

GSC Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences

eISSN: 2581-3250 CODEN (USA): GBPSC2 Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/gscbps Journal homepage: https://gsconlinepress.com/journals/gscbps/



(RESEARCH ARTICLE)

月 Check for updates

Bacteriological assessment of borehole water in some communities in Owerri West, Southeastern Nigeria

Nicholas Chinedu Ewelike $^{1,\,*}$, Christabel Amarachukwu Okoli 1 , Macanthony Nnanyereugo Echendu 1 and Jennifer Uzoamaka Enekwa 2

¹ Department of Microbiology, Federal University of Technology, P.M.B 1526, Owerri, Nigeria.
² Department of Science Laboratory Technology, Federal University of Technology, P.M.B 1526, Owerri, Nigeria.

GSC Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2022, 2022, 18(03), 177–181

Publication history: Received on 12 February 2022; revised on 19 March 2022; accepted on 21 March 2022

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/gscbps.2022.18.3.0115

Abstract

The proliferation of boreholes dug by individuals, public and private entities in a bid to overcome the problem of water shortage has caused various communities in Owerri West, southeastern Nigeria to depend on borehole water as readily available water for drinking and domestic purposes. Unfortunately, the water is not treated before it is used for drinking and there is no surveillance of bacteria in the water to ascertain its safety. In consideration of this fact, a study was conducted to assess the bacteriological quality of borehole water in some communities in the area. Borehole water samples were aseptically collected from four communities. The bacteriological assessment was carried out using standard microbiological methods involving determination of total coliform count, total faecal coliform count and total heterotrophic bacterial count. The total coliform counts of the water samples ranged from 1.3x10² to 1.3x10⁴ CFUmL⁻¹ and 1.1x10² to 8.7x10⁴ CFUmL⁻¹ respectively. The organisms isolated from the borehole water samples included *Shigella* sp, *Enterococcus faecalis, Staphylococcus* sp, *Klebsiella* sp and *Escherichia coli.* The total bacteria counts exceed the limit set by the World Health Organization for drinking and domestic purposes. Moreover, the pathogenic organisms isolated from the water samples could cause serious health problems in humans. Hence, the borehole water should be treated properly before use and other preventive approaches should be adopted to minimize possible health risks associated with the use of the borehole water.

Keywords: Bacteriological assessment; Borehole water; Bacterial count; Rural communities; Owerri West

1. Introduction

The usefulness of water to human life cannot be over emphasized. This natural substance has been described as the most important nutrient for the survival of man on earth because of its involvement in the various functions of human body [1-3]. One of the complex challenges facing rural communities in Africa is the provision of safe drinking water. This problem has resulted in several deaths of both children and adults [4]. Consequently, various countries have adopted programs aimed at improving the quality of water and strong emphasis has been placed on the need for reliance on other water sources such as ground water and rain water apart from surface water [5].

In Nigeria, boreholes are dug by individuals, public and private entities in a bid to overcome the problem of water shortage. This has resulted in dependence on boreholes as readily available source of water for drinking and domestic purposes. In some places, boreholes are dug close to septic tanks and pit latrines which may result in underground contamination with faecal matter. The communities in Owerri West Local Government Area in the Southeastern Nigeria mostly depend on borehole water for drinking and domestic activities. Regrettably, the underground water is not

* Corresponding author: Nicholas Chinedu Ewelike

Department of Microbiology, Federal University of Technology, P.M.B 1526, Owerri Nigeria.

Copyright © 2022 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article. This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Liscense 4.0.

treated before use and there is no effort to ascertain its safety. Microbiological assessment of water is considered very important because of acute risk to human health posed by microorganisms in drinking water. It is, therefore, a health-based activity which emphasizes the protection of public health through ensuring that the available source of water is of a good quality [6].

In view of the above fact, this study was conducted to assess the bacteriological quality of borehole water in some communities in Owerri West, Southeastern Nigeria. The information generated from this study will be useful in addressing possible health problems associated with the use of borehole water in the area.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Collection of sample

A total of 12 samples of borehole water were aseptically collected from four communities in Owerri West Local Government Area of Imo State in the southeastern part of Nigeria. Three borehole water samples were collected from each community and labelled BWA₁₂₃ to BWD₁₂₃ respectively. The water samples were transported to laboratory for bacteriological analysis within 3 hours of collection.

2.2. Bacteriological analysis of the borehole water samples

Bacteriological analysis of the water samples involved standard microbiological methods for determination of total heterotrophic bacterial count, total coliform count and total faecal coliform count. One milliliter (1 mL) each of the borehole water samples was pipette into 9 mL of sterile physiological saline and thoroughly mixed by swirling. The mixtures were diluted decimally until appropriate dilution was obtained. The spread plate method was used in inoculating aliquot portion (0.1 mL) of appropriate dilution into a sterilized nutrient agar medium. Plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 to 48 hours for heterotrophic bacteria and the colonies obtained after incubation were counted and expressed as colony forming units per milliliter of water (CFUmL⁻¹). The physiological characteristics of the colonies and their number on the culture plates were used as the basis for the counting, taking into consideration their dilution number. Counts were made from the plates containing 30-300 colonies. The isolates were ascetically sub-cultured into fresh nutrient agar after counting and incubated at a temperature of 37 °C for 24 hours to obtain pure cultures of the isolates.

2.3. Identification of the isolates

The isolates were identified based on their microscopic characteristics, motility, colony morphology, gram staining reactions and biochemical characteristics. Biochemical tests were carried out on the isolates. These included sugar fermentation, catalase activity, urease test, oxidase test, triple sugar ion agar test and indole test.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Heterotrophic bacterial counts, coliform counts and faecal coliform counts of the borehole water samples

Table 1 shows the total heterotrophic bacterial counts, total coliform counts and faecal coliform counts of the borehole water samples. The total heterotrophic bacterial counts of the water samples ranged from 1.1×10^2 to 8.7×10^4 CFUmL⁻¹ while the total faecal coliform and total coliform counts ranged from 1.3×10^2 to 5.8×10^4 CFUmL⁻¹ and 1.3×10^2 to 1.3×10^4 CFUmL⁻¹ respectively. The counts obtained from all the water samples exceed the World Health Organization permissive limit of 0 CFUmL⁻¹ [7], indicating that the borehole water is not safe for drinking.

The presence of faecal coliforms in the borehole water samples indicates that the water is polluted with faecal substances. This could be attributed to the proximity of most of the boreholes to septic tanks. Previous researchers have reported high faecal coliform counts in water samples from boreholes located close to pit latrines and septic tanks at a distance less than the 30 m recommended by the World Health Organization [8]. Reports of groundwater contamination by pathogenic microorganisms from pit latrines and septic tanks are documented in scientific literature [9]. Unhygienic and poor sanitary environment surrounding some of the boreholes also could be responsible for the contamination [10]. Apart from the faecal coliforms present in the water samples, the high coliform count recorded in this study also has been attributed to septic tank pollution in previous studies [11] and the danger in the construction of septic tank near boreholes and other water sources has been considered to be of public health importance [12].

Samples	Count (CFUmL ⁻¹)												
	Total heterotrophic bacteria	Total coliform	Total faecal coliform										
BWA ₁	8.4 x 10 ²	2.2 x 10 ²	4.8 x 10 ²										
BWA ₂	1.1 x 10 ²	1.3 x 10 ²	6.0 x 10 ²										
BWA ₃	4.0 x 10 ²	3.0 x 10 ²	1.6 x 10 ²										
BWB ₁	8.7 x 10 ⁴	8.0 x 10 ³	$4.7 \ge 10^4$										
BWB ₂	$3.0 \ge 10^4$	2.1 x 10 ³	$1.5 \ge 10^4$										
BWB ₃	$3.1 \ge 10^4$	9.0 x 10 ²	$2.0 \ge 10^4$										
BWC ₁	6.2 x 10 ²	$6.0 \ge 10^2$	3.9 x 10 ²										
BWC ₂	1.9 x 10 ²	9.2 x 10 ²	2.0 x 10 ²										
BWC ₃	1.1 x 10 ²	6.8 x 10 ²	$1.3 \ge 10^2$										
BWD ₁	3.6 x 10 ⁴	1.3 x 10 ⁴	$1.4 \ge 10^4$										
BWD ₂	1.2 x 10 ⁵	8.0 x 10 ³	5.8 x 104										
BWD ₃	$4.5 \ge 10^4$	4.6 x 10 ³	$1.4 \ge 10^4$										

Table 1 Total heterotrophic bacteria, coliform and faecal coliform counts of borehole water from some communities inOwerri West, Southeastern Nigeria

BWA₁ – BWA₃: Borehole water samples from Eziobodo, BWB₁ – BWB₃: borehole water samples from Ihiagwa, BWC₁ – BWC₃: borehole water samples from Obinze BWD₁ – BWD₃: borehole water samples from Umuchima.

3.2. Microbial Isolates from the borehole water

Table 2 Morphological and biochemical characterization of bacteria isolates from borehole water samples from somecommunities in Owerri West, Southeastern Nigeria

Isolate code	Colony morphology on nutrient agar	Microscopic characteristics	Sugar fermentation		Triple sugar iron agar				Gram staining	Motility	Ure	Oxidase	Citrate	Methyl red	Indole	Catalase	Most probable organism	
			Acid	Gas	H_2S	Gas	Glucose	Sucrose	Lactose	taining	ility	Urease	lase	ate	yl red	ole	lase	obable nism
М	White, raised, shinny	Long rod	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	<i>Shigella</i> sp
N	Creamy	Clustered Cocci	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	<i>Staphylococcus</i> sp
0	Entire, creamy, raised	Cocci	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Enterococcus faecalis
Р	Creamy, round, shinny	Rods	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	<i>Klebsiella</i> sp
Q	White, circular, shinny	Rods	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	Escherichia coli

-; negative, +; positive, H₂S; Hydrogen sulphide test

Results of the morphological and biochemical characterization of bacteria isolates from the borehole water samples are shown in table 2. The biochemical tests for identification of the isolates showed that the organisms were *Shigella* sp, *Enterococcus faecalis, Staphylococcus* sp, *Klebsiella* sp *and Escherichia coli.*

The presence of these organisms in the borehole water samples is of great concern because they are mostly pathogenic and could cause serious health problems [13-15]. The report of this present study is similar to the previous studies carried out by Iroha *et al.,* Agwu *et al.,* and Adekoyeni and Salako who showed the presence of these bacteria in borehole water samples in Abakaliki, Aba and Ogun state of Nigeria respectively [16-18].

4. Conclusion

Bacteriological assessment of borehole water samples from some communities in Owerri West, Southeastern Nigeria revealed that the borehole water do not meet the standard criteria for drinking and domestic purposes. The presence of pathogenic microorganisms in the water samples poses serious health risk to the communities. Hence, adequate measures should be taken to save the communities from dangers associated with the use of the contaminated water.

Compliance with ethical standards

Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to the technologists in the Department of Microbiology, Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Nigeria for their assistance.

Disclosure of conflict of interest

The authors declare that no competing interest exists.

References

- [1] Mack GW, Nadel ER. Body fluid balance during heat stress in human. In: Fregly MJ, Blatteis CM, Eds. Willey & Son's Comprehensive Physiology. NJ, USA. 2011; 187-214.
- [2] Offei-Ansah C. Food habits and preferences as a factor in the choice of meals by students in the University of Cape Coast. Nutrition and Health. 2012; 21(3): 151 -172.
- [3] Shryer D. Body fuel: a guide to good nutrition. 1st Edn. New York: Marshal Canvendish square publishing. 2008.
- [4] World Health Organization [Internet]. Safer water, better health; costs, benefits and sustainability of intervention to protect and promote health. Geneva, Switzerland. WHO; 2008.
- [5] Olalekan A, Abubakar B, Abdul-Munini K. Physico-chemical characteristics of borehole water quality in Gussot Taraba State, Nigeria. African Journal of Environmental Sciences and Technology. 2015; 9(2): 143-154.
- [6] Raji MIO, Ibrahim YKE. Prevalence of waterborne infection in Nigeria. Journal of Public Health and Epidemiology. 2011; 3(8): 385.
- [7] World Health Organization. Guidelines for drinking-water quality: recommendations. 3rd Edn. Geneva, Switzerland, WHO; 2006; p 515
- [8] Ibe SN, Okplenye JI. Bacteriological analysis of borehole water in Uli, Nigeria. African Journal of Applied Zoology and Environmental Biology. 2005; 7: 116-119.
- [9] Onda K, Lobuglio J, Bartram J. Global access to safe water: accounting for water quality and the resulting impact on MDG progress. International Journal of Environmental Research and public Health. 2012; 9: 880-894.
- [10] Bello OO, Osho A, Bankole SA, Belo TK. Bacteriological and physiochemical analysis of borehole and well water sources in Ijebu-Ode southeastern Nigeria. Int. J. Pharm Biol Sci. 2013; 8(2): 18-25.
- [11] Jeremiah KT, Jonathan AQ. Bacteriological contamination of groundwater in relation to septic tanks location in Ashanti Region, Ghana. Cogent Environmental Science. 2018; 4: 1.
- [12] Azuonwu O, Ihua N, Ohwondah G. Bacteriological risk assessment of borehole sources of drinking water in some part of Port Harcourt metropolis of Niger Delta, Nigeria. Biomedical Journal of scientific and technical research. 2020; 24(4): 18477-18487.

- [13] WHO. Guideline for drinking water quality. Surveillance and control of community supplies. 2009; 32(2): 34-36.
- [14] Teferi A. Assessment of knowledge and hygiene practices towards bacteriological quality of drinking water at Dobe Toga Kebele, Shebedino Woreda. Trop. Med. Int. Health. 2007; 11(9): 399-405.
- [15] Tadesse D, Desta A, Geyid A, Girma W, Fisseha S, Schmoll O. Rapid assessment of drinking water quality in the Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia. Pilot Project Implementation. 2010; 32(2): 23-45.
- [16] Iroha C, Iroha I, Nwakaeze E, Ajah M, Ejikeugwa C. Evaluation of metal content and bacteriological parameters of selected borehole water sources in Abakaliki Nigeria. International Journal of Waste Resources. 2018; 8: 338.
- [17] Agwu A, Avoaja AG, Kalu AU. The assessment of drinking water sources in Aba metropolis, Abia State, Nigeria. Res Environ. 2013; 3: 72-76.
- [18] Adekoye O, Salako S. Microbiological, physicochemical and mineral quality of borehole water in Ijebu land Ogun state, Nigeria. Int. J. Sci. Adv. Technol. 2012; 2: 23-30.