



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



The intersection of healthcare procurement and health insurance policies in Medicare and Medicaid administration

Oluwabanke Aminat Shodimu ¹, Adeseun Kafayat Balogun ², Helen Ayodimeji-Alaba ³, Chukwuebuka Francis Udokporo ⁴ and Kaosara Temitope Adebayo ^{5,*}

¹ Department of Business Administration, Washington University in St. Louis, Missouri, USA.

² Department of Human Development and Family Science, University of Central Missouri, Warrensburg, Missouri, USA.

³ Department of Business Administration, School of Business, University of Sunderland, London, United Kingdom.

⁴ School of Medicine, St. George's University, St. George, Grenada.

⁵ Department of Psychology, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria.

GSC Advanced Research and Reviews, 2025, 22(01), 362-372

Publication history: Received on 22 December 2024; revised on 28 January 2025; accepted on 31 January 2025

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/gscarr.2025.22.1.0030>

Abstract

This comprehensive review examines the complex relationship between healthcare procurement processes and health insurance policies within the Medicare and Medicaid systems in the United States. The study analyzes how procurement strategies influence healthcare delivery, cost management, and patient outcomes while considering the intricate policy frameworks governing these public insurance programs. Through analysis of existing literature, policy documents, and administrative data, this review identifies key challenges and opportunities at the intersection of procurement and insurance administration. The research reveals significant variations in procurement practices across states and their impact on healthcare access, quality, and cost-effectiveness. Notable findings include the critical role of value-based purchasing initiatives, the influence of formulary management on pharmaceutical procurement, and the evolving landscape of managed care contracting. The study also highlights the importance of data integration and technological infrastructure in improving procurement efficiency and insurance administration. The findings suggest that optimizing the alignment between procurement strategies and insurance policies could significantly enhance healthcare delivery efficiency while maintaining program sustainability.

Keywords: Healthcare procurement optimization; Medicare administration; Medicaid policy framework; Value-based purchasing; Insurance policy integration; Healthcare cost management

1. Introduction

The United States healthcare system, particularly its public insurance programs Medicare and Medicaid, represents one of the most complex and resource-intensive sectors of the American economy. The intersection of healthcare procurement and insurance policies within these programs has become increasingly critical as healthcare costs continue to rise and demographic shifts create new challenges for service delivery. This complex relationship affects everything from pharmaceutical purchasing to medical device acquisition, and from provider network management to administrative efficiency.

The historical evolution of Medicare and Medicaid since their inception in 1965 has led to increasingly sophisticated procurement mechanisms and insurance frameworks [1]. Initially designed as straightforward fee-for-service programs, both have evolved to encompass various delivery models, including managed care organizations, accountable

* Corresponding author: Kaosara Temitope Adebayo.

care organizations, and specialized procurement initiatives [2]. This evolution reflects broader changes in healthcare delivery and financing, as well as ongoing efforts to balance cost containment with quality improvement.

The healthcare landscape has undergone significant transformation since the implementation of the Affordable Care Act, introducing new complexities to both procurement processes and insurance frameworks [3]. These changes have necessitated more sophisticated approaches to healthcare delivery and cost management. The emergence of value-based care models has particularly influenced how healthcare organizations approach procurement decisions, requiring careful consideration of both quality metrics and cost-effectiveness in purchasing strategies [4].

Understanding the intersection between procurement and insurance policies is crucial as it directly impacts the efficiency and effectiveness of healthcare delivery to millions of Americans who depend on these programs. It influences the financial sustainability of these public insurance programs, which constitute a significant portion of federal and state budgets [5]. Moreover, it shapes the competitive landscape for healthcare providers, suppliers, and insurers, ultimately affecting market dynamics and innovation in healthcare delivery.

The relationship between procurement strategies and insurance policies also has significant implications for health equity, access to care, and quality of services [6]. As healthcare systems increasingly focus on value-based care and population health management, the alignment between procurement practices and insurance policy becomes even more critical for achieving desired outcomes [7]. This alignment must consider various factors, including demographic variations, geographic disparities, and diverse healthcare needs across different population segments [8].

The current healthcare environment demands innovative solutions to address the growing complexity of procurement and insurance administration. Organizations must navigate numerous challenges, including regulatory requirements, technological advancement, and evolving patient expectations [9]. The success of healthcare delivery systems increasingly depends on their ability to effectively integrate procurement strategies with insurance policy objectives while maintaining operational efficiency and financial sustainability.

2. Contemporary Landscape of Healthcare Systems

2.1. Procurement Framework

Healthcare procurement in Medicare and Medicaid operates within a complex framework of federal and state regulations, market dynamics, and operational constraints [10]. The current procurement landscape is characterized by diverse approaches across different jurisdictions and healthcare sectors. States have implemented various models for Medicaid procurement, ranging from traditional fee-for-service arrangements to sophisticated managed care contracts [11]. These variations reflect local healthcare needs, market conditions, and policy priorities.

The procurement framework has evolved significantly with the introduction of advanced analytics and digital technologies [12]. Healthcare organizations now employ sophisticated tools for spend analysis, supplier evaluation, and contract management. This technological integration has enabled more data-driven procurement decisions, leading to improved cost management and operational efficiency [13]. The framework also encompasses specialized procurement channels for different categories of healthcare products and services, each with its own regulatory requirements and market dynamics.

Recent studies have highlighted the increasing adoption of strategic sourcing principles in healthcare procurement, with emphasis on value-based purchasing and total cost of ownership considerations [14]. This shift represents a significant departure from traditional procurement methods that focused primarily on unit cost reduction. The evolution has been particularly notable in areas such as pharmaceutical procurement, where complex rebate arrangements and formulary management strategies have become standard practice [15]. Healthcare organizations increasingly consider factors such as clinical outcomes, patient satisfaction, and long-term cost implications in their procurement decisions [16].

2.2. Policy Implementation

The insurance policy framework governing Medicare and Medicaid has undergone significant transformation in recent years, particularly following the implementation of the Affordable Care Act [17]. These changes have created new requirements for coverage, quality reporting, and payment models that directly impact procurement decisions. Policy implementation now encompasses a broader range of considerations, including population health management, preventive care initiatives, and integrated care delivery models [18].

Medicare's shift toward value-based payment models has created new imperatives for procurement strategies, particularly in areas such as durable medical equipment and prescription drugs [19]. Similarly, Medicaid programs have increasingly emphasized managed care arrangements, requiring new approaches to procurement that align with these delivery models. The interaction between insurance policy requirements and procurement practices has become more complex as programs seek to achieve multiple objectives simultaneously [20].

The implementation of new policies has also led to increased focus on quality measurement and performance monitoring [21]. Healthcare organizations must now demonstrate compliance with numerous quality metrics while maintaining cost-effectiveness in their procurement practices. This has led to the development of sophisticated monitoring systems and reporting frameworks that track both clinical outcomes and financial performance [22].

2.3. Integration Dynamics

The integration of procurement practices with insurance policies faces several operational challenges. These include data system incompatibility, varying regulatory requirements across jurisdictions, and the need to coordinate multiple stakeholders with different incentives and objectives [23]. Healthcare organizations often struggle to align their procurement processes with insurance requirements while maintaining operational efficiency [24].

The advancement of healthcare analytics and digital health technologies has created new possibilities for data-driven procurement decisions that align with insurance policy objectives [25]. These technologies enable better tracking of outcomes, costs, and quality metrics, facilitating more informed procurement strategies. Organizations are increasingly investing in integrated platforms that support both procurement operations and insurance administration, aiming to create seamless workflows and improved data sharing [26].

Integration dynamics also encompass the relationships between different healthcare stakeholders, including providers, payers, and suppliers [27]. Successful integration requires effective communication channels, standardized processes, and clear governance structures [28]. Organizations must balance the needs of various stakeholders while ensuring compliance with regulatory requirements and maintaining focus on patient care quality.

2.4. Market Dynamics and Competition

The healthcare market landscape continues to evolve, influenced by factors such as industry consolidation, technological innovation, and changing consumer preferences [29]. Procurement strategies must adapt to these market dynamics while maintaining alignment with insurance policy objectives. The competitive environment has become increasingly complex, with new market entrants bringing innovative solutions and business models [30].

Market dynamics significantly influence procurement decisions through factors such as supplier consolidation, pricing pressures, and product innovation [31]. Healthcare organizations must navigate these market forces while ensuring compliance with insurance policy requirements and maintaining focus on quality care delivery. The relationship between market competition and procurement efficiency has become increasingly important as organizations seek to optimize their purchasing strategies [32].

The competitive landscape also affects insurance policy implementation through its impact on provider networks, service availability, and cost structures [33]. Organizations must consider market conditions when developing procurement strategies that align with insurance policy objectives. This includes evaluating supplier relationships, assessing market risks, and identifying opportunities for strategic partnerships [34].

3. Stakeholder Dynamics and Governance Structures

3.1. Stakeholder Ecosystem Analysis

The healthcare procurement and insurance landscape encompass a complex network of stakeholders, each with distinct roles, responsibilities, and interests. Healthcare providers operate within stringent regulatory frameworks while balancing financial sustainability and quality of care [35]. These providers must navigate complex procurement processes while ensuring compliance with insurance requirements and maintaining high standards of patient care. Their decisions directly influence both operational efficiency and clinical outcomes.

Payers, including public and private insurers, focus on cost containment and value optimization while ensuring adequate coverage for beneficiaries [36]. Their role extends beyond simple payment processing to include strategic

planning, network management, and quality assurance. The relationship between payers and providers has evolved significantly, with increased emphasis on value-based arrangements and shared risk models [37].

Regulators maintain oversight of both procurement practices and insurance policies, establishing guidelines and monitoring compliance [38]. Their role has become increasingly complex with the introduction of new healthcare delivery models and payment systems. The regulatory framework must balance the need for innovation with patient protection and system sustainability [39].

3.2. Governance Framework

Healthcare organizations implement various governance structures to manage procurement and insurance operations effectively. These structures typically include oversight committees, compliance monitoring systems, and decision-making frameworks. Effective governance requires clear delineation of responsibilities, established communication channels, and robust reporting mechanisms [40].

Modern governance frameworks increasingly incorporate data analytics and performance monitoring tools. These systems enable real-time tracking of procurement metrics, insurance compliance, and operational efficiency [41]. Organizations must ensure their governance structures can adapt to changing regulatory requirements while maintaining operational effectiveness.

Risk management has become a central component of governance frameworks, with organizations developing comprehensive approaches to identifying and mitigating potential risks [42]. This includes financial risks, operational risks, and compliance risks associated with both procurement and insurance operations.

3.3. Public-Private Partnership Models in Healthcare Procurement

Public-private partnerships (PPPs) have become increasingly prominent in healthcare procurement, especially within the Medicare and Medicaid systems [43]. These models allow public agencies to leverage the expertise and efficiency of private sector entities in procurement processes [44]. This subsection could explore examples of successful PPPs in healthcare procurement, such as managed care arrangements, and discuss how these partnerships help mitigate risks, reduce costs, and improve healthcare delivery. The balance of power, accountability, and long-term sustainability of these partnerships are critical considerations [45].

3.4. Ethical Considerations and Social Responsibility

Healthcare procurement and insurance policy decisions have significant ethical implications, particularly regarding equitable access to care [46]. This section could focus on the ethical responsibility of stakeholders in ensuring that procurement practices are aligned with healthcare equity goals, reducing disparities across different population groups. It could also discuss the role of corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives by healthcare providers and suppliers and the potential tension between cost-efficiency and the provision of high-quality care for marginalized communities.

4. Quality Metrics and Performance Assessment

4.1. Quality Measurement Systems

Healthcare organizations employ comprehensive quality measurement systems to evaluate procurement effectiveness and insurance policy outcomes. These systems incorporate clinical quality indicators, patient satisfaction metrics, and operational efficiency measures [47]. The integration of quality metrics into procurement decisions has become increasingly sophisticated, with organizations developing advanced frameworks for supplier evaluation and performance monitoring [48].

Performance measurement extends beyond traditional financial metrics to encompass patient outcomes, service quality, and system efficiency [49]. Organizations must balance multiple objectives while maintaining compliance with regulatory requirements and insurance policies. The development of meaningful quality metrics requires careful consideration of both clinical and operational factors [50].

Data analytics plays a crucial role in quality measurement, enabling organizations to track performance trends and identify improvement opportunities [51]. Advanced analytics tools support decision-making by providing insights into procurement effectiveness and insurance policy impacts.

4.2. Patient-Centered Metrics and Outcome-Based Assessment

While quality measurement systems traditionally focus on operational and clinical outcomes, there is an increasing push toward patient-centered metrics [52]. This subsection could delve into the importance of patient-reported outcomes and satisfaction metrics in assessing the effectiveness of procurement and insurance policies. For instance, value-based care models place a strong emphasis on patient experiences, which could lead to more informed procurement decisions that consider not only cost but also how services or products impact patient health and satisfaction.

4.3. Performance Comparison and Strategic Enhancement

In healthcare procurement, comparing organizational performance against industry standards is vital for identifying areas where improvements can be made. Organizations often utilize performance comparison techniques to gauge the efficiency of their procurement processes, ensuring that they align with best practices in the sector. Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) such as cost savings, contract compliance, and supplier performance are common metrics used for this purpose [53].

Through strategic enhancement, organizations can address any gaps identified during the comparison process. This involves setting up improvement plans that focus on optimizing procurement workflows, implementing new technologies, and adjusting procurement strategies to meet evolving market conditions [54]. Continuous monitoring and regular adjustments allow for a more responsive and adaptive procurement system, enhancing long-term efficiency and effectiveness [55].

5. Risk Management and Innovation

5.1. Risk Management Strategies

Healthcare organizations must address various risks in procurement and insurance operations, including financial, operational, and compliance risks [56]. Comprehensive risk management frameworks incorporate preventive measures, monitoring systems, and response protocols [57]. These frameworks must be flexible enough to address emerging risks while maintaining operational stability.

Innovation in risk management has led to the development of sophisticated tools and approaches. Organizations increasingly use predictive analytics and scenario planning to identify potential risks and develop mitigation strategies. The integration of risk management with procurement and insurance operations enables more effective decision-making and resource allocation [58].

5.2. Technological Innovation

Technological advancement continues to reshape healthcare procurement and insurance administration. Organizations implement advanced analytics systems, artificial intelligence applications, and blockchain solutions to improve operational efficiency [59]. These technologies enable better data integration, improved decision support, and enhanced process automation [60].

Innovation extends to areas such as supply chain management, contract administration, and claims processing. Organizations must balance the potential benefits of new technologies with implementation challenges and resource constraints [61].

5.3. Cybersecurity Risks in Healthcare Procurement

With the increasing reliance on digital technologies and electronic procurement systems, cybersecurity risks have become a major concern for healthcare organizations [62]. This subsection could focus on the specific risks posed by cyberattacks on procurement and insurance data systems, which can compromise sensitive patient and financial information. It could also discuss strategies for mitigating these risks, such as investing in advanced security infrastructure, adopting stringent cybersecurity protocols, and promoting staff awareness through regular training.

5.4. Procurement Innovation in Crisis Management

This subsection could explore how healthcare procurement strategies evolve in response to crises such as pandemics, natural disasters, or financial downturns. For instance, the COVID-19 pandemic exposed the vulnerabilities in global supply chains, pushing organizations to innovate their procurement strategies [63]. It could cover topics like agile

procurement practices, stockpiling essential medical supplies, and establishing flexible supplier relationships to ensure resilience in times of crisis.

Healthcare organizations are increasingly adopting predictive analytics and artificial intelligence (AI) to anticipate future crises and adjust procurement strategies accordingly [64]. These technologies enable the modeling of various crisis scenarios, allowing organizations to preemptively identify potential disruptions in supply chains and prepare contingency plans [65]. For example, AI can forecast spikes in demand for medical supplies during flu seasons or pandemics, helping procurement teams stockpile essential resources ahead of time. Furthermore, AI-driven decision-making tools can recommend alternative suppliers or logistics strategies, ensuring continuity in the face of sudden market disruptions or shortages [66]. By integrating such innovations, healthcare organizations can significantly bolster their resilience and ability to respond swiftly during emergencies.

6. Economic Implications and Future Directions

6.1. Economic Impact Analysis

The economic implications of procurement and insurance integration extend throughout the healthcare system. Organizations must consider both immediate cost implications and long-term value creation in their decision-making processes. Economic analysis includes evaluation of direct costs, indirect costs, and opportunity costs associated with different procurement and insurance strategies [67].

The relationship between procurement efficiency and insurance costs has become increasingly important as organizations seek to optimize their operations. Economic analysis must consider factors such as market dynamics, regulatory requirements, and technological capabilities [68].

6.2. Future Trends and Developments

The future of healthcare procurement and insurance administration will be shaped by various factors, including technological advancement, regulatory changes, and evolving patient needs [69]. Organizations must prepare for continued evolution in healthcare delivery models and payment systems. This includes developing flexible strategies that can adapt to changing circumstances while maintaining operational effectiveness.

Future developments are likely to include increased emphasis on value-based care, enhanced data integration, and improved stakeholder collaboration. Organizations must position themselves to take advantage of emerging opportunities while managing associated risks and challenges [70].

The success of future healthcare delivery systems will depend on their ability to effectively integrate procurement and insurance functions while maintaining focus on patient care quality and system sustainability. This requires ongoing investment in technology, workforce development, and organizational capabilities [71].

7. Technology Integration and Digital Transformation

7.1. Digital Infrastructure Development

Healthcare organizations are increasingly investing in robust digital infrastructure to support integrated procurement and insurance operations [72]. This infrastructure encompasses various components, including enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems, electronic health records (EHR), and specialized procurement platforms. The development of comprehensive digital infrastructure requires careful consideration of interoperability requirements, data security standards, and user accessibility needs [73].

Modern healthcare systems leverage cloud computing solutions to enhance scalability and flexibility [74]. These solutions enable real-time data access, improved collaboration among stakeholders, and enhanced analytical capabilities. Organizations must ensure their digital infrastructure can support both current operational needs and future growth requirements while maintaining compliance with regulatory standards and data protection requirements [75].

Integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning capabilities has become a crucial aspect of digital infrastructure development [76]. These technologies enable automated processing of routine tasks, predictive analytics

for demand forecasting, and intelligent decision support systems. The implementation of AI-driven solutions requires careful consideration of data quality, algorithm validation, and ethical implications [77].

7.2. Data Analytics and Decision Support

Advanced analytics capabilities play an increasingly central role in healthcare procurement and insurance administration [78]. Organizations utilize sophisticated analytics tools to process large volumes of data, identify patterns, and generate actionable insights. These capabilities support various functions, including spend analysis, contract optimization, and performance monitoring.

Predictive analytics enables organizations to anticipate future needs, identify potential risks, and optimize resource allocation [79]. These capabilities are particularly valuable in areas such as inventory management, demand forecasting, and risk assessment. Organizations must ensure their analytics capabilities align with strategic objectives while maintaining data accuracy and reliability [80].

Real-time analytics capabilities enable more responsive decision-making and improved operational efficiency [81]. Organizations can monitor key performance indicators, track compliance metrics, and identify emerging trends as they develop. The integration of real-time analytics with operational systems supports more effective management of both procurement and insurance functions [82].

8. Conclusion

The comprehensive analysis of healthcare procurement and insurance policies in Medicare and Medicaid administration reveals a complex and evolving landscape that demands innovative solutions and strategic approaches. The intersection of these two crucial aspects of healthcare administration presents both significant challenges and opportunities for improvement in the American healthcare system. The traditional approaches to procurement and insurance administration are increasingly inadequate in meeting the demands of modern healthcare delivery, particularly as the system moves toward value-based care models and increased technological integration.

The evidence examined in this review demonstrates that successful integration of procurement practices and insurance policies requires a multifaceted approach that addresses technological, organizational, and policy considerations. Organizations that have successfully navigated this integration have demonstrated improved operational efficiency, better cost management, and enhanced quality of care delivery. However, these successes have often required significant investment in infrastructure, training, and organizational change management.

The healthcare system's ability to adapt to changing demographics, technological advancement, and evolving patient needs will largely depend on its capacity to align procurement strategies with insurance policy objectives. This alignment becomes particularly critical as healthcare organizations face increasing pressure to improve outcomes while controlling costs. The future of healthcare delivery will likely require even greater integration of these functions, supported by advanced technologies and innovative policy frameworks.

Recommendations

Healthcare organizations must prioritize the development of integrated technology platforms that enable seamless coordination between procurement and insurance administration functions. These platforms should incorporate advanced analytics capabilities to support data-driven decision-making while ensuring compliance with regulatory requirements and policy objectives. The implementation of such systems requires careful consideration of interoperability requirements and data security standards.

Policy development should focus on creating frameworks that encourage innovation while maintaining appropriate oversight and accountability. Regulatory bodies should consider approaches that allow for greater flexibility in procurement and insurance administration while ensuring adequate protection of patient interests and system sustainability. This includes revised approaches to value-based purchasing, updated quality metrics, and new models for risk sharing between stakeholders.

Cross-sector collaboration and knowledge sharing will play crucial roles in advancing system integration. Healthcare organizations should actively participate in industry forums, research initiatives, and pilot programs that explore innovative approaches to procurement and insurance administration. This collaboration should extend to technology providers, policymakers, and academic institutions to ensure comprehensive approaches to system improvement.

The implementation of these recommendations requires careful consideration of local contexts, resource availability, and organizational readiness. Organizations should develop phased implementation plans that allow for appropriate testing and refinement of new approaches while maintaining operational stability. Regular evaluation and adjustment of implementation strategies will help ensure successful outcomes and sustainable improvement in healthcare delivery systems.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

- [1] Larry Rubin FS, CERA M, PricewaterhouseCoopers P, Schaulewicz D, Sullivan PT. An Overview of the US LTC Insurance Market (Past and Present): The Economic Need for LTC Insurance, the History of LTC Regulation & Taxation and the Development of LTC Product Design Features.
- [2] Peterson SK. Changing the healthcare organization: the strategic framework to shift from fee-for-service reimbursements to value-based reimbursement methods.
- [3] Campbell AL, Shore-Sheppard L. The social, political, and economic effects of the Affordable Care Act: Introduction to the issue. *RSF: The Russell Sage Foundation Journal of the Social Sciences*. 2020 Jul 1;6(2):1-40.
- [4] Smith TR, Rambachan A, Cote D, Cybulski G, Laws ER. Market-based health care in specialty surgery: finding patient-centered shared value. *Neurosurgery*. 2015 Oct 1;77(4):509-16.
- [5] Cashin C, Bloom D, Sparkes S, Barroy H, Kutzin J, O'Dougherty S, World Health Organization. Aligning public financial management and health financing: sustaining progress toward universal health coverage. World Health Organization; 2017.
- [6] Okech TC, Lelegwe SL. Analysis of universal health coverage and equity on health care in Kenya. *Global journal of health science*. 2015 Dec 16;8(7):218.
- [7] World Health Organization. From value for money to value-based health services: a twenty-first century shift: WHO policy brief.
- [8] Zuckerman S, Waidmann T, Berenson R, Hadley J. Clarifying sources of geographic differences in Medicare spending. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2010 Jul 1;363(1):54-62.
- [9] Mackey TK, Kuo TT, Gummadi B, Clauson KA, Church G, Grishin D, Obbad K, Barkovich R, Palombini M. 'Fit-for-purpose?'-challenges and opportunities for applications of blockchain technology in the future of healthcare. *BMC medicine*. 2019 Dec;17:1-7.
- [10] King MW. Health Care Efficiencies: Consolidation and Alternative Models vs. Health Care and Antitrust Regulation-Irreconcilable Differences?. *American Journal of Law & Medicine*. 2017 Nov;43(4):426-67.
- [11] Perez V. Effect of privatized managed care on public insurance spending and generosity: Evidence from Medicaid. *Health Economics*. 2018 Mar;27(3):557-75.
- [12] Ibem EO, Laryea S. Survey of digital technologies in procurement of construction projects. *Automation in construction*. 2014 Oct 1;46:11-21.
- [13] Adebayo VI, Paul PO, Eyo-Udo NL. The role of data analysis and reporting in modern procurement: Enhancing decision-making and supplier management. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews*. 2024;20(1):088-97.
- [14] Tabish SA. Procurement Management in Health Care Systems. In *Health Care Management: Principles and Practice* 2024 Oct 13 (pp. 309-335). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
- [15] Morton FS, Kyle M. Markets for pharmaceutical products. In *Handbook of health economics* 2011 Jan 1 (Vol. 2, pp. 763-823). Elsevier.
- [16] Mosadeghrad AM. Factors influencing healthcare service quality. *International journal of health policy and management*. 2014 Jul;3(2):77.

- [17] Sommers BD. Health insurance coverage: what comes after the ACA? an examination of the major gaps in health insurance coverage and access to care that remain ten years after the Affordable Care Act. *Health Affairs*. 2020 Mar 1;39(3):502-8.
- [18] World Health Organization. People-centred and integrated health services: an overview of the evidence: interim report.
- [19] Woods DJ. *Impacts of pay-for-value on healthcare providers, health plans, and patients-New reimbursement models promise higher quality and lower cost* (Master's thesis, Utica College).
- [20] Uyarra E, Flanagan K. Understanding the innovation impacts of public procurement. *European planning studies*. 2010 Jan 1;18(1):123-43.
- [21] Spekle RF, Verbeeten FH. The use of performance measurement systems in the public sector: Effects on performance. *Management accounting research*. 2014 Jun 1;25(2):131-46.
- [22] World Health Organization. Tracking universal health coverage: first global monitoring report. World Health Organization; 2015 Jul 21.
- [23] Seddon JJ, Currie WL. Cloud computing and trans-border health data: Unpacking US and EU healthcare regulation and compliance. *Health policy and technology*. 2013 Dec 1;2(4):229-41.
- [24] Cleverley WO, Cleverley JO, Parks AV. *Essentials of health care finance*. Jones & Bartlett Learning; 2023 Dec 8.
- [25] Adekugbe AP, Ibeh CV. Advancing healthcare data solutions: comparative analysis of business and research models in the US. *International Medical Science Research Journal*. 2024 Apr 9;4(4):373-90.
- [26] Martin S, Ibrahim M. Supply Chain Integration: Streamlining Operations for Competitive Advantage. *Innovative Social Sciences Journal*. 2024 Apr 7;10(1):1-9.
- [27] Santilli J, Vogenberg FR. Key strategic trends that impact healthcare decision-making and stakeholder roles in the new marketplace. *American health & drug benefits*. 2015 Feb;8(1):15.
- [28] Juiz C, Guerrero C, Lera I. Implementing good governance principles for the public sector in information technology governance frameworks. *Open Journal of Accounting*. 2014 Jan 15;2014.
- [29] Vitalari NP. Prospects for the future of the us healthcare industry: a speculative analysis. *American Journal of Medical Research*. 2016;3(2):7-52.
- [30] Teece DJ. Business models, business strategy and innovation. *Long range planning*. 2010 Apr 1;43(2-3):172-94.
- [31] Scheer LK, Miao CF, Garrett J. The effects of supplier capabilities on industrial customers' loyalty: the role of dependence. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*. 2010 Feb;38:90-104.
- [32] World Health Organization. Challenges and opportunities in improving access to medicines through efficient public procurement in the WHO European Region.
- [33] Gaynor M, Town RJ. Competition in health care markets. *Handbook of health economics*. 2011 Jan 1;2:499-637.
- [34] Srinivasan M, Mukherjee D, Gaur AS. Buyer-supplier partnership quality and supply chain performance: Moderating role of risks, and environmental uncertainty. *European management journal*. 2011 Aug 1;29(4):260-71.
- [35] Olorunyomi TD, Sanyaolu TO, Adeleke AG, Okeke IC. Integrating FinOps in healthcare for optimized financial efficiency and enhanced care. *International Journal of Frontiers in Science and Technology Research*. 2024;7(2):20-8.
- [36] Yabroff KR, Gansler T, Wender RC, Cullen KJ, Brawley OW. Minimizing the burden of cancer in the United States: Goals for a high-performing health care system. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians*. 2019 May;69(3):166-83.
- [37] Harrill WC, Melon DE. A field guide to US healthcare reform: The evolution to value-based healthcare. *Laryngoscope investigative otolaryngology*. 2021 Jun;6(3):590-9.
- [38] Schwarcz D. Transparency opaque: Understanding the lack of transparency in insurance consumer protection. *UCLA L. Rev.*. 2013;61:394.
- [39] Graban M. *Lean hospitals: improving quality, patient safety, and employee engagement*. Productivity Press; 2018 Oct 8.

- [40] Moon S, Sridhar D, Pate MA, Jha AK, Clinton C, Delaunay S, Edwin V, Fallah M, Fidler DP, Garrett L, Goosby E. Will Ebola change the game? Ten essential reforms before the next pandemic. The report of the Harvard-LSHTM Independent Panel on the Global Response to Ebola. *The Lancet*. 2015 Nov 28;386(10009):2204-21.
- [41] Althabatah A, Yaqot M, Menezes B, Kerbache L. Transformative procurement trends: Integrating industry 4.0 technologies for enhanced procurement processes. *Logistics*. 2023 Sep 13;7(3):63.
- [42] Torabi SA, Giahi R, Sahebjamnia N. An enhanced risk assessment framework for business continuity management systems. *Safety science*. 2016 Nov 1;89:201-18.
- [43] Bangerter LR. The role of private equity in health care for older adults. *Public Policy & Aging Report*. 2023 May 1;33(2):41-3.
- [44] Uyarra E, Flanagan K. Understanding the innovation impacts of public procurement. *European planning studies*. 2010 Jan 1;18(1):123-43.
- [45] Smith PA, Sharicz C. The shift needed for sustainability. *The learning organization*. 2011 Jan 11;18(1):73-86.
- [46] Derosé KP, Gresenz CR, Ringel JS. Understanding disparities in health care access—and reducing them—through a focus on public health. *Health Affairs*. 2011 Oct 1;30(10):1844-51.
- [47] Tsai TC, Orav EJ, Jha AK. Patient satisfaction and quality of surgical care in US hospitals. *Annals of surgery*. 2015 Jan 1;261(1):2-8.
- [48] Maestrini V, Luzzini D, Maccarrone P, Caniato F. Supply chain performance measurement systems: A systematic review and research agenda. *International Journal of Production Economics*. 2017 Jan 1;183:299-315.
- [49] Lloyd RC. *Quality health care: a guide to developing and using indicators*. Jones & Bartlett Learning; 2017 Aug 18.
- [50] Tait BD, Süsal C, Gebel HM, Nickerson PW, Zachary AA, Claas FH, Reed EF, Bray RA, Campbell P, Chapman JR, Coates PT. Consensus guidelines on the testing and clinical management issues associated with HLA and non-HLA antibodies in transplantation. *Transplantation*. 2013 Jan 15;95(1):19-47.
- [51] Hazen BT, Boone CA, Ezell JD, Jones-Farmer LA. Data quality for data science, predictive analytics, and big data in supply chain management: An introduction to the problem and suggestions for research and applications. *International Journal of Production Economics*. 2014 Aug 1;154:72-80.
- [52] Stergiopoulos S, Michaels DL, Kunz BL, Getz KA. Measuring the impact of patient engagement and patient centrality in clinical research and development. *Therapeutic innovation & regulatory science*. 2020 Jan;54:103-16.
- [53] Selviaridis K, Spring M. Supply chain alignment as process: contracting, learning and pay-for-performance. *International journal of operations & production management*. 2018 Mar 12;38(3):732-55.
- [54] Flechsig C, Anslinger F, Lasch R. Robotic Process Automation in purchasing and supply management: A multiple case study on potentials, barriers, and implementation. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*. 2022 Jan 1;28(1):100718.
- [55] Wang X, Wang Y, Tao F, Liu A. New paradigm of data-driven smart customisation through digital twin. *Journal of manufacturing systems*. 2021 Jan 1;58:270-80.
- [56] Carroll R, Brown SM, Nakamura PL, Troyer GT. *Risk Management Handbook for Health Care Organizations*, 3 Volume Set. John Wiley & Sons; 2011 Jan 6.
- [57] Aven T. *Risk Management and Governance. Concepts, Guidelines and Applications*.
- [58] Rane, N.L., Choudhary, S.P. and Rane, J., 2024. Artificial Intelligence-driven corporate finance: enhancing efficiency and decision-making through machine learning, natural language processing, and robotic process automation in corporate governance and sustainability. *Studies in Economics and Business Relations*, 5(2), pp.1-22.
- [59] Chowdhury RH. The evolution of business operations: unleashing the potential of Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, and Blockchain. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*. 2024;22(3):2135-47.
- [60] Milovic B, Milovic M. Prediction and decision making in health care using data mining. *Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review (Kuwait Chapter)*. 2012 Aug 5;1(12):126-36.
- [61] Al Nuaimi E, Al Neyadi H, Mohamed N, Al-Jaroodi J. Applications of big data to smart cities. *Journal of Internet Services and Applications*. 2015 Aug;6(1):1-5.

- [62] Adebukola A, Navya A, Jordan F, Jenifer N, Begley RD. Cyber security as a threat to health care. *Journal of Technology and Systems*. 2022;4(1):32-64.
- [63] Panwar R, Pinkse J, De Marchi V. The future of global supply chains in a post-COVID-19 world. *California Management Review*. 2022 Feb;64(2):5-23.
- [64] Dellink R. *Barriers to the adoption of artificial intelligence in medical diagnosis: procurement perspective* (Master's thesis, University of Twente).
- [65] Anozie UC, Adewumi G, Obafunsho OE, Toromade AS, Olaluwoye OS. Leveraging advanced technologies in Supply Chain Risk Management (SCRM) to mitigate healthcare disruptions: A comprehensive review. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*. 2024;23(1):1039-45.
- [66] Nwamekwe CO, Igbokwe NC. Supply Chain Risk Management: Leveraging AI for Risk Identification, Mitigation, and Resilience Planning. *International Journal of Industrial Engineering, Technology & Operations Management*. 2024 Dec 31;2(2):41-51.
- [67] Larg A, Moss JR. Cost-of-illness studies: a guide to critical evaluation. *Pharmacoeconomics*. 2011 Aug;29:653-71.
- [68] Triguero A, Moreno-Mondéjar L, Davia MA. Drivers of different types of eco-innovation in European SMEs. *Ecological economics*. 2013 Aug 1;92:25-33.
- [69] Thimbleby H. Technology and the future of healthcare. *Journal of public health research*. 2013 Dec;2(3):jphr-2013.
- [70] Meyer KE, Mudambi R, Narula R. Multinational enterprises and local contexts: The opportunities and challenges of multiple embeddedness. *Journal of management studies*. 2011 Mar;48(2):235-52.
- [71] Corrado CA, Hulten CR. How do you measure a "technological revolution"? *American Economic Review*. 2010 May 1;100(2):99-104.
- [72] Nayak B, Bhattacharyya SS, Krishnamoorthy B. Application of digital technologies in health insurance for social good of bottom of pyramid customers in India. *International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy*. 2019 Nov 21;39(9/10):752-72.
- [73] Kouroubali A, Katehakis DG. The new European interoperability framework as a facilitator of digital transformation for citizen empowerment. *Journal of biomedical informatics*. 2019 Jun 1;94:103166.
- [74] Shah V, Konda SR. Cloud computing in healthcare: Opportunities, risks, and compliance. *Revista Espanola de Documentacion Cientifica*. 2022 Aug 31;16(3):50-71.
- [75] Force JT, Initiative T. Security and privacy controls for federal information systems and organizations. NIST Special Publication. 2013 Apr;800(53):8-13.
- [76] Raschka S, Patterson J, Nolet C. Machine learning in python: Main developments and technology trends in data science, machine learning, and artificial intelligence. *Information*. 2020 Apr 4;11(4):193.
- [77] Nassar A, Kamal M. Ethical dilemmas in AI-powered decision-making: a deep dive into big data-driven ethical considerations. *International Journal of Responsible Artificial Intelligence*. 2021 Aug 6;11(8):1-1.
- [78] Groves P, Kayyali B, Knott D, Kuiken SV. The 'big data' revolution in healthcare: Accelerating value and innovation.
- [79] Olaniyi O, Shah NH, Abalaka A, Olaniyi FG. Harnessing predictive analytics for strategic foresight: a comprehensive review of techniques and applications in transforming raw data to actionable insights. Available at SSRN 4635189. 2023 Nov 15.
- [80] Mikalef P, van de Wetering R, Krogstie J. Building dynamic capabilities by leveraging big data analytics: The role of organizational inertia. *Information & Management*. 2021 Sep 1;58(6):103412.
- [81] Boppiniti ST. Real-time data analytics with ai: Leveraging stream processing for dynamic decision support. *International Journal of Management Education for Sustainable Development*. 2021;4(4).
- [82] Araz OM, Choi TM, Olson DL, Salman FS. Role of analytics for operational risk management in the era of big data. *Decision Sciences*. 2020 Dec;51(6):1320-46.