review aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of how oil and gas companies can develop and implement adaptive business policies to balance short-term profitability with long-term sustainability. We will explore the drivers of the energy transition and their impacts on the oil and gas industry, examine various adaptive strategies being employed by companies, and analyze case studies of successful transitions. The review will also delve into the challenges companies face in implementing these strategies and discuss future directions for research and policy development.

The objective is to offer insights that can inform corporate strategies, policy decisions, and future research directions in this critical area. By synthesizing current knowledge and identifying key trends and challenges, this review seeks to contribute to a better understanding of how the oil and gas industry can navigate the energy transition in a way that balances economic, environmental, and social considerations.

As we delve deeper into the multifaceted nature of the energy transition, we will explore its various manifestations, impacts, and the unique challenges in addressing it across different economic and regulatory contexts. We will examine how companies are reimagining their role in the energy system, from being primarily extractors and refiners of fossil fuels to becoming integrated energy providers capable of delivering a diverse range of energy solutions.

Throughout this review, we will maintain a balanced perspective, acknowledging both the potential for positive change and the significant obstacles that must be overcome. By doing so, we aim to provide a nuanced understanding of one of the most critical challenges facing not just the oil and gas industry, but the global economy and society as a whole.

2. Overview of the Energy Transition and Its Impact on Oil and Gas Companies

2.1. Drivers of the Energy Transition

The energy transition is being driven by a convergence of factors, each exerting significant pressure on the traditional oil and gas industry. Climate change concerns stand at the forefront, with growing scientific consensus on the need to rapidly reduce greenhouse gas emissions to mitigate global warming [10]. This has led to international agreements such as the Paris Accord, which aims to limit global temperature rise to well below 2 degrees Celsius above pre-industrial levels [11].

Technological advancements in renewable energy sources, particularly in solar and wind power, have dramatically reduced the cost of clean energy generation, making it increasingly competitive with fossil fuels [12]. The rapid improvement in energy storage technologies, especially batteries, is addressing the intermittency issues associated with renewable sources, further accelerating their adoption.

Policy and regulatory changes are also playing a crucial role. Many governments are implementing carbon pricing mechanisms, renewable energy mandates, and phase-out plans for fossil fuel-based technologies [13]. These policy interventions are creating a more challenging operating environment for traditional oil and gas companies while incentivizing the development of clean energy alternatives.

Shifting consumer preferences and investor sentiment are additional drivers of the transition. There is growing public awareness of climate change issues, leading to increased demand for clean energy products and services [14]. Similarly, investors are becoming more conscious of the long-term risks associated with fossil fuel assets, leading to divestment movements and increased scrutiny of oil and gas companies' sustainability practices.

2.2. Challenges Faced by Oil and Gas Companies

The energy transition presents a myriad of challenges for oil and gas companies. One of the most pressing is the risk of stranded assets. As the world moves away from fossil fuels, there is a growing possibility that significant portions of oil and gas reserves may become uneconomical to extract, leading to substantial write-downs and financial losses [15].

Many institutional investors are reducing their exposure to fossil fuel companies or demanding more robust climate-related risk disclosures and mitigation strategies [16]. This can lead to higher capital costs and reduced access to financing for oil and gas companies. Talent attraction and retention is becoming increasingly difficult as younger generations seek careers in industries perceived as more sustainable [17]. This brain drain can hinder innovation and adaptation efforts within oil and gas companies.

Moreover, oil and gas companies face the challenge of maintaining their current operations and meeting short-term financial objectives while simultaneously investing in new, often less profitable clean energy technologies [18]. This balancing act requires careful resource allocation and strategic planning.

2.3. Opportunities in the Energy Transition

Despite these challenges, the energy transition also presents significant opportunities for oil and gas companies willing to adapt. The transition to a low-carbon economy will require massive investments in new energy infrastructure, presenting opportunities for companies with relevant expertise and capital [19].

Many oil and gas companies possess transferable skills and technologies that can be applied to emerging clean energy sectors. For instance, offshore oil and gas expertise can be valuable in the development of offshore wind farms [20]. Similarly, experience in managing large-scale projects and complex supply chains can be leveraged in the development of new energy systems.

The growing demand for low-carbon energy solutions opens up new markets and revenue streams. This includes opportunities in renewable energy generation, energy storage, hydrogen production, and carbon capture and storage technologies [21].

Furthermore, oil and gas companies have the opportunity to lead in the development of new technologies and business models that can accelerate the energy transition [22]. Their significant financial resources and RandD capabilities position them to drive innovation in areas such as advanced biofuels, geothermal energy, and energy efficiency technologies.

3. Adaptive Business Policies for Balancing Short-Term Profitability and Long-Term Sustainability

Diversification into Renewable Energy

One of the most prominent adaptive strategies being pursued by oil and gas companies is diversification into renewable energy [23]. This approach allows companies to maintain their core business in the short term while gradually building capabilities and market share in the growing renewable energy sector.

Many major oil and gas companies have made significant investments in solar and wind power projects [24]. For instance, Total (now TotalEnergies) has set ambitious targets to become one of the world's top five renewable energy companies by 2030. Diversification strategies often involve a mix of organic growth and acquisitions. Companies may start by developing small-scale renewable projects to build expertise, then scale up through acquisitions of established renewable energy firms or large-scale project developments [25].

The key challenge in this strategy is managing the different business models and risk profiles associated with renewable energy projects compared to traditional oil and gas operations. Renewable energy projects typically offer lower but more stable returns over longer periods, which can be at odds with the high-risk, high-reward model that oil and gas investors are accustomed to.

3.1. Investment in Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) Technologies

Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) technologies represent another important avenue for oil and gas companies to adapt to the energy transition [26]. CCS allows companies to continue utilizing their existing expertise and assets while significantly reducing their carbon footprint. Several major oil companies, including ExxonMobil and Shell, have made substantial investments in CCS research and development [27]. These technologies not only have the potential to reduce emissions from oil and gas operations but also open up new business opportunities in carbon management services for other industries. The development of CCS also aligns with the concept of "net-zero" emissions, which is becoming increasingly important in corporate sustainability strategies and regulatory frameworks [28]. By investing in CCS, oil and gas companies can position themselves as part of the solution to climate change rather than part of the problem.

However, the widespread adoption of CCS faces challenges, including high costs and the need for supportive policy frameworks [29]. Companies pursuing this strategy must carefully balance the long-term potential of CCS with the short-term costs and uncertainties associated with its development.

3.2. Adoption of Circular Economy Principles

The adoption of circular economy principles represents another adaptive strategy for oil and gas companies. This approach involves maximizing resource efficiency, minimizing waste, and finding new value in by-products and waste streams.

In the context of oil and gas, this can involve initiatives such as using captured CO2 for enhanced oil recovery, repurposing offshore platforms for renewable energy or aquaculture, and developing advanced recycling technologies for plastics [30]. For example, Eni has been pioneering the conversion of traditional refineries into biorefineries that produce biofuels from waste and residual products. This not only reduces the company's environmental impact but also creates new revenue streams from waste materials.

The circular economy approach can help companies improve their sustainability profile while also potentially uncovering new business opportunities [31]. However, it often requires significant investment in new technologies and processes, as well as a shift in organizational mindset from a linear to a circular model of production and consumption.

3.3. Digital Transformation and Innovation

Digital transformation and innovation are critical components of adaptive business policies in the energy transition. Oil and gas companies are leveraging technologies such as artificial intelligence, Internet of Things (IoT), and big data analytics to improve operational efficiency, reduce costs, and minimize environmental impact [32]. For instance, predictive maintenance powered by AI and IoT can significantly reduce downtime and prevent costly equipment failures. Advanced data analytics can optimize production processes, reducing energy consumption and emissions. Digital twins of oil fields can improve decision-making and resource management.

Moreover, digital technologies are enabling new business models [33]. For example, blockchain technology is being explored for peer-to-peer energy trading platforms, which could allow oil and gas companies to participate in decentralized energy markets. Investment in innovation goes beyond digital technologies. Many oil and gas companies are establishing venture capital arms or innovation hubs to invest in and collaborate with startups working on clean energy technologies [34]. This allows them to stay at the forefront of technological developments and potentially identify new growth areas.

3.4. Partnerships and Collaborations

Partnerships and collaborations are emerging as key strategies for oil and gas companies navigating the energy transition [35]. These can take various forms, including joint ventures with renewable energy companies, collaborations with tech nology firms, and partnerships with academic institutions. For example, Shell has partnered with Eneco to build offshore wind farms in the North Sea, leveraging Shell's offshore expertise and Eneco's experience in renewable energy. BP has formed a partnership with Bunge to create a bioenergy company in Brazil, combining BP's global reach with Bunge's expertise in sugarcane processing.

Collaborations can also extend to cross-industry partnerships [36]. For instance, oil and gas companies are partnering with automotive manufacturers to develop electric vehicle charging infrastructure, creating new business opportunities while supporting the transition to low-carbon transportation. These partnerships allow oil and gas companies to access new capabilities, share risks, and accelerate their transition efforts. However, they also require careful management to align different corporate cultures and strategic objectives.

3.5. Strategies for Balancing Short-Term Profitability and Long-Term Sustainability

Balancing short-term profitability with long-term sustainability is at the core of adaptive business policies in the energy transition [37]. Oil and gas companies are employing various strategies to achieve this balance:

Phased Transition: Companies are gradually shifting investments from traditional oil and gas operations to renewable and low-carbon technologies [38]. This approach allows them to maintain cash flow from existing assets while building capabilities in new areas.

Portfolio Diversification: By investing in a mix of short-term, medium-term, and long-term projects across both traditional and new energy sources, companies can balance risk and return profiles [39].

Operational Efficiency: Implementing advanced technologies to improve the efficiency of existing operations can help maintain profitability in the short term while reducing environmental impact [40].

Strategic Partnerships: Collaborating with technology companies, renewable energy developers, and other stakeholders can help share the costs and risks of transitioning to new business models [41].

Stakeholder Engagement: Proactive communication with investors, employees, and other stakeholders about the long-term value creation potential of sustainability initiatives can help manage expectations and secure support for the transition [42].

4. Case Studies of Successful Adaptive Strategies

4.1. Ørsted's Transformation from Oil and Gas to Renewables

Ørsted, formerly known as Danish Oil and Natural Gas (DONG Energy), provides a compelling case study of successful adaptation to the energy transition. Over the past decade, Ørsted has transformed itself from a fossil fuel-based utility into the world's largest offshore wind developer.

The company's transformation began in 2008 with the "85/15" vision, aiming to flip its energy production from 85% fossil fuels and 15% renewables to 85% renewables and 15% fossil fuels [43]. By 2019, Ørsted had not only achieved but exceeded this goal, with over 90% of its energy production coming from renewable sources [44].

The key to success was its early recognition of the potential of offshore wind power. The company leveraged its expertise in offshore oil and gas operations to become a leader in this emerging sector. It made bold investments in large-scale offshore wind projects, driving down costs through economies of scale and technological innovations.

Ørsted's transformation was not without challenges. The company had to manage the divestment of its fossil fuel assets while ramping up investments in renewables, a process that initially led to financial strain [45]. However, the company's commitment to its long-term strategy, coupled with supportive government policies in Denmark and other European countries, enabled it to overcome these hurdles. Today, Ørsted is recognized as a global leader in sustainability, demonstrating that it's possible for a fossil fuel company to successfully transition to a renewable energy future while delivering strong financial performance [46].

4.2. Total's Rebranding and Diversification Strategy

Total, now TotalEnergies, offers another instructive case study of adaptive business policies in the face of the energy transition. The company has pursued a comprehensive strategy of diversification and rebranding to position itself as an integrated energy company rather than just an oil and gas producer.

Total's strategy includes significant investments in renewable energy, particularly solar power and energy storage [47]. A key aspect of Total's approach has been its willingness to make bold acquisitions to quickly build capabilities in new areas. For example, its acquisition of SunPower in 2011 gave it an early foothold in the solar energy market [48]. More recently, its purchase of Saft in 2016 positioned it as a leader in energy storage solutions.

Total has also been proactive in addressing the challenge of stranded assets. The company has been writing down the value of its oil and gas assets, particularly those with high production costs or high carbon intensity [49,50]. This approach, while impacting short-term profits, helps to de-risk the company's portfolio for the long term.

The company's rebranding to TotalEnergies in 2021 symbolizes its commitment to becoming a broader energy company [51]. This rebranding was accompanied by a pledge to allocate 20% of its capital expenditure to renewables and electricity by 2030 [52].

Total's case demonstrates how a major oil and gas company can leverage its financial resources and global reach to build a diversified energy portfolio, balancing short-term profitability from its traditional businesses with long-term sustainability through investments in clean energy [53,54].

5. Challenges in Implementing Adaptive Business Policies

5.1. Balancing Short-Term Financial Pressures with Long-Term Investments

One of the most significant challenges in implementing adaptive business policies is balancing short-term financial pressures with the need for long-term investments in new technologies and business models. Oil and gas companies face pressure from shareholders to maintain high dividends and share buybacks, which can compete with the need for substantial capital expenditures in clean energy projects [55].

Moreover, renewable energy projects often have different risk-return profiles compared to traditional oil and gas investments [56]. They typically offer lower but more stable returns over longer periods, which can be at odds with the expectations of investors accustomed to the high-risk, high-reward nature of oil and gas exploration. Companies must navigate these conflicting demands carefully, potentially through strategies such as ring-fencing investments in new energy businesses or creating separate entities for clean energy operations [57]. Clear communication with investors about the long-term value creation potential of these investments is also crucial.

5.2. Managing the Pace of Transition

Determining the right pace for the transition is another key challenge. Moving too quickly can risk financial instability and the loss of valuable cash flows from existing operations. Moving too slowly, on the other hand, can leave companies vulnerable to disruption and stranded assets [58,59].

The pace of transition also needs to be calibrated to external factors such as policy changes, technological advancements, and shifts in energy demand [60]. Companies must develop adaptive strategies that allow for flexibility in response to these changing conditions.

5.3. Cultural and Organizational Change

Implementing adaptive business policies often requires significant cultural and organizational changes within oil and gas companies [61]. Traditional oil and gas culture, characterized by a focus on large, capital-intensive projects and a relatively slow pace of change, may not be well-suited to the fast-moving, innovation-driven world of renewable energy and clean tech [62].

Companies need to foster a culture of innovation and adaptability, which can be challenging in large, established organizations [63]. This may involve changes in organizational structure, incentive systems, and talent management strategies. For instance, companies may need to create cross-functional teams that can bridge the gap between traditional operations and new energy businesses.

5.4. Regulatory Uncertainty

The regulatory landscape surrounding the energy transition is complex and often uncertain. Policies related to carbon pricing, renewable energy incentives, and emissions regulations can vary significantly across different jurisdictions and may change over time [64,65]. This regulatory uncertainty can make it challenging for companies to make long-term investment decisions.

Companies must develop strategies to navigate this uncertainty, such as scenario planning and maintaining a diversified portfolio of projects across different regulatory environments [66]. Engaging proactively with policymakers and contributing to the development of energy transition policies can also be an important part of adaptive business strategies.

5.5. Technological Risks

Investing in new energy technologies carries inherent risks [67]. Some technologies may fail to achieve commercial viability, while others may be superseded by superior alternatives. For instance, early investments in first-generation biofuels have faced challenges due to concerns about their environmental impact [68].

To mitigate these risks, companies need to maintain a balanced portfolio of investments across different technologies and stages of development. Partnerships with technology companies and research institutions can also help to spread risk and access cutting-edge innovations [69].

6. Future Directions

As we look to the future of adaptive business policies in the oil and gas sector, several key areas emerge for further exploration and development.

The role of hydrogen in the energy transition presents a significant area for future research. Many oil and gas companies are investing in hydrogen technologies, seeing potential synergies with their existing expertise in gas production and distribution [70]. Future studies should explore the economic viability of different hydrogen production methods, the development of hydrogen infrastructure, and the potential market size for hydrogen in various sectors.

Carbon capture, utilization, and storage (CCUS) technologies represent another critical area for future research. While CCUS is often seen as a key technology for enabling the continued use of fossil fuels in a low-carbon future, questions remain about its scalability and cost-effectiveness [71]. Future research should focus on technological improvements to reduce costs, potential uses for captured carbon, and the development of supportive policy frameworks.

The concept of "energy-as-a-service" business models presents an interesting direction for future study. As the energy system becomes more decentralized and digitalized, there may be opportunities for oil and gas companies to transition from commodity providers to service providers [72]. This could involve offering integrated energy management solutions, combining renewable generation, storage, and smart grid technologies.

Another important area for future research is the role of oil and gas companies in the circular economy. This could involve exploring new technologies for plastics recycling, the use of CO2 as a feedstock for chemical production, and the development of bio-based alternatives to petrochemicals [73].

The geopolitical implications of the energy transition also warrant further study. As the global energy mix shifts away from fossil fuels, this could have significant impacts on the geopolitical influence of oil-producing nations and the nature of international energy trade [74]. Future research should explore how oil and gas companies can navigate these changing geopolitical dynamics.

Lastly, as the energy transition progresses, there's a need for more sophisticated metrics and reporting frameworks to measure companies' progress in balancing short-term profitability with long-term sustainability. Future research could focus on developing standardized methodologies for assessing the climate resilience of oil and gas companies' business models and investment portfolios.

7. Conclusion and Recommendations

The global energy transition presents both significant challenges and opportunities for oil and gas companies. As this review has shown, the path to balancing short-term profitability with long-term sustainability is complex and multifaceted, requiring adaptive business policies that can navigate the shifting landscape of technology, policy, and market dynamics.

The successful implementation of adaptive strategies, as demonstrated by companies like Ørsted and Total, involves a combination of diversification into renewable energy, investment in technologies like CCS, adoption of circular economy principles, digital transformation, and strategic partnerships. However, these strategies must be tailored to each company's unique circumstances, capabilities, and market positioning.

Recommendations

For oil and gas companies, developing a clear long-term vision for the energy transition is crucial. This vision should be backed by concrete targets and milestones, allowing for the gradual reallocation of capital and resources towards low-carbon businesses. Companies should also focus on building organizational flexibility and fostering a culture of innovation to better adapt to the rapid pace of change in the energy sector.

Policymakers have a critical role to play in facilitating the energy transition. Stable and predictable policy frameworks are essential for encouraging long-term investments in clean energy technologies. Policies should aim to create a level playing field that allows for fair competition between different energy sources while also providing appropriate incentives for decarbonization.

For investors, there's a need to recalibrate expectations around risk and return profiles in the evolving energy landscape. Engagement with oil and gas companies on their transition strategies can help to ensure that companies are adequately preparing for the long-term shifts in the energy system.

The research community should focus on addressing the key knowledge gaps identified in this review. This includes further exploration of emerging technologies like hydrogen and CCUS, analysis of new business models in the energy sector, and development of improved metrics for assessing companies' climate resilience.

In conclusion, while the challenges of the energy transition for oil and gas companies are significant, they are not insurmountable. Companies that can successfully implement adaptive business policies to balance short-term profitability with long-term sustainability will be well positioned to thrive in the evolving energy landscape. The transition offers an opportunity not just for risk mitigation, but for value creation and leadership in shaping the future of global energy systems.

As the energy transition continues to unfold, ongoing research and analysis will be crucial in understanding its dynamics and informing effective strategies for both companies and policymakers. The path forward requires concerted effort from all stakeholders, but the potential rewards both in terms of business success and contributing to a sustainable energy future make it a journey worth undertaking.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Leichenko R, O'Brien K. Climate and society: Transforming the future. John Wiley and Sons; 2024 May 13.

[2] Gribkova D, Milshina Y. Energy transition as a response to energy challenges in post-pandemic reality. Energies. 2022 Jan 23;15(3):812.

[3] Burns MG. Managing energy security: an all hazards approach to critical infrastructure. Routledge; 2019 Mar 29.

[4] Zhukovskiy YL, Batueva DE, Buldysko AD, Gil B, Starshaia VV. Fossil energy in the framework of sustainable development: analysis of prospects and development of forecast scenarios. Energies. 2021 Aug 25;14(17):5268.

[5] Fattouh B, Poudineh R, West R. The rise of renewables and energy transition: what adaptation strategy exists for oil companies and oil-exporting countries?. Energy transitions. 2019 Dec;3(1):45-58.

[6] Gereffi G, Fernandez-Stark K. Global value chain analysis: a primer. Center on Globalization, Governance and Competitiveness (CGGC), Duke University, North Carolina, USA. 2011 May 31:33.

[7] Bos K, Gupta J. Climate change: the risks of stranded fossil fuel assets and resources to the developing world. Third World Quarterly. 2018 Mar 4;39(3):436-53.

[8] Avato P, Coony J. Accelerating clean energy technology research, development, and deployment: lessons from non-energy sectors. World Bank Publications; 2008 Jun 10.

[9] Adelekan OA, Ilugbusi BS, Adisa O, Obi OC, Awonuga KF, Asuzu OF, Ndubuisi NL. Energy transition policies: a global review of shifts towards renewable sources. Engineering Science and Technology Journal. 2024 Feb 2;5(2):272-87.

[10] Rabe BG, editor. Greenhouse governance: Addressing climate change in America. Rowman and Littlefield; 2010 Sep 1.

[11] Gao Y, Gao X, Zhang X. The 2 C global temperature target and the evolution of the long-term goal of addressing climate change—from the United Nations framework convention on climate change to the Paris agreement. Engineering. 2017 Apr 1;3(2):272-8.

[12] Bilgen S, Kaygusuz K, Sari A. Renewable energy for a clean and sustainable future. Energy sources. 2004 Oct 1;26(12):1119-29.

[13] Daszkiewicz K. Policy and regulation of energy transition. The geopolitics of the global energy transition. 2020:203-26.

[14] Owusu PA, Asumadu-Sarkodie S. A review of renewable energy sources, sustainability issues and climate change mitigation. Cogent Engineering. 2016 Dec 31;3(1):1167990.

[15] Riedl D. The magnitude of energy transition risk embedded in fossil fuel company valuations. Heliyon. 2021 Nov 1;7(11).

[16] Christophers B. Environmental beta or how institutional investors think about climate change and fossil fuel risk. Annals of the American Association of Geographers. 2019 May 4;109(3):754-74.

[17] Sujansky J, Ferri-Reed J. Keeping the Millennials: Why companies are losing billions in turnover to this generation-and what to do about it. John Wiley and Sons; 2009 Jun 15.

[18] Kaminker C, Stewart F. The role of institutional investors in financing clean energy.

[19] Bolton R, Foxon TJ. A socio-technical perspective on low carbon investment challenges–insights for UK energy policy. Environmental innovation and societal transitions. 2015 Mar 1;14:165-81.

[20] Mäkitie T, Andersen AD, Hanson J, Normann HE, Thune TM. Established sectors expediting clean technology industries? The Norwegian oil and gas sector's influence on offshore wind power. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2018 Mar 10;177:813-23.

[21] Yu M, Wang K, Vredenburg H. Insights into low-carbon hydrogen production methods: Green, blue and aqua hydrogen. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy. 2021 Jun 15;46(41):21261-73.

[22] Cherepovitsyn A, Rutenko E. Strategic Planning of Oil and Gas Companies: The Decarbonization Transition. Energies. 2022 Aug 25;15(17):6163.

[23] Fattouh B, Poudineh R, West R. The rise of renewables and energy transition: what adaptation strategy exists for oil companies and oil-exporting countries?. Energy transitions. 2019 Dec;3(1):45-58.

[24] Pickl MJ. The renewable energy strategies of oil majors–From oil to energy?. Energy Strategy Reviews. 2019 Nov 1;26:100370.

[25] Richter M. Utilities' business models for renewable energy: A review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 2012 Jun 1;16(5):2483-93.

[26] Lau HC, Ramakrishna S, Zhang K, Radhamani AV. The role of carbon capture and storage in the energy transition. Energy and Fuels. 2021 Apr 7;35(9):7364-86.

[27] Li M, Trencher G, Asuka J. The clean energy claims of BP, Chevron, ExxonMobil and Shell: A mismatch between discourse, actions and investments. PloS one. 2022 Feb 16;17(2):e0263596.

[28] Page B, Turan G, Zapantis A, Burrows J, Consoli C, Erikson J, Havercroft I, Kearns D, Liu H, Rassool D, Tamme E. The global status of CCS 2020: vital to achieve net zero.

[29] Budinis S, Krevor S, Mac Dowell N, Brandon N, Hawkes A. An assessment of CCS costs, barriers and potential. Energy strategy reviews. 2018 Nov 1;22:61-81.

[30] Pires da Mata Costa L, Micheline Vaz de Miranda D, Couto de Oliveira AC, Falcon L, Stella Silva Pimenta M, Guilherme Bessa I, Juarez Wouters S, Andrade MH, Pinto JC. Capture and reuse of carbon dioxide (CO2) for a plastics circular economy: A review. Processes. 2021 Apr 26;9(5):759.

[31] Kumar V, Sezersan I, Garza-Reyes JA, Gonzalez ED, Al-Shboul MD. Circular economy in the manufacturing sector: benefits, opportunities and barriers. Management decision. 2019 Apr 8;57(4):1067-86.

[32] Hussain M, Alamri A, Zhang T, Jamil I. Application of Artificial Intelligence in the Oil and Gas Industry. InEngineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence 2024 Feb 20 (pp. 341-373). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

[33] Gregori P, Holzmann P. Digital sustainable entrepreneurship: A business model perspective on embedding digital technologies for social and environmental value creation. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2020 Nov 1;272:122817.

[34] Miller J, Viscidi L. Clean Energy Innovation in Latin America.

[35] Tankajev R. *Oil Companies in Transition: A Comparative Case Study of Norwegian, Dutch, and Danish Oil Companies in the Context of Sustainable Energy Transitions* (Master's thesis, uis).

[36] Kjellberg E, Mirgati V. Barriers and Enablers for Repurposing Vehicle Components in Cross-Sector Collaborations: A Case Study of a Swedish Vehicle Manufacturer.

[37] Erin Bass A, Grøgaard B. The long-term energy transition: Drivers, outcomes, and the role of the multinational enterprise. Journal of International Business Studies. 2021 Jul;52(5):807-23.

[38] Tian J, Yu L, Xue R, Zhuang S, Shan Y. Global low-carbon energy transition in the post-COVID-19 era. Applied energy. 2022 Feb 1;307:118205.

[39] Correia HC. Investment policy statement for individual investors: medium-low risk aversion client multi-asset balanced portfolio (Doctoral dissertation, Instituto Superior de Economia e Gestão).

[40] Shrivastava P. Environmental technologies and competitive advantage. InBusiness Ethics and Strategy, Volumes I and II 2018 Oct 26 (pp. 317-334). Routledge.

[41] Hellström M, Tsvetkova A, Gustafsson M, Wikström K. Collaboration mechanisms for business models in distributed energy ecosystems. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2015 Sep 1;102:226-36.

[42] Salvioni DM, Almici A. Transitioning toward a circular economy: The impact of stakeholder engagement on sustainability culture. Sustainability. 2020 Oct 19;12(20):8641.

[43] Huchler LA. Wind of change: from dirty fuel to the world´ s most sustainable energy company: a case study on the business transformation of Ørsted (Doctoral dissertation).

[44] Huchler LA. Wind of change: from dirty fuel to the world´ s most sustainable energy company: a case study on the business transformation of Ørsted (Doctoral dissertation).

[45] Dzhengiz T, Henry LA, Malik K. The role of partnership portfolios for sustainability in addressing the stability-change paradox: Dong/Orsted's transition from fossil fuels to renewables. Business and Society. 2024 Sep;63(7):1518-57.

[46] Davis JA. Delivering Financial Value. InRadical Business 2022 Apr 19 (pp. 113-126). Emerald Publishing Limited.

[47] Pickl MJ. The renewable energy strategies of oil majors–From oil to energy?. Energy Strategy Reviews. 2019 Nov 1;26:100370.

[48] TotalEnergies SE. SunPower and Total join forces to create a new global leader in solar energy [in English] [Internet]. Paris: TotalEnergies; 2011 June 15 [cited 2024 Oct 22]. Available from: https://totalenergies.com/media/news/press-releases/sunpower-et-total-sassocient-pour-creer-un-nouveau-leader-mondial-de-lenergie-solaire]

[49] International Energy Agency. Net Zero by 2050: A Roadmap for the Global Energy Sector [Internet]. Paris: IEA; 2021 [cited 2024 Oct 22]. Available from: https://www.iea.org/reports/net-zero-by-2050.

[50] TotalEnergies SE. Universal Registration Document 2020 [Internet]. Paris: TotalEnergies; 2021 [cited 2024 Oct 22]. Available from: https://totalenergies.com/

[51] TotalEnergies SE. From Total to TotalEnergies: Our transformation to meet the energy transition challenge [Press Release]. 2021 May 28 [cited 2024 Oct 22].

[52] TotalEnergies SE. Strategy and Outlook 2021 [Corporate Presentation]. Paris: TotalEnergies; 2021.

[53] Wood Mackenzie. TotalEnergies' Energy Transition Strategy [Industry Analysis Report]. Edinburgh: Wood Mackenzie; 2021.

[54] Bloomberg NEF. Oil Majors' Transition to Renewables: Company Analysis - TotalEnergies [Industry Report]. New York: Bloomberg; 2021.

[55] Singh A. *Strategies for oil and gas companies to remain competitive in the coming decades of energy challenges* (Doctoral dissertation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology).

[56] Muñoz JI, Bunn DW. Investment risk and return under renewable decarbonization of a power market. Climate policy. 2013 Mar 1;13(sup01):87-105.

[57] Holder F. *Electricity Market reforms in New Zealand and Germany: A Comparative Study of the History, Development and Future of These Both Countries' Markets with a Special Focus on the Approach to Renewable energy* (Doctoral dissertation, Open Access Te Herenga Waka-Victoria University of Wellington).

[58] Adrian T, Liang N. Monetary policy, financial conditions, and financial stability. 52nd issue (January 2018) of the International Journal of Central Banking. 2018 Aug 24.

[59] Daumas L. Financial stability, stranded assets and the low-carbon transition–A critical review of the theoretical and applied literatures. Journal of Economic Surveys. 2024 Jul;38(3):601-716.

[60] Hainsch K, Löffler K, Burandt T, Auer H, del Granado PC, Pisciella P, Zwickl-Bernhard S. Energy transition scenarios: What policies, societal attitudes, and technology developments will realize the EU Green Deal?. Energy. 2022 Jan 15;239:122067.

[61] Levy DL, Kolk A. Strategic responses to global climate change: Conflicting pressures on multinationals in the oil industry. Business and Politics. 2002 Nov;4(3):275-300.

[62] Boutellier R, Heinzen M. Growth through innovation: managing the technology-driven enterprise. Springer Science and Business Media; 2014 Feb 10.

[63] Uhl-Bien M, Arena M. Leadership for organizational adaptability: A theoretical synthesis and integrative framework. The leadership quarterly. 2018 Feb 1;29(1):89-104.

[64] Li FG, Strachan N. Modelling energy transitions for climate targets under landscape and actor inertia. Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions. 2017 Sep 1;24:106-29.

[65] Narassimhan E, Gallagher KS, Koester S, Alejo JR. Carbon pricing in practice: A review of existing emissions trading systems. Climate Policy. 2018 Sep 14;18(8):967-91.

[66] Krys C. Scenario-based strategic planning: Developing strategies in an uncertain world. Springer Science and Business Media; 2013 Dec 5.

[67] Clements AS, Sims DD. A Clean Energy Deployment Administration: The Right Policy for Emerging Renewable Technologies. Energy LJ. 2010;31:397.

[68] Paravantis JA. Socioeconomic aspects of third-generation biofuels. In3rd Generation Biofuels 2022 Jan 1 (pp. 869-917). Woodhead Publishing.

[69] Shuen A, Feiler PF, Teece DJ. Dynamic capabilities in the upstream oil and gas sector: Managing next generation competition. Energy Strategy Reviews. 2014 Sep 1;3:5-13.

[70] Hunt JD, Nascimento A, Nascimento N, Vieira LW, Romero OJ. Possible pathways for oil and gas companies in a sustainable future: From the perspective of a hydrogen economy. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 2022 May 1;160:112291.

[71] Ekemezie IO, Digitemie WN. Carbon Capture and Utilization (CCU): A review of emerging applications and challenges. Engineering Science and Technology Journal. 2024 Mar 24;5(3):949-61.

[72] Wu Y, Wu Y, Guerrero JM, Vasquez JC. Digitalization and decentralization driving transactive energy Internet: Key technologies and infrastructures. International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems. 2021 Mar 1;126:106593.

[73] Lange JP. Towards circular carbo-chemicals–the metamorphosis of petrochemicals. Energy and Environmental Science. 2021;14(8):4358-76.

[74] Berdysheva S, Ikonnikova S. The energy transition and shifts in fossil fuel use: the study of international energy trade and energy security dynamics. Energies. 2021 Aug 30;14(17):5396.