Altitudinal variation of sexual size dimorphism in ground beetle *Carabus odoratus* Shill.
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Abstract

Samples of *Carabus odoratus barguzinicus* Shil. collected in different altitudes of Barguzin Mountain Range at the northeast part of Baikal Lake (N 54° 20'; E 109° 30', Russia). In total eight populations were analyzed, two in each belt: Coast, Low-, Middle- and High Mountains (455-460, 500-700, 701-1300, 1301-1700 m above sea level). Morphometric measurements included six traits (elytra length and width, pronotum length and width, head length, and the distance between eyes) of 1300 specimens. Sexual size dimorphism (SSD) in *Carabus odoratus* was female-biased. Its value was significant and more pronounced in Low- and High mountains and varied from 0.01 to 0.1 in different traits and at different altitudes. The largest values of SSD was at Low- and High mountains. The latter considered the most favorable biotopes to the species studied. RMA II method resulted in positive Intercepts in most traits treated. That demonstrated the higher sensitivity of females to environmental conditions and that *Carabus odoratus* follows the opposite Rensch′s rule.
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1. Introduction

The sexes of most biota differ fundamentally in morphology, ecology, and behavior [1]. Sexual differences in size (i.e., sexual size dimorphism; SSD), are particularly widespread among animal species [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. In most cases, SSD is female-biased, although exceptions occur (particularly among birds and mammals [7, 8]). There are several hypotheses explaining the evolutionary origin of SSD [9, 10, 2, 11, 5]. The most widely studied one is the sexual selection hypothesis, which proposes that sexual dimorphism emerges of competition for mates or mate choice [2]. It assumed that sexual selection generally favored male-biased SSD based on the competitive advantages for larger males during mate acquisition. Although female-biased SSD may also be a result of sexual selection (e.g., male preferences for larger females), ecological factors could also result in natural selection for sexual size dimorphism [11, 12, 8]. The role of natural selection for SSD is highlighted by the dimorphic niche hypothesis (or reproductive role hypothesis), which states that the differential reproductive roles of the sexes are associated with differential energetic costs [13], leading to different physical status [10, 2, 14, 15]. These different optima are selective forces: sexual selection, fecundity selection, and viability selection [8]. In females of most invertebrate species, fecundity selection considered selecting for larger individuals, favoring larger clutch size, egg size, or offspring size [3, 16]. Males may benefit from a smaller body size, which increases the potential to fertilize virgin females [17, 18]. Other advantages for small males may be reduced ecological competition with females or greater mobility and agility facilitating the search for mates [19], as well as decreased predation risk and lower food requirements [20]. By contrast, sexual selection usually favors larger males [13, 21]. The effect of viability selection on SSD remains poorly studied, although size-specific effects of predation, thermoregulation, etc. often assumed for constraining the potential size differences between the sexes [22, 23]. Hence, the differential effects of fecundity selection usually considered how the predominating power behind female-biased SSD [16, 23], whereas sexual selection is considered to support male-biased SSD [8, 24]. Female-biased SSD is common in a majority of insect species and in 95% of Orthopteran species [18, 24]. Body size is generally a plastic character, strongly affected by environmental factors, as well as genetic preconditions [3]. Food quantity and quality, as well as temperature, affect growth and development [6, 25, 26]. The “temperature–size” rule states that, at lower temperatures, ectothermic individuals grow slower but attain larger body sizes than at higher temperatures [6, 26]. Therefore, insects face a trade-off and may respond to energetic limitations either by reducing their body size or by undergoing a prolonged developmental time. Phenotypic plasticity of body size can vary substantially between the sexes and can cause intraspecific variation [24, 27]. Given that the dimorphic niche hypothesis applies, one would expect that the sexes differ in their response towards environmental stresses, such as competition or limited energy supply to maximize their fitness [8]. Although females should aim to reach a maximum body size also under energetic limitation (which they may only reach by a longer developmental time), males should reduce body size to reach adulthood earlier. In many animal taxa, the allometry of body size among sexes varies in accordance with the pattern of SSD, it increases when males are the larger sex, but decreases with body size when females are larger than males [4, 28, 29]. These trends explained by greater evolutionary divergence and plasticity in male size, compared with female size, a pattern known as Rensch’s rule [4, 29]. Interestingly, in insects, allometric patterns have not always conformed to Rensch’s rule. Notably, in solitary Hymenoptera, a consistent opposite trend has found, with variance in female size tending to be greater than the variance in male size [30, 31]. Though originally formulated to explain interspecific variation in sexual size dimorphism, a few studies have addressed the Rensch’s rule by examining intraspecific, among-population variation in dimorphism, thereby testing the basic assumption that macroevolutionary patterns should be grounded in equivalent microevolutionary mechanisms. The results suggest, however, that Rensch’s rule may or may not hold among populations within species [32, 33], depending on the taxon studied. The main idea of this paper was to analyze the data in relation to sexual size dimorphism (SSD). We tried to answer the following questions: (I) is SSD in C. odoratus female-biased as in other carabids; (II) is SSD most pronounced in optimal biotopes; (III) does C. odoratus follow the Rensch’s rule.

2. Material and methods

We conducted our study in the North East region of Baikal Lake (N 54° 20’; E 109° 30’) (State Budgetary Establishment “Zapovednoe Podlemorie”, Republic of Buryatia, Russian Federation). Beetles were sampled in 30-km high-altitude transect. It was in Davsha river valley and stretched from the coast of Baikal Lake to the bald Barguzin zone. The transect was divided into four plots designated as Coast (200-500 m from Baikal Lakeshore, 454-465 m upper-level sea), Low (12-17 km, 500-700 m), Medium (20-25 km, 701-1300 m) and High part of the range (25-30 km, 1301-1700 m). Coast included biotopes with Bilberry cedar and Birch sparse grove. The bottom part of the mountain forest zone (Low Mountain) included biotopes with Blueberry larch and Red bilberry pine. Middle mountain biotopes included Bergenia aspen and Bilberry abies. High mountains - Birch sparse grove and Lichen tundra. We had conducted the previous research in this region when the majority of environmental factors investigated with thermographs, precipitation cylinders, soil thermometers Savinov. Snow depths measured in altitude belts before melting (in March) [34]. We had
concluded that environmental conditions for ground beetles were less favorable at high altitudes and more favorable at low altitudes [35].

*Carabus odoratus* Motchulsky, 1844 distributed from the Yamal Peninsula and all around Siberia to Magadan area and Kamchatka Peninsula; in southern Siberia from Altai up to the Khabarovsky territories. The species is absent in the south of Far East Russia. The area of this species inhabited by many unequally differing populations. Some of the groups of populations, undoubtedly, should regard as subspecies. At the same time, the majority of populations constitute a continuous sequence of forms slightly different in size, coloration, and ratios, but it is hardly possible to consider these forms as subspecies. A considerable number of forms of *C. odoratus* described at present. The majority of intraspecific names treated as synonyms. The species has a large number of subspecies and local forms. In the Siberia and north of the Russian Far East, the species represented by 20 subspecies. The species occurs in the forest and mountain tundra at altitudes from 1500 to 2600 m above sea level [36]. In our study, we used *Carabus odoratus barguzinicus* Shil. 1996. It is the Baikal subspecies of Siberian species, endemic, and generalist in Barguzin ridge [37]. It is much higher in number than other carabids there and is considered to be the dominant species together with *Pterostichus dilutipes* Motsch., and *Pterostichus montanus* Motsch. [35]. Studied specimens identified using Shilenkov [37].

Our study took place in 2000 – 2017. Five pitfall traps were set at each site separated from each other by a minimum of 5 m to ensure the independence of samples. Each trap was 10 cm diameter, 15 cm in-depth, and contained approximately 3 cm 4% formalin as killing and preserving agent. Traps were open between June and August. Trap contents collected every 2 weeks and stored in 70% ethanol until processed.

The morphometric analysis made with a Leitz RS stereoscopic dissecting microscope at a magnification of 10 diameters, using a calibrated ocular grid with a scale interval of 0.1 mm. For each specimen, six variables measured elytra length and width, pronotum length and width, head length, and the distance between eyes (Fig. 1).

![Figure 1](image_url) Studied morphometric characteristics in *C. odoratus*: A – elytra length, B – elytra width, V – pronotum length, G – pronotum width, D – head length, E – the distance between eyes.

To study the variation of sexual size dimorphism (SSD) we calculated the size dimorphism index [38] by dividing the trait size of the females by the trait size of males and subtracting one, resulting in negative SDI when male’s trait is larger, and positive values of SDI when female’s trait is larger. To examine the dependence of SSD on environmental conditions male trait size plotted against female one separately for each trait. The scaling of SSD with body size analyzed using a Model II regression method: Reduced Major Axis (RMA) regression. Ordinary least squares (OLS) regression is inadequate for this type of analysis. We run the regressions using the software R, smart package [39]. The use of RMA regression of log10 (male size) on log10 (female size) is also justified because RMA is symmetric. It means that a single regression line defines the bivariate relationship independently of which variable is X and which is Y, and this is the case for SSD comparisons: Rensch’s rule supported when the slope βRMA is significant > 1.0, while slopes < 1.0 signal its reversion. Slopes not significantly different from 1.0 indicate sexual isometry. Furthermore, a zero intercept implied
a proportional increase of male and female sizes with conditions improving. A positive intercept indicated that female size increased relatively faster than male size, the former being then more sensitive to environmental conditions. A negative intercept indicated the opposite tendency.

These parameters allowed us to reach conclusions about the dependence of SSD on environmental conditions. In particular, it is easy to see that sex-related differences in sensitivity of body size to environmental conditions should lead to different female/male size ratios in different environments [27].

3. Results

In all altitude belts, females in C. odoratus were larger than males. Exceptions were elytra width and pronotum length at the coast (Fig. 2), where differences were not significant.

![Figure 2](image_url)

**Figure 2** Descriptive statistics of morphometric traits in C. odoratus (Mean □ Mean±2*SE ▼ Mean±SD)

Outliers * Extremes; A – elytra length, B – elytra width, C – pronotum length, D – pronotum width, E – head length, F – the distance between eyes; a – Coast, b – Low mountains, c – Middle mountains, d – High mountains.
When conducting RMA, all slopes were positive (Table 1, Fig. 3).

### Table 1 Results of RMA regression in *C. odoratus* traits (p-level: * < 0.05, **<0.01, ***<0.001).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Altitude belt</th>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Intercept</th>
<th>Slope</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coast</strong></td>
<td>Elytra length</td>
<td>-0.900</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elytra width</td>
<td>1.086</td>
<td>0.40*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pronotum length</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pronotum width</td>
<td>0.279</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Head length</td>
<td>-0.749</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Distance between eyes</td>
<td>0.484</td>
<td>0.44*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low Mountains</strong></td>
<td>Elytra length</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.92***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elytra width</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.93***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pronotum length</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pronotum width</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.96**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Head length</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.88***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Distance between eyes</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Middle Mountains</strong></td>
<td>Elytra length</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>0.83***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elytra width</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.96**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pronotum length</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pronotum width</td>
<td>-0.032</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Head length</td>
<td>-0.131</td>
<td>1.07**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Distance between eyes</td>
<td>-0.094</td>
<td>1.06*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>High Mountains</strong></td>
<td>Elytra length</td>
<td>0.378</td>
<td>0.82***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elytra width</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td>0.83***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pronotum length</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.78***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pronotum width</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.94**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Head length</td>
<td>-0.157</td>
<td>1.08***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Distance between eyes</td>
<td>-0.0.062</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

That fact indicated that environmental conditions affected female and male size in the same direction, i.e. male size increased with female size increasing. Unambiguously such a result allowed to ranges traits in the samples according to the favorability of environmental conditions. Zero intercept implied a proportional increase of male and female trait sizes with conditions improving. In our case we concluded that all traits in coastal beetles (with the exception of elytra width), pronotum length and distance between eyes in low mountain beetles, pronotum traits in middle mountain beetles and distance between eyes in high mountain beetles varied isometrically in females and males, the latter having similar sensitivity to environmental conditions. However, regression curves in distance between eyes in middle mountain beetles and head length – in high mountain beetles had significant negative Intercepts. A negative intercept indicated that male size increased relatively faster than female size, the former being then more sensitive to environmental conditions. Hereby by those male traits of *C. odoratus* were more sensitive to the environment in the corresponding biotope. In other traits in all high altitude belts, intercepts were positive, indicating that females by those traits were more sensitive to the environment. In this one, the values of SSD were significant. Those results supplemented Fig. 4: SSD was significant in two traits in coast beetles, four traits – in low-and-middle mountains beetles and in five traits – in high mountain beetles.
Significant values of SSD (Fig. 3), where deviation from the isometric curve were significant marked by asterisks. It pronounced in the latter population. Out of 24 cases researched in 13 ones, the intercept was positive, indicating that in those traits females were more sensitive to the environment. As for traits, SSD in elytra parameters was significant in seven cases (out of eight researched), pronotum ones – in three cases (out of eight) and in head parameters – in five cases (out of eight). Thus, elytra length and width differed in females and males, more than other traits.

**Figure 3** Results of RMA regression in C. odoratus traits (a – elytra length, b – elytra width, c – pronotum length, d – pronotum width, e – head length, f – the distance between eyes). 1 – Coast, 2 – Low mountains, 3 – Middle mountains, 4 – High mountains. Circles cross, multiplication signs and triangles denote individuals measured. Grey dotted lines - isometric relationship (slope = 1).
4. Discussion

Though originally formulated to explain interspecific variation in sexual size dimorphism, some studies have addressed the Rensch’s rule by examining intraspecific, among-population variation in dimorphism, thereby testing the basic assumption that macroevolutionary patterns should considered in equivalent microevolutionary mechanisms. The results suggest, however, the Rensch’s rule may be [40, 41, 42] or not maybe among populations of different species [37]. One possible explanation for this discrepancy across taxonomic scales is the establishment of consistent genetic differences in dimorphism among populations, presumably due to sex-specific selection [40, 20]. Perhaps this influenced by phenotypic plasticity [41], gene flow between populations [32], temporal variability in selection on male or female size [43], or not equivalence dimorphism arising from the generally very high genetic correlation between male and female size [9]. Macroevolutionary patterns of sexual size dimorphism across species less affected by these factors and should, better reflect evolutionary divergence in dimorphism over longer time scales [4, 41]. Nevertheless, identifying the putative microevolutionary mechanisms confirming or refuting the Rensch’s rule among species requires studying variation in dimorphism in a greater number of species. Any general explanation should apply across taxonomic levels [4]. In our investigation, we found that SSD in C. odoratus was female-biased. It is common in carabids and other insects as well [27, 44]. Contrary to other researchers, we studied not one, but six traits variation. If the only elytra length had been in study, we can confidently conclude that C. odoratus follows converse the Rensch′s rule. Though in most cases Intercepts were positive, indicating that by most traits it is really is, in two cases (the distance between eyes at Middle mountain population and head length – in High mountain one) Intercepts were negative, indicating that by those traits and in those environments C. odoratus followed Rensch’ rule. Then, firstly, we argue, that when investigating trends in traits variation, researchers would pay attention more than to the single trait. Secondly, if females are more sensitive to the environment in most traits, SSD in optimal conditions is more pronounced. In our study, it was the highest in low- and high altitudes. Indeed, owing to population density C. odoratus pine forest in Low mountains, and lichen tundra in High mountains were the favorable habitats. The plots on the Coast and in the Middle mountains appeared as adverse habitats [2014]. In addition, low altitude populations of C. odoratus sex ratio are female-biased [35] and the total body size of the beetles are larger than in other high-altitude vegetation belts [36].

The SSD severity in C. odoratus different traits is most evident in the parameters of elytra (7 cases out of 8) and in the parameters of the head (5 cases out of 8). SSD practically does not significant in pronotum size, perhaps by the reason of its evolutionary conservatism.

The literature on SSD variation in altitude gradient is scarce. Usually, researchers study body size variation in insects for both genders. They note decreasing or increasing body size in high elevation [47, 48, 49]. Our results in SSD variation differ from those of other researchers. For instance, body size in carrion beetles in females and males decreased towards
the high altitudes, but SSD remained unchanged [50]. Female moths grew faster at high altitudes, but adult body sizes did not differ at low and high ones [51]. We found in our study that:

- SSD in *C. odoratus* was female-biased;
- SSD was more pronounced in favorable habitats;

In most traits, *C. odoratus* follows the opposite of Rensch’s rule.

5. Conclusion

Investigation of intra-specific SSD variation in insects is an unexplored area and this issue deserves attention in future ecological and evolutionary research. The patterns observed at the between-population could provide a clue to understanding the mechanisms observed at different environmental gradient scales. The values of SSD, characteristic to favorable and unattractive biotopes serve as biological indicators of habitat disturbance.
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