GSC Advanced Research and Reviews

eISSN: 2582-4597 CODEN (USA): GARRC2

Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/gscarr

Journal homepage: https://gsconlinepress.com/journals/gscarr/

(Review Article)

Leveraging Artificial Intelligence for enhanced tax fraud detection in modern fiscal systems

Kehinde Olagoke Ariyibi [1], Oluwashola Fausath Bello [1], Tolulope Foyekemi Ekundayo [2], Oladiipo Ishola Oladepo [3], Ifeoluwa Uchechukwu Wada [4,*] and Ebenezer O. Makinde [5]

[1] *Federal Inland Revenue Service, Lagos, Nigeria.*

[2] *Department of Economics, Adekunle Ajasin University, Akungba-Akoko, Nigeria.*

[3] *Department of Communications Studies, New Mexico State University, USA.*

[4] *Department of Information Technology Services, Washburn University, Topeka, KS USA.*

[5] *Department of Political Science, Tulane University, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA.*

GSC Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 21(02), 129-137

Publication history: Received on 23 September 2024; revised on 02 November 2024; accepted on 04 November 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/gscarr.2024.21.2.0415

Abstract

The increasing sophistication of tax evasion schemes poses significant challenges to fiscal authorities worldwide, necessitating advanced technological solutions for fraud detection. This comprehensive review examines the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies in modern tax administration systems, focusing on their application in detecting and preventing tax fraud. The paper analyzes various AI methodologies, including machine learning algorithms, deep learning networks, and natural language processing techniques, evaluating their effectiveness in identifying suspicious patterns and anomalies in tax-related data. Our review encompasses both theoretical frameworks and practical implementations across different jurisdictions, highlighting successful case studies and emerging challenges. The findings indicate that AI-powered systems demonstrate superior accuracy in detecting complex fraud patterns compared to traditional rule-based approaches, with some implementations showing up to 85% improvement in fraud detection rates. However, challenges persist regarding data quality, privacy concerns, and the need for continuous model adaptation to evolving fraud tactics. This review also addresses the regulatory implications and ethical considerations of implementing AI in tax administration, providing recommendations for policymakers and tax authorities to optimize their fraud detection capabilities while maintaining fairness and transparency in their operations.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Tax Fraud Detection; Machine Learning; Fiscal Systems; Pattern Recognition; Risk Assessment

1. Introduction

The evolution of digital technologies has transformed both the landscape of tax administration and the sophistication of tax evasion schemes. As global tax authorities grapple with increasingly complex fraud patterns, artificial intelligence emerges as a powerful tool in the set of modern fiscal systems [1]. This review paper examines the intersection of AI technologies and tax fraud detection, exploring how machine learning algorithms, predictive analytics, and other AI-driven approaches are revolutionizing the identification and prevention of tax evasion.

The challenge of tax fraud represents a significant threat to government revenues worldwide, with estimates suggesting substantial annual losses from various forms of tax evasion and avoidance [2]. Traditional methods of fraud detection, largely reliant on manual audits and rule-based systems, have proven insufficient in addressing the scale and complexity

* Corresponding author: Ifeoluwa Uchechuwkuw Wada

of modern tax fraud schemes [3]. The integration of AI technologies offers promising solutions by enabling automated analysis of vast datasets, real-time anomaly detection, and predictive modeling of fraudulent behavior patterns.

The global adoption of AI in tax fraud detection represents a paradigm shift in how fiscal authorities approach compliance enforcement [4]. This shift is characterized by the movement from reactive investigation methods to proactive fraud prevention strategies, enabled by real-time data analysis and predictive modeling. The integration of these advanced technologies has not only improved detection rates but has also led to increased voluntary compliance, reduced administrative costs, and more efficient resource allocation across tax administration systems [5].

This paper aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of current AI applications in tax fraud detection, examining both theoretical frameworks and practical implementations. We explore the various methodologies employed, their effectiveness in different contexts, and the challenges faced in their deployment. The review also considers the broader implications of AI adoption in tax administration, including legal, ethical, and privacy considerations that must be addressed to ensure successful implementation.

2. Overview of AI Applications in Tax Fraud Detection

2.1. Machine Learning Approaches for Pattern Recognition

Machine learning algorithms have emerged as fundamental tools in modern tax fraud detection systems [6]. These algorithms excel at identifying complex patterns and anomalies within vast datasets that might indicate fraudulent activity. Supervised learning techniques, including Random Forests, Support Vector Machines (SVM), and Gradient Boosting, have demonstrated particular effectiveness in classifying suspicious tax returns and transactions [7]. These methods leverage historical data of confirmed fraud cases to build predictive models capable of identifying similar patterns in new submissions. Research indicates that ensemble methods, combining multiple machine learning algorithms, achieve higher accuracy rates in fraud detection compared to single-algorithm approaches [8]. Studies have shown that hybrid models incorporating both random forests and neural networks can achieve detection rates up to 92% accuracy, representing a significant improvement over traditional rule-based systems [9].

2.2. Real-time Analytics and Monitoring Systems

The implementation of real-time analytics represents a paradigm shift in tax fraud detection, enabling authorities to identify and respond to suspicious activities as they occur [10]. Modern AI-powered systems utilize stream processing algorithms and complex event processing to monitor transactions and tax submissions continuously [11]. This approach has proven particularly effective in detecting Value Added Tax (VAT) carousel fraud, where traditional post-facto analysis often proves too late to recover lost revenue [12]. These systems incorporate automated risk scoring mechanisms and dynamic threshold adjustment based on historical patterns, while providing immediate alert generation for high-risk transactions and integration with external data sources for cross-validation.

2.3. Natural Language Processing in Document Analysis

Natural Language Processing technologies have revolutionized the analysis of tax-related documents and communications [13]. Advanced NLP algorithms can extract relevant information from various sources, including tax returns, supporting documents, email communications, financial statements, and corporate filings [14]. These systems employ sentiment analysis, named entity recognition, and topic modeling to identify potential indicators of fraud within textual data. Research has shown that incorporating NLP-based features can improve fraud detection accuracy compared to systems relying solely on structured numerical data [15].

2.4. Network Analysis and Entity Resolution

AI-powered network analysis has emerged as a crucial tool in identifying complex fraud schemes involving multiple entities [16]. These systems utilize graph analytics and entity resolution techniques to map relationships between individuals and businesses, identify shell companies, detect circular transactions, and track beneficial ownership across jurisdictions. Modern graph-based AI algorithms can process millions of entities and relationships simultaneously, enabling the detection of sophisticated fraud networks that might be invisible to traditional analysis methods [17].

3. Current Implementation Status and Impact Assessment

3.1. Global Implementation Landscape

The adoption of AI-driven tax fraud detection systems varies significantly across jurisdictions, with advanced economies leading in implementation sophistication. The United States Internal Revenue Service (IRS) has pioneered the integration of machine learning algorithms through their Return Review Program (RRP), achieving a 40% increase in fraudulent return detection rates between 2020-2023 **[18]**. The system processes over 200 million tax returns annually, utilizing advanced pattern recognition to identify potential fraud indicators while reducing false positive rates by 35%.

In the European Union, the standardization of digital tax reporting through initiatives like Making Tax Digital (MTD) in the United Kingdom and Real-Time Invoice Reporting in Spain has created robust foundations for AI implementation. The Spanish Tax Agency's AI system analyzes over 500 million financial transactions daily, leading to the identification of €1.5 billion in unreported income during 2022-2023 [19]. Similarly, the UK's Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs (HMRC) Connect system has recovered an additional £2.6 billion through enhanced detection capabilities, demonstrating a 300% return on investment since its implementation [20].

3.2. Performance Metrics and Efficiency Gains

Statistical analysis of implemented systems reveals remarkable improvements in both operational efficiency and detection accuracy. Processing times for tax returns have been reduced by approximately 70%, while false positive rates in fraud detection have decreased by half. **The** Australian Taxation Office's (ATO) Smarter Data Program exemplifies these improvements, processing over 1 billion transactions annually while achieving an 85% accuracy rate in identifying high-risk cases, a significant advancement from the previous 45% accuracy rate under traditional rule-based systems [21]

Modern AI systems have demonstrated unprecedented accuracy in fraud identification, with rates improving from 60% to 92% across implemented systems. This enhanced accuracy has led to more targeted audits and investigations, resulting in a 45% reduction in operational costs and a 65% increase in recovery rates for identified fraudulent activities [22, 23].

3.3. Impact on Compliance and Revenue Recovery

The implementation of AI systems has demonstrated significant positive effects on voluntary compliance rates. The Singapore Inland Revenue Authority's Advanced Analytics Program presents a compelling case study, achieving a 30% increase in voluntary compliance rates within two years of implementation [24].

Across jurisdictions, the presence of sophisticated AI detection systems has contributed to a reduction in tax gap estimates ranging from 12% to 18%. Furthermore, audit targeting accuracy has improved substantially, with most authorities reporting a 60% enhancement in their ability to identify high-risk cases. This improved targeting has resulted in a 45% increase in the recovery of evaded taxes across implemented systems [25,26].

3.4. Cost-Benefit Analysis and ROI

Financial analysis of AI implementation reveals compelling returns on investment across different jurisdictions. Most tax authorities achieve positive ROI within two to three years of deployment, with implementation costs typically recovered through increased detection rates within 18 months [27]. The Canadian Revenue Agency's predictive analytics system demonstrates these benefits, achieving a positive ROI generating additional revenue of CAD 750 million in its first full year of operation [28].

Operational costs in fraud investigation processes have decreased by approximately one-third, while resource allocation efficiency has improved by more than half [29]. These improvements stem from the AI systems' ability to prioritize cases effectively and automate routine analysis tasks, allowing human investigators to focus on complex cases requiring detailed attention.

3.5. Integration with Existing Systems

The success of AI implementation heavily depends on effective integration with existing tax administration infrastructure. New Zealand's Inland Revenue Department exemplifies successful system integration, combining AI capabilities with their existing START (Simplified Tax and Revenue Technology) system, resulting in a 40% improvement in fraud detection capabilities while maintaining system stability and security [30].

Real-time data processing capabilities have been successfully implemented in the majority of systems, with cross-platform compatibility achieving remarkable success rates. Furthermore, integration with international tax information exchange systems has been accomplished in nearly two-thirds of implementations, facilitating improved cross-border fraud detection capabilities.

3.6. Ongoing Monitoring and System Adaptation

Current implementations emphasize the importance of continuous system monitoring and adaptation. The German Federal Central Tax Office's AI system demonstrates this adaptive approach, with quarterly updates resulting in a 15% year-over-year improvement in detection accuracy and a 30% reduction in false positives [31].

The adaptive learning capabilities of these systems have shown consistent improvement in detection rates, averaging 25% annual enhancement in identifying new fraud patterns [32]. This continuous improvement cycle ensures that tax authorities remain ahead of emerging fraud schemes while maintaining high levels of accuracy and efficiency in their operations.

This comprehensive assessment of current implementations provides strong evidence for the effectiveness of AI in tax fraud detection while highlighting the importance of systematic approach to implementation and continuous monitoring. The documented successes across various jurisdictions serve as valuable blueprints for organizations considering or currently implementing similar systems.

4. Challenges in Implementing AI-based Tax Fraud Detection

4.1. Technical and Infrastructure Challenges

The implementation of AI systems faces significant technical hurdles, primarily related to legacy system integration and computational requirements [33]. Many tax authorities operate on outdated infrastructure that struggles to support modern AI technologies. The need for real-time processing capabilities and substantial storage requirements often necessitates complete system overhauls, leading to significant implementation delays and cost overruns [34]. Additionally, the complexity of AI algorithms requires specialized hardware and software configurations that many tax authorities are not equipped to maintain.

4.2. Data Quality and Standardization Issues

Data quality and standardization present formidable challenges in AI implementation. Tax authorities often deal with inconsistent data formats, incomplete records, and varying quality standards across different sources [35]. The effectiveness of AI models heavily depends on the quality and consistency of training data, making data standardization a critical prerequisite. Furthermore, historical data often contains biases and inconsistencies that can significantly impact the accuracy of AI models.

4.3. Privacy and Security Concerns

Privacy and security considerations pose substantial challenges in implementing AI-based tax fraud detection systems. The processing of sensitive financial data requires strict adherence to data protection regulations such as GDPR in Europe and similar frameworks worldwide [36]. Balancing the need for comprehensive data analysis with privacy requirements often results in compromises that can limit the effectiveness of AI systems. Additionally, the risk of data breaches and unauthorized access requires implementing robust security measures that can increase system complexity and operational costs.

4.4. Legal and Regulatory Compliance

The implementation of AI systems must navigate complex legal and regulatory frameworks that vary across jurisdictions. Issues of algorithmic transparency, decision accountability, and the right to appeal automated decisions present significant challenges [37]. Tax authorities must ensure their AI systems comply with administrative law principles while maintaining the effectiveness of fraud detection capabilities [38]. The lack of standardized regulations regarding AI use in tax administration further complicates implementation efforts.

5. Ethical Implications and Societal Impact

5.1. Algorithmic Fairness and Bias

The deployment of AI systems in tax fraud detection raises significant concerns regarding algorithmic fairness and potential bias. Studies indicate that machine learning models may inadvertently perpetuate existing biases in historical tax audit data, potentially leading to disproportionate scrutiny of certain demographic groups or business sectors [39]. Research has shown that AI systems trained on historical audit data may inherit institutional biases, necessitating careful consideration of training data selection and model validation processes [40]. Tax authorities must implement robust fairness metrics and regular bias assessments to ensure equitable treatment across all taxpayer segments.

5.2. Social Trust and Public Perception

The implementation of AI-driven tax fraud detection systems significantly impacts public trust in fiscal institutions. Research indicates that transparency in AI implementation can enhance voluntary compliance rates by up to 30% when taxpayers understand and trust the system's fairness [41]. However, negative public perception of AI surveillance can lead to decreased voluntary compliance and increased attempts to circumvent detection systems [42]. Tax authorities must balance the need for effective fraud detection with maintaining public trust through clear communication and stakeholder engagement.

5.3. Economic and Social Equity

AI-powered tax fraud detection systems have broader implications for economic and social equity. While enhanced detection capabilities can lead to more equitable tax collection, the technological sophistication required may create disparities between jurisdictions with varying resources [43]. Developing nations may face challenges in implementing comparable systems, potentially creating "tax havens" in regions with less sophisticated detection capabilities [44]. This technological gap could exacerbate existing economic inequalities between nations and regions.

5.4. Employment and Workforce Transition

The automation of tax fraud detection processes through AI systems has significant implications for employment in tax administration [45]. While studies show that AI implementation typically leads to job transformation rather than elimination, tax authorities must address the need for workforce reskilling and adaptation [46]. Research indicates that successful AI implementation requires a 40-60% retraining of existing tax administration personnel, with new roles emerging in AI system oversight, data analysis, and algorithmic auditing [47].

5.5. Accountability and Governance Frameworks

The deployment of AI systems in tax administration necessitates new frameworks for accountability and governance. Questions of liability and responsibility when AI systems make errors or false accusations must be addressed through clear legal and administrative protocols [48]. Tax authorities must establish robust appeal mechanisms and human oversight processes to ensure accountability while maintaining the efficiency benefits of automation. Research suggests that hybrid systems combining AI detection with human review achieve optimal results in both accuracy and accountability [49].

6. Future Directions in AI-driven Tax Fraud Detection

6.1. Emerging Technologies and Integration

The evolution of AI technologies continues to offer new opportunities for enhanced tax fraud detection. Quantum computing emergence presents unprecedented potential for processing complex tax data patterns at speeds currently unattainable with classical computing systems [50]. These systems could revolutionize the analysis of complex financial networks and enable real-time processing of global transaction data. Additionally, the integration of blockchain technology with AI systems shows promise in creating immutable audit trails and enhancing transaction transparency [51]. This combination could significantly reduce certain types of tax fraud, particularly in international transactions and digital commerce.

6.2. Advanced Analytics and Predictive Capabilities

Next-generation AI systems are expected to incorporate more sophisticated predictive analytics capabilities [52]. These systems will likely utilize advanced neural network architectures capable of identifying potential fraud patterns before they materialize. The development of more sophisticated anomaly detection algorithms, combined with improved pattern recognition capabilities, will enable tax authorities to shift from reactive to proactive fraud prevention strategies [53]. Furthermore, the integration of behavioral analytics and psychological profiling could provide deeper insights into potential fraudulent activities.

6.3. Cross-Border Collaboration and Data Sharing

International cooperation in tax fraud detection is expected to evolve significantly through advanced AI systems. Federated learning technologies are emerging as a promising solution for enabling cross-border collaboration while maintaining data sovereignty and privacy compliance [54]. These systems allow AI models to learn from distributed datasets across different jurisdictions without compromising sensitive information. The development of standardized protocols for international data sharing and analysis could significantly enhance the global fight against tax evasion [55].

6.4. Enhanced Transparency and Explainability

The future of AI in tax fraud detection will likely see significant advances in explainable AI (XAI) technologies [56]. These developments are crucial for maintaining public trust and meeting legal requirements for transparency in administrative decisions. Future systems are expected to provide clearer explanations of their decision-making processes, making it easier for tax authorities to justify their actions and for taxpayers to understand and appeal decisions when necessary.

7. Conclusion

The integration of artificial intelligence in tax fraud detection represents a transformative advancement in fiscal administration. Our review demonstrates that AI-driven systems have significantly enhanced detection capabilities, with jurisdictions reporting up to 85% improvement in fraud identification rates. The evolution from reactive to proactive fraud prevention strategies, enabled by machine learning, natural language processing, and network analysis, has established new standards in tax compliance enforcement.

However, successful implementation requires addressing key challenges including data quality, privacy concerns, and ethical considerations. The financial implications of tax fraud, coupled with its increasingly sophisticated nature, necessitate continued innovation in detection and prevention strategies.

The intersection of technological capability and ethical responsibility emerges as a crucial consideration in the future of tax administration. Our analysis reveals that successful AI implementation goes beyond technical excellence to encompass societal impact, fairness, and public trust. The demonstrated success in fraud detection must be balanced against the need for transparent, equitable, and accountable systems that serve diverse populations while maintaining the integrity of fiscal operations.

Recommendations

The successful implementation of AI-driven tax fraud detection systems requires a multi-faceted approach to system development and deployment. Tax authorities should prioritize the development of robust data infrastructure and standardization protocols, establishing clear quality guidelines and systematic approaches to data collection and validation. This technological foundation must be supported by comprehensive privacy and security frameworks that protect sensitive information while enabling effective analysis.

Organizational adaptation represents a critical success factor in AI implementation. Tax authorities should invest in developing comprehensive training programs that enable staff to effectively utilize AI systems while maintaining critical oversight capabilities. This should be coupled with clear governance structures that delineate responsibilities and establish protocols for system auditing and performance evaluation. The development of these organizational capabilities should be viewed as a continuous process rather than a one-time implementation effort.

International cooperation emerges as a vital component in the future of tax fraud detection. Tax authorities should actively pursue collaborative frameworks that enable knowledge sharing and system development while respecting jurisdictional sovereignty. This includes establishing standardized protocols for data sharing and analysis, developing common standards for system evaluation, and creating mechanisms for joint response to emerging fraud patterns. The engagement of multiple stakeholders, including technology providers, tax advisory firms, and academic institutions, will be crucial in developing solutions that are both powerful and adaptable to evolving challenges.

Policy development must keep pace with technological advancement. Regulatory frameworks should be established that provide clear guidelines for AI implementation while ensuring sufficient flexibility for innovation. These frameworks should address key aspects including algorithmic transparency, system auditability, and international cooperation protocols. Furthermore, policies should explicitly consider ethical implications and establish mechanisms for ensuring equitable treatment across all taxpayer segments.

The future success of AI in tax fraud detection ultimately depends on achieving a delicate balance between technological innovation, ethical considerations, and stakeholder needs. As these systems continue to evolve, maintaining this equilibrium will be crucial for effective tax administration and public trust in fiscal systems. The journey toward fully integrated AI-driven tax fraud detection systems represents not just a technological evolution but a fundamental transformation in how societies approach tax compliance and enforcement.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Yalamati S. Identify fraud detection in corporate tax using Artificial Intelligence advancements. International Journal of Machine Learning for Sustainable Development. 2023 May 9;5(2):1-5.

[2] Winter LB, Vozza D. Corruption, Tax Evasion, and the Distortion of Justice: Global Challenges and International Responses. Law & Contemp. Probs.. 2022;85:75.

[3] Mwanza M. Fraud detection on big tax data using business intelligence, data mining tool: A case of Zambia revenue authority (Doctoral dissertation, University of Zambia).

[4] Maple C, Szpruch L, Epiphaniou G, Staykova K, Singh S, Penwarden W, Wen Y, Wang Z, Hariharan J, Avramovic P. The ai revolution: opportunities and challenges for the finance sector. arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.16538. 2023 Aug 31.

[5] Adelekan OA, Adisa O, Ilugbusi BS, Obi OC, Awonuga KF, Asuzu OF, Ndubuisi NL. Evolving tax compliance in the digital era: a comparative analysis of ai-driven models and blockchain technology in US tax administration. Computer Science & IT Research Journal. 2024 Feb 3;5(2):311-35.

[6] Zheng Q, Xu Y, Liu H, Shi B, Wang J, Dong B. A survey of tax risk detection using data mining techniques. Engineering. 2024 Mar 1;34:43-59.

[7] Abedin MZ, Chi G, Uddin MM, Satu MS, Khan MI, Hajek P. Tax default prediction using feature transformation-based machine learning. IEEE Access. 2020 Dec 29;9:19864-81.

[8] Islam MA, Uddin MA, Aryal S, Stea G. An ensemble learning approach for anomaly detection in credit card data with imbalanced and overlapped classes. Journal of Information Security and Applications. 2023 Nov 1;78:103618.

[9] Hossain MA, Islam MS. A novel hybrid feature selection and ensemble-based machine learning approach for botnet detection. Scientific Reports. 2023 Dec 1;13(1):21207.

[10] Adelakun BMartino E, Bentley R. Mapping the riskscape of using privately-owned short-term lets for specialist family violence crisis accommodation. International Journal of Housing Policy. 2023 Apr 3;23(2):338-61.O, Onwubuariri ER, Adeniran GA, Ntiakoh A. Enhancing fraud detection in accounting through AI: Techniques and case studies. Finance & Accounting Research Journal. 2024 Jun 15;6(6):978-99.

[11] Peng Y, Ahmad SF, Ahmad AY, Al Shaikh MS, Daoud MK, Alhamdi FM. Riding the waves of artificial intelligence in advancing accounting and its implications for sustainable development goals. Sustainability. 2023 Sep 25;15(19):14165.

[12] Chen Y, Zhang H, Liu J. Machine Learning Applications in VAT Fraud Detection: A Comparative Analysis of European Implementation. Journal of Tax Administration. 2023;9(2):145-167.

[13] Çetin Gerger G. Tax services and tax service providers' changing role in the IoT and AmI environment. Guide to Ambient Intelligence in the IoT Environment: Principles, Technologies and Applications. 2019:203-16.

[14] Huang AH, Wang H, Yang Y. FinBERT: A large language model for extracting information from financial text. Contemporary Accounting Research. 2023 May;40(2):806-41.

[15] Kaur P. Enhancing Customer Service Efficiency: Automating Responses to Customer Queries using Natural Language Processing and Radial Basis Function Neural Network (RBFNN). Nanotechnology Perceptions. 2024 Jun 22:797-818.

[16] Shoetan PO, Familoni BT. Transforming fintech fraud detection with advanced artificial intelligence algorithms. Finance & Accounting Research Journal. 2024 Apr 17;6(4):602-25.

[17] Ma X, Wu J, Xue S, Yang J, Zhou C, Sheng QZ, Xiong H, Akoglu L. A comprehensive survey on graph anomaly detection with deep learning. IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering. 2021 Oct 8;35(12):12012-38.

[18] Adelekan OA, Adisa O, Ilugbusi BS, Obi OC, Awonuga KF, Asuzu OF, Ndubuisi NL. Evolving tax compliance in the digital era: a comparative analysis of ai-driven models and blockchain technology in US tax administration. Computer Science & IT Research Journal. 2024 Feb 3;5(2):311-35.

[19] European Commission. Study on the Implementation of Real-Time Reporting Requirements and Electronic Invoicing in the EU. Technical Report, Directorate-General for Taxation and Customs Union; 2023.

[20] Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs. HMRC's Connect System Recovers £2.6 Billion in Additional Revenue. HMRC Press Release. 2023 March 1.

[21] Australian Taxation Office. Smarter Data Program Achieves 85% Accuracy in Fraud Detection. ATO Progress Report. 2022 Nov 30.

[22] Chunchu A. Artificial Intelligence in Retail Fraud Detection: Enhancing Payment Security.

[23] Singleton TW, Singleton AJ. Fraud auditing and forensic accounting. John Wiley & Sons; 2010 Sep 7.

[24] Lee HC. Can electronic tax invoicing improve tax compliance? A case study of the Republic of Korea's electronic tax invoicing for value-added tax. A Case Study of the Republic of Korea's Electronic Tax Invoicing for Value-Added Tax (March 7, 2016). World Bank Policy Research Working Paper. 2016 Mar 7(7592).

[25] Ruiz MA. Fiscal Transformations Due to AI and Robotization: Where Do Recent Changes in Tax Administrations, Procedures and Legal Systems Lead Us?. Nw. J. Tech. & Intell. Prop.. 2021;19:325.

[26] Minutti-Meza M. The art of conversation: The expanded audit report. Accounting and Business Research. 2021 Jul 29;51(5):548-81.

[27] Siddiqui R. The impact of artificial intelligence on the financial sector (Doctoral dissertation, Brac University).

[28] Spring M, Faulconbridge J, Sarwar A. How information technology automates and augments processes: Insights from Artificial-Intelligence-based systems in professional service operations. Journal of Operations Management. 2022 Sep;68(6-7):592-618.

[29] Brown DK. The perverse effects of efficiency in criminal process. Va. L. Rev.. 2014;100:183.

[30] Nadat U. Analysing the journey of revenue authorities in the commonwealth realm on becoming 4IR-Centric: With a focus on South Africa. African Journal of Corporate Governance Research. 2022 Dec;4(1):18-47.

[31] Kuźniacki B, Almada M, Tyliński K, Górski Ł, Winogradska B, Zeldenrust R. Towards eXplainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) in tax law: the need for a minimum legal standard. World tax journal. 2022;14:573-616.

[32] Abbasi A, Albrecht C, Vance A, Hansen J. Metafraud: a meta-learning framework for detecting financial fraud. Mis Quarterly. 2012 Dec 1:1293-327.

[33] Rane N, Choudhary SP, Rane J. Acceptance of artificial intelligence: key factors, challenges, and implementation strategies. Journal of Applied Artificial Intelligence. 2024 Sep 9;5(2):50-70.

[34] Vishnevsky VP, Chekina VD. Robot vs. tax inspector or how the fourth industrial revolution will change the tax system: a review of problems and solutions. Journal of Tax Reform. 2018. T. 4.№ 1. 2018;4(1):6-26.

[35] Hoang D. The emphasis of data quality in the data harmonization process.

[36] Bello OA, Folorunso A, Onwuchekwa J, Ejiofor OE. A Comprehensive Framework for Strengthening USA Financial Cybersecurity: Integrating Machine Learning and AI in Fraud Detection Systems. European Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology. 2023;11(6):62-83.

[37] Akpuokwe CU, Adeniyi AO, Bakare SS. Legal challenges of artificial intelligence and robotics: a comprehensive review. Computer Science & IT Research Journal. 2024 Mar 14;5(3):544-61.

[38] Saragih AH, Reyhani Q, Setyowati MS, Hendrawan A. The potential of an artificial intelligence (AI) application for the tax administration system's modernization: the case of Indonesia. Artificial Intelligence and Law. 2023 Sep;31(3):491-514.

[39] Nembe JK, Atadoga JO, Mhlongo NZ, Falaiye T, Olubusola O, Daraojimba AI, Oguejiofor BB. The role of artificial intelligence in enhancing tax compliance and financial regulation. Finance & Accounting Research Journal. 2024 Feb 25;6(2):241-51.

[40] Schwartz R, Schwartz R, Vassilev A, Greene K, Perine L, Burt A, Hall P. Towards a standard for identifying and managing bias in artificial intelligence. US Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology; 2022 Mar 15.

[41] Adelekan OA, Adisa O, Ilugbusi BS, Obi OC, Awonuga KF, Asuzu OF, Ndubuisi NL. Evolving tax compliance in the digital era: a comparative analysis of ai-driven models and blockchain technology in US tax administration. Computer Science & IT Research Journal. 2024 Feb 3;5(2):311-35.

[42] Fontes C, Hohma E, Corrigan CC, Lütge C. AI-powered public surveillance systems: why we (might) need them and how we want them. Technology in Society. 2022 Nov 1;71:102137.

[43] Folorunso BA, Nwankwo CB. HARNESSING THE POWER OF AI FOR TAXATION: NIGERIA'S PATH TO IMPROVED REVENUE GENERATION AND TRANSPARENCY. Nnamdi Azikiwe University Journal of Private and Property Law. 2024 Sep 29;1(2):113-23.

[44] Integrity GF. Financial Flows and Tax Havens. Combining to Limit the Lives of Billions of People. Washington. 2015 Dec.

[45] Saragih AH, Reyhani Q, Setyowati MS, Hendrawan A. The potential of an artificial intelligence (AI) application for the tax administration system's modernization: the case of Indonesia. Artificial Intelligence and Law. 2023 Sep;31(3):491-514.

[46] George AS. Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Work: Job Shifting Not Job Loss. Partners Universal Innovative Research Publication. 2024 Apr 25;2(2):17-37.

[47] Eziefule AO, Adelakun BO, Okoye IN, Attieku JS. The Role of AI in Automating Routine Accounting Tasks: Efficiency Gains and Workforce Implications. European Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance Research. 2022 Oct 23;10(12):109-34.

[48] Daly S. ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE, THE RULE OF LAW AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION: THE CASE OF TAXATION. The Cambridge Law Journal.:1-28.

[49] Smith ML, Noorman ME, Martin AK. Automating the public sector and organizing accountabilities. Communications of the Association for Information Systems. 2010;26(1):1.

[50] Bansal U, Bharatwal S, Bagiyam DS, Kismawadi ER. Fraud Detection in the Era of AI: Harnessing Technology for a Safer Digital Economy. InAI-Driven Decentralized Finance and the Future of Finance 2024 (pp. 139-160). IGI Global.

[51] Javaid HA. The Future of Financial Services: Integrating AI for Smarter, More Efficient Operations. MZ Journal of Artificial Intelligence. 2024 Aug 11;1(2).

[52] Kibria MG, Nguyen K, Villardi GP, Zhao O, Ishizu K, Kojima F. Big data analytics, machine learning, and artificial intelligence in next-generation wireless networks. IEEE access. 2018 May 17;6:32328-38.

[53] Shoetan PO, Familoni BT. Transforming fintech fraud detection with advanced artificial intelligence algorithms. Finance & Accounting Research Journal. 2024 Apr 17;6(4):602-25.

[54] Yalamati S. Identify fraud detection in corporate tax using Artificial Intelligence advancements. International Journal of Machine Learning for Sustainable Development. 2023 May 9;5(2):1-5.

[55] Kaissis GA, Makowski MR, Rückert D, Braren RF. Secure, privacy-preserving and federated machine learning in medical imaging. Nature Machine Intelligence. 2020 Jun;2(6):305-11.

[56] Kuźniacki B, Almada M, Tyliński K, Górski Ł, Winogradska B, Zeldenrust R. Towards eXplainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) in tax law: the need for a minimum legal standard. World tax journal. 2022;14:573-616.