



(RESEARCH ARTICLE)



## Knowledge and utilization of HIV/AIDS preventive measures among intra-city commercial drivers in Kano State, Nigeria

MUHAMMAD LAWAN GANA <sup>1</sup>, USMAN SUNUSI USMAN <sup>1,2,\*</sup> and ALIYU MUHAMMAD MAIGORO <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Clinical Sciences, College of Medical Sciences, Yobe State University Damaturu, Nigeria.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Clinical Sciences, College of Medical Sciences, Federal University Dutse, Jigawa State, Nigeria.

GSC Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2024, 29(01), 143–154

Publication history: Received on 15 August 2024; revised on 05 October 2024; accepted on 08 October 2024

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/gscbps.2024.29.1.0352>

### Abstract

**Background:** Intracity commercial drivers play a vital role in transportation systems in many urban centers. However, their occupation puts them at risk for HIV infection due to various factors. Despite their increased vulnerability, intracity commercial drivers face significant challenges in accessing HIV prevention, testing, and treatment services.

**Method:** This was an observational community-based cross-sectional descriptive study conducted among intracity commercial drivers in Kano State, Nigeria through multistage sampling technique.

**Result:** the mean age and standard deviation of respondents was  $42.0 \pm 11.3$ . About two-third (67.7%) had good aggregate knowledge score and more than half (57.4%) of the study participant had good uptake and utilization of HIV/AIDS preventive services. After adjusting for the confounding effects using logistic regression analysis; educational status of secondary school (AOR=2.25, 95% CI=1.21–13.25) and post-secondary (AOR=4.10, 95% CI=2.22–17.88), monthly income of between N50,000.00 – N100,000.00 (AOR=2.02, 95% CI=1.74–19.33) and >N100,000.00 (AOR=4.01, 95% CI=3.11–27.33), working experience of 11 – 20 years (AOR=3.25, 95% CI= 1.16–22.56) and >20 years (AOR=6.05, 95% CI= 3.03–26.77) and good aggregate knowledge scores on HIV/AIDS preventive measures (AOR=4.02 (2.13 – 14.55), 95% CI=2.13–14.55) remained significant predictors of uptake/utilization of HIV/AIDS preventive measures.

**Conclusion:** All agencies responsible for HIV/AIDS control and their partners should take appropriate measures including various strategies to educate this occupational group on sexuality and reproductive health. Also, the establishment of STI clinics in strategic locations, as well as making condoms available, accessible, affordable, and acceptable for use by this group of people in our society, should all be part of the prevention strategy.

**Keywords:** HIV/AIDS; Intracity commercial drivers; Knowledge; Utilization/uptake; Kano

### 1. Introduction

Globally, migration and mobility of people have exacerbated HIV/AIDS epidemic, although its role in spreading HIV varies widely in the degree of documentation.<sup>1,2</sup> Labor migration has recently attracted attention as a contributor to the global HIV/AIDS epidemic.<sup>3</sup> Evidence from several different geographic regions indicates that migrant workers are at elevated risk of acquiring HIV/AIDS.<sup>3,4</sup> At the end of 2015, an estimated 36.7 million people [34.0 million–39.8 million] were living with HIV worldwide; up by about 20% from 2001 level. The number of people dying of AIDS-related causes fell to 1.1 million [940,000–1.3 million] in 2010, down from a peak of 2.2 million [2.1 million–2.5 million] in the mid-

\* Corresponding author: USMAN SUNUSI USMAN

2000s.<sup>5</sup> Much of that success has come in the past two years when rapid scale-up of access to treatment occurred; in 2015 alone, more than 1 million AIDS related deaths were averted.<sup>5</sup> Almost half of the deaths from AIDS-related illnesses in 2010 occurred in southern Africa. AIDS has claimed at least one million lives annually in sub-Saharan Africa since 1998.<sup>5</sup>

Though the HIV epidemic in Nigeria is generalized, the first Integrated Biological and Behavioural Surveillance Survey (IBBSS) conducted in 2007 provided robust evidences on the burden of HIV infection and how it affects some groups within the society.<sup>8</sup> In comparison to earlier national HIV prevalence estimates of 1.4% from the 2018 NAHS and UNAIDS estimation and projection package PLHIV estimation of 1.8 million in 2022, the estimated national HIV prevalence was 2.1% (95% CI: 1.5–2.7%) among adults aged 15–49 years in Nigeria, which translates to roughly 2 million people living with HIV.<sup>8</sup>

Transport workers are among those groups within the society that in general, share some common characteristics that are likely to put them at high risk of HIV infection. These include low level of general education and health knowledge, high use of alcohol, reasonably high level of disposable income and common attitude of fatalism.<sup>1, 9-11</sup>

Generally, despite good knowledge on HIV/AIDs preventive measures among general population, there is still low self-risk perception for HIV, low consistent condom use with non-paid partners and wives, low reported exposure to any interventions and low levels of ever having taken an HIV test make truckers an important bridge population requiring strengthened interventions.<sup>12-16</sup> Furthermore, the magnitude of the epidemic results not only in the loss of human life but enormous financial burden to health care system and loss of productivity to the country. It also created unparalleled social and medical challenge.<sup>17</sup> The high sero-prevalence of HIV/AIDs poses serious consequences to the health system and affects quality of life of those infected. Over the last two decades, the HIV epidemic in Nigeria has gone from affecting only a few populations with higher-risk behaviours ('concentrated' epidemic), to a 'generalized' epidemic in all states.<sup>7</sup>

Knowledge of HIV/AIDs transmission is essential for a person to make an informed decision about engaging in, or continuing certain behaviours that may increase or decrease risk of infection.<sup>6,8</sup> Estimating the level of knowledge of HIV/AIDs transmission among groups at risk is crucial in guiding public health programs, especially those directed towards reducing the transmission of the disease.<sup>1,2</sup> There is varying knowledge about HIV/AIDs among different high-risk groups. In a joint study done by National Action Committee on AIDS (NACA) and the Federal Ministry of Health, prevalence of HIV/AIDs in north western region of Nigeria rose from 3.2% in 1999 to 3.3% in 2001.<sup>6</sup> The level of knowledge on HIV/AIDs among general population was found to be 47% for males and 74% for female respectively.<sup>6</sup> In a study carried out in the metropolitan area of Benin City, Edo state, Nigeria amongst commercial cyclist; 92.2% claimed to be aware of HIV/AIDs, up to 7.1% believed that the disease can be cured while 83.5% know that HIV/AIDs is incurable. Forty percent rightly knew that the use of condom can prevent HIV/AIDs but 5.7% and 5.9% believed that HIV/AIDs can be prevented using drugs and native medications respectively.<sup>18</sup> Sexual intercourse was the predominant means of transmitting the diseases from an infected partners and only 7.6% were aware that the diseases can be contacted through blood transfusion.<sup>18</sup>

HIV Counseling and Testing (HCT) is an intervention, which gives the client an opportunity to confidentially discuss his or her HIV risks and be assisted to learn his/her HIV status for purposes of prevention, treatment, care and support.<sup>19-22</sup> HIV counselling and testing plays a critical role in HIV prevention as it promotes early diagnosis of HIV infection as well as timely therapeutic or prophylactic interventions.<sup>22-23</sup> HIV testing and counselling also promotes risk reduction in various populations, leading to behaviour change and reduced transmission.<sup>24</sup> Moreover, HCT has been found to be the most important approach towards control of HIV/AIDs as it is the entry point to the management and prevention of HIV and AIDS-related diseases.<sup>25-26</sup> HIV counselling and testing have greater impact on risk behaviour. A rapid assessment study was conducted by FHI 360 in Uganda using qualitative design in order to assess the uptake of HCT among Truck drivers and their clients; the uptake was found to be very low and mistrust for providers, implication of knowing one's status and little concern about HIV was attributed to low uptake of HCT.<sup>27</sup> In a study in Northern-Uganda among post-conflict youth, only 36.1% of respondents had undertaken HCT while the rest had not undertaken it due to fear of stigmatization.<sup>28</sup> While in Tanzania, findings show that coverage of HCT was high; however long waiting time and lack of confidentiality impeded its accessibility and utilization for many people.<sup>29</sup> However, most HIV preventions put more emphasis on information, education, peer education and behaviour change communication, and condom distribution and less focus on HCT services.<sup>9</sup> HIV Counselling and Testing (HCT) helps to identify individuals infected with HIV at an early stage with the aim of providing them with care and treatment services.<sup>9,19,21</sup> Therefore, this study aims to assess the knowledge and uptake/utilization of HIV/AIDs preventive measures among intracity commercial drivers in Kano State, Nigeria.

## 2. Material and methods

### 2.1. Study Area

The study was conducted in the Kano Central Senatorial Local Government Areas (LGAs) of Kano State. Kano State is a state located in North-Western Nigeria. Created on May 27, 1967 from part of the Northern Region, Kano state borders Katsina State to the north-west, Jigawa State to the north-east, Bauchi State to the south-east and Kaduna State to the south-west. The capital of Kano State is Kano. The state has an estimated population of over fourteen million people as at 2014. The Kano Central Senatorial Districts comprises of fifteen LGAs (Dala, Gwale, Tarauni, Kano Municipal, Fagge, Nassarawa, Ungogo, Kumbotso, Gezawa, Madobi, Kura, Garun Mallam, Warawa, Gezawa, Dawakin Kudu). They have an estimated population of over eight million people.

The Intra-city drivers utilize different minor and major routes in the Kano Central Senatorial District. There are no established brothels around the motor parks due to activities of Hisbah Board established by Kano State Government. Commercial drivers also utilize health facilities and services available in the district like the way general population do. None of the motor parks have any established health facility inside the park. There are about seventy-four joints for Intra-city drivers distributed in the Kano Central senatorial District and Eighteen motor parks for LDDs. The motor parks for LDDs are: Rijiyar Zaki, Naibawa, Unguwa Uku, Kano Line, Dawanau, Rijiyar Lemo, Kofar Ruwa, Shahuci, Dakata, Yankaba, Kwanar Dawaki, Janbulo, Sabon Titi, New Road Sabon Gari, Ladin Makole, Yan Rake Kofar Kansakali, and Mallam Kato Square.

### 2.2. Study Design

This was a community-based cross-sectional descriptive study.

### 2.3. Study Population

This comprised of all the eligible commercial drivers within the study area, who were selected and agreed to participate

#### 2.3.1. Inclusion Criteria

- Involve in commercial driving for at least one year
- Participants who are aged 20 to 69 years

#### 2.3.2. Exclusion Criteria

- Failure to give consent for the study
- Commercial drivers who have change either from long distance to intra-city or vice versa.

### 2.4. Sample Size Determination

The minimum sample size for the study was estimated using an appropriate formula for calculating sample size for cross-sectional studies [16] i.e

$$n = Z^2 pq / d^2$$

Where n = minimum sample size required

$Z_{\alpha}$  = Reliability coefficient at 95% confidence level i.e the standard normal deviate corresponding to 5% level of significance =1.96;

$p_1$ = 78% or 0.78 proportion of those drivers with good knowledge from previous studies; [16]

$q_1$ = the complementary probability to  $p_1$  i.e 1-0.78, which equaled 0.22;

$d^2$ =precision or margin of error, that is assumed to be 5%=0.05

By substituting the values obtained into the formula,

$$n = 1.96^2 \times 0.78 \times 0.22 / 0.05^2$$

$$n=259.65123$$

$$n=259.65 \times 1.7 \text{ (Design Effect)}$$

n = 441.407

n=442

The total sample size used was approximately four hundred and forty two to cover for design effect of sampling

## 2.5. Sampling Techniques

A multistage sampling technique was used as follows:

- Stage I: Simple random sampling was used to select four (Gezawa, Ungogo, Tarauni and Dala) LGAs from the list of fifteen LGAs in Kano Central Senatorial District.
- Stage II: A list of all the intra-city motor parks/joint who had met the inclusion criteria was obtained. There were seventy-four intra-city motor park/joint. Twenty intra-city motor park/joints were selected using simple random sampling by balloting.
- Stage III: Selection of the eligible study participants was done by simple random sampling using balloting from the selected motor parks/joints of intra-city commercial drivers.

## 2.6. Variables

### 2.6.1. Dependent Variable

Knowledge and utilization/uptake of HIV/AIDs preventive measures

### 2.6.2. Independent Variables

Socio-demographics characteristics such as age, marital status and type of family setting (monogamous versus polygamous), monthly income, educational status, religion.

## 2.7. Data Collection

A semi-structured interviewer administered questionnaire, consisting of 3 sections (A to C) was used for data collection in the study. Section A of the questionnaire sought information on respondents' bio-data, and Section B sought information on risk factors of HIV/AIDs among commercial drivers and Section C was used to record the HIV status results for the participants.

Data was summarized and presented using tables and summary indices. The relationships sociodemographic/economic characteristics and knowledge/uptake/utilization of HIV/AIDs preventive measures were evaluated using bivariate and multivariate analyses. A p-value of <0.05 is considered as significant. A logistic regression analysis performed to determine predictors of knowledge and uptake/utilization of HIV/AIDs preventive measures among study participants.

## 2.8. Ethical Considerations

- Ethical approval was obtained from Kano state Ministry of Health Ethical committee that the study will be beneficial and will not cause any harmful effect;
- An informed consent from respondents was obtained and assurance given;
- For justice, the participants were given the right to voluntarily accept or reject to participate and also to skip any distressing questions if they wish.

### Limitations

- Participation was entirely voluntarily; this means that, those who were highly susceptible for HIV/AIDs were less likely to participate making the findings (outcomes) prone to selection bias.
- Translation of the questionnaire in to local language could lead to interviewer bias

---

## 3. Results and discussion

A total of four hundred and forty-two (442) questionnaires were administered out of which four hundred and eighteen (418) were fully analyzed; giving a response rate of 94.5%. The mean age and standard deviation of respondents was  $42.0 \pm 11.3$ . the age group of 30 -39 years constitute about one third (32.8%) of the study participants, with Hausa tribes predominates others. With nearly have (47.6%) having secondary level of education and above. About half (50.2%) of

the study participants were married with about three quarter (73.2%) of them in a polygamous setting. About two third (65.1%) of the study participants had monthly income of more than thirty thousand Naira with more than three quarter of them having experience of less than ten years as indicated in Table 1.

About three-quarter of the study participants had good aggregate knowledge score on HIV/AIDS score among the study participants (Table 2). Only more than half (57.4%) of the study participant had good uptake and utilization of HIV/AIDS preventive services, although about two-third of the study participants had good knowledge on HIV/AIDS preventive measures as indicated in Table 2 and 3.

There was a statistically significant association between aggregate knowledge score HIV/AIDS preventive measures and educational status ( $P<0.05$ ), marital status ( $P<0.05$ ), family setting (monogamy versus polygamy  $P<0.05$ ), monthly income ( $P<0.05$ ), and working experience ( $P<0.05$ ) among intracity commercial drivers as outlined in table 4 below. The more you are educated, the more likely you have good aggregate score on HIV/AIDS preventive measures. As income increases and the working experiences the likelihood of having good aggregate knowledge scores increases as outlined in Table 4.

There was a statistically significant association between aggregate knowledge score HIV/AIDS preventive measures and age ( $P<0.05$ ), marital status ( $P<0.05$ ), and monthly income ( $P<0.05$ ) among intracity commercial drivers as outlined in table 4 below. The older you are, the more likely you have good aggregate score on uptake/utilization of HIV/AIDS preventive measures. As income increases, the likelihood of having good aggregate score on uptake/utilization of HIV/AIDS preventive measures as outlined in Table 5. Also, there was a statistically significant relationship between aggregate knowledge score on HIV/AIDS preventive measures and aggregate scores on uptake/utilization of HIV/AIDS preventive measures among the study participants as indicated in Table 6.

After adjusting for the confounding effects using logistic regression analysis; educational status of secondary school (AOR=2.25, 95% CI=1.21-13.25) and post-secondary (AOR=4.10, 95%CI=2.22-17.88), monthly income of between N50,000.00 – N100,000.00 (AOR=2.02, 95%CI=1.74-19.33) and >N100,000.00 (AOR=4.01, 95%CI=3.11-27.33), working experience of 11 – 20 years (AOR=3.25, 95%CI= 1.16-22.56) and >20 years (AOR=6.05, 95%CI= 3.03-26.77) and good aggregate knowledge scores on HIV/AIDS preventive measures (AOR=4.02 (2.13 – 14.55), 95%CI=2.13-14.55) remained significant predictors of uptake/utilization of HIV/AIDS preventive measures as shown in Table 7.

More than 90% of the study participants had knowledge of HIV/AIDS and its existence in Nigeria. These were similar to findings by Idriss et al in Kaduna and Awosan et al in Sokoto.<sup>16,30</sup> About three quarter knew the correct method of HIV transmission. More than fifty percent of the study participants knew that HIV is preventable as reported in many other studies.<sup>9,11-13</sup> Consequently, only about half of the study participants had knowledge of HCT. In terms of aggregate knowledge score of HIV/AIDS preventive measures about two third of the study participants have good aggregate knowledge score. This good aggregate knowledge score might be due to the fact that intracity commercial drivers were more available or conduct their activities within destinations that can easily be reached for HIV/AIDS preventive measures campaign.

Majority of the study participants think that it was a good practice to prevent HIV/AIDS and also they believed that it will be a good practice to screen all those with higher risk of acquiring the infection; screening of all those at high or increase risk of HIV/AIDS will help in decreasing transmission of the infection and subsequently both the incidence and prevalence of the infection.<sup>31-32</sup> Furthermore, less than half of the study participant have undergone HIV counselling prior to this study; with regards to partners participation on HIV counselling, only about one third have participated prior to this study. This means that partner participation in HIV counselling was poor. Transport workers in general, among those with high risky sexual behaviours are least likely to be screened for HIV. While, participation in HCT among the study participants was found to be much higher than the values of IBBSS 2010.<sup>7</sup> This difference may be due to the fact that, about half (50%) of the study participants have good level of formal education i.e either secondary school certificate or higher. Low uptake of HCT among commercial drivers in this study could be attributed to low-risk perception as found out in other studies.<sup>21,30</sup>

**Table 1** Socio-demographic/economic characteristics of the study participants

| Variables               | Frequency (n) | Percentage (%) |
|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Age (years)             |               |                |
| 20 – 29                 | 62            | 14.8           |
| 30 – 39                 | 137           | 32.8           |
| 40 – 49                 | 109           | 26.1           |
| 50 – 59                 | 62            | 14.8           |
| 60 – 69                 | 48            | 11.5           |
| Mean ± SD               | 42.0 ± 11.3   |                |
| Ethnic Group            |               |                |
| Hausa                   | 383           | 91.6           |
| Others                  | 35            | 8.4            |
| Educational Status      |               |                |
| Non-formal              | 76            | 18.2           |
| Primary                 | 143           | 34.2           |
| Secondary               | 154           | 36.8           |
| Post-secondary          | 45            | 10.8           |
| Marital Status          |               |                |
| Single                  | 164           | 39.2           |
| Married                 | 210           | 50.2           |
| Separated               | 30            | 7.2            |
| Divorced                | 14            | 3.3            |
| No of wives             |               |                |
| 1                       | 112           | 26.8           |
| >1                      | 306           | 73.2           |
| Monthly Income          |               |                |
| <N30,000.00             | 146           | 34.9           |
| N30,001.00 – 50,000.00  | 193           | 46.2           |
| N50,001.00 – 100,000.00 | 51            | 12.2           |
| >100,000.00             | 18            | 4.3            |
| Working Experience      |               |                |
| <5 years                | 163           | 40.0           |
| 5 – 10 years            | 162           | 38.8           |
| 11 – 20 years           | 61            | 14.6           |
| >20 years               | 32            | 7.6            |

**Table 2** Aggregate knowledge score of HIV/AIDs among study participants

| Knowledge of HIV/AIDs | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|-----------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Good                  | 283       | 67.7           |
| poor                  | 135       | 32.3           |
| Total                 | 418       | 100.0          |

**Table 3** Aggregate scores on uptake and utilization of HIV/AIDs preventive measures among study participants

| Uptake and utilization | Frequency (n) | Percentage (%) |
|------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Good                   | 178           | 42.6           |
| poor                   | 240           | 57.4           |
| Total                  | 418           | 100.0          |

**Table 4** Relationship between Socio-demographic/Economic variables and aggregate knowledge score of HIV/AIDs among the study participants

| Variables          | Aggregate knowledge score |      | X <sup>2</sup> | p-Value   |
|--------------------|---------------------------|------|----------------|-----------|
|                    | Good                      | Poor |                |           |
| Age (years)        |                           |      |                |           |
| 20 – 29            | 49                        | 13   | 5.1782         | 0.2695    |
| 30 – 39            | 94                        | 43   |                |           |
| 40 – 49            | 70                        | 39   |                |           |
| 50 – 59            | 40                        | 22   |                |           |
| 60 - 69            | 30                        | 18   |                |           |
| Ethnic Group       |                           |      |                |           |
| Hausa              | 258                       | 125  | 0.2424         | 0.6225    |
| Others             | 25                        | 10   |                |           |
| Educational Status |                           |      |                |           |
| Non-formal         | 38                        | 38   | 20.5614        | 0.00013*  |
| Primary            | 100                       | 43   |                |           |
| Secondary          | 121                       | 33   |                |           |
| Post-secondary     | 34                        | 11   |                |           |
| Marital Status     |                           |      |                |           |
| Single             | 120                       | 52   | 33.2321        | <0.00001* |
| Married            | 150                       | 60   |                |           |
| Divorced           | 8                         | 22   |                |           |
| Separated          | 5                         | 9    |                |           |
| No of wives        |                           |      |                |           |

|                         |     |    |         |           |
|-------------------------|-----|----|---------|-----------|
| 1                       | 43  | 69 | 60.1107 | <0.00001* |
| >1                      | 240 | 66 |         |           |
| Monthly Income          |     |    |         |           |
| <N30,000.00             | 110 | 36 | 29.9456 | <0.00001* |
| N30,001.00 – 50,000.00  | 144 | 49 |         |           |
| N50,001.00 – 100,000.00 | 20  | 31 |         |           |
| >100,000.00             | 9   | 9  |         |           |
| Working Experience      |     |    |         |           |
| <5 years                | 109 | 54 | 33.14   | <0.00001* |
| 5 – 10 years            | 101 | 61 |         |           |
| 11 – 20 years           | 45  | 16 |         |           |
| >20 years               | 28  | 4  |         |           |

\*Statistically significant difference

**Table 5** Relationship between Socio-demographic/Economic variables and aggregate scores on uptake/utilization of HIV/AIDs preventive measures among the study participants

| Variables          | Aggregate uptake score |      | $\chi^2$ | p-Value   |
|--------------------|------------------------|------|----------|-----------|
|                    | Good                   | Poor |          |           |
| Age (years)        |                        |      |          |           |
| 20 – 29            | 31                     | 31   | 18.0007  | <0.005*   |
| 30 – 39            | 67                     | 60   |          |           |
| 40 – 49            | 64                     | 45   |          |           |
| 50 – 59            | 42                     | 20   |          |           |
| 60 - 69            | 14                     | 34   |          |           |
| Ethnic Group       |                        |      |          |           |
| Hausa              | 258                    | 125  | 1.2222   | 0.2689    |
| Others             | 25                     | 10   |          |           |
| Educational Status |                        |      |          |           |
| Non-formal         | 21                     | 55   | 46.7136  | <0.00001* |
| Primary            | 39                     | 104  |          |           |
| Secondary          | 86                     | 68   |          |           |
| Post-secondary     | 32                     | 13   |          |           |
| Marital Status     |                        |      |          |           |
| Single             | 60                     | 104  | 10.3994  | <0.015*   |
| Married            | 90                     | 120  |          |           |
| Divorced           | 19                     | 11   |          |           |
| Separated          | 9                      | 5    |          |           |
| No of wives        |                        |      |          |           |
| 1                  | 41                     | 71   | 2.2351   | 0.1349    |



|                         |     |     |         |         |
|-------------------------|-----|-----|---------|---------|
| >1                      | 137 | 169 |         |         |
| Monthly Income          |     |     |         |         |
| <N30,000.00             | 110 | 36  | 10.6595 | <0.0137 |
| N30,001.00 – 50,000.00  | 144 | 49  |         |         |
| N50,001.00 – 100,000.00 | 20  | 31  |         |         |
| >100,000.00             | 9   | 9   |         |         |
| Working Experience      |     |     |         |         |
| <5 years                | 70  | 93  | 4.9067  | 0.1788  |
| 5 – 10 years            | 77  | 85  |         |         |
| 11 – 20 years           | 20  | 41  |         |         |
| >20 years               | 11  | 22  |         |         |

\*Statistically significant difference

**Table 6** Bivariate analysis between aggregate knowledge scores and uptake/utilization of HIV/AIDS preventive measures among the study participant

| Uptake and utilization | Good | Poor | Total |
|------------------------|------|------|-------|
| Good                   | 136  | 147  | 283   |
| poor                   | 42   | 93   | 135   |
| Total                  | 178  | 240  | 418   |

McNemar's  $\chi^2 = 58.33$ ,  $df=1$   $P = 2.2093 \times 10^{-14}$

**Table 7** Multivariate (Logistic Regression) Analysis on predictors of good uptake/utilization of HIV/AIDS preventive measures among study participants

| Predictor(s)       | Crude OR (95% CI)   | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | p-value |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Age                |                     |                      |         |
| 20 – 29            | Referent            |                      |         |
| 30 – 39            | 1.25 (0.88 – 6.23)  | 0.87 (0.75 – 11.45)  | 0.1137  |
| 40 – 49            | 1.88 (0.72 – 23.22) | 1.95 (0.78 – 19.22)  | 0.6438  |
| 50 – 59            | 4.13 (0.95 – 16.22) | 4.51 (0.97 – 31.33)  | 0.4573  |
| 60 - 69            | 2.75 (1.33 – 18.65) | 3.03 (0.78 – 45.34)  | 0.9114  |
| Educational status |                     |                      |         |
| Non-formal         | Referent            |                      |         |
| Primary            | 1.75 (0.84 – 3.64)  | 1.61 (0.90 – 2.87)   | 0.2359  |
| Secondary          | 0.79 (0.83 – 8.22)  | 2.25 (1.21 – 13.25)  | 0.0001* |
| Post-Secondary     | 2.12 (1.15 - 13.56) | 4.10 (2.22 – 17.88)  | 0.0001* |
| Marital status     |                     |                      |         |
| Single             | Referent            |                      |         |
| Married            | 2.77 (0.88 – 11.13) | 2.50 (0.83 – 14.66)  | 0.0933  |
| Divorced           | 3.00 (0.67 – 10.11) | 3.44 (0.75 – 16.88)  | 0.3466  |

|   |                      |                     |          |
|---|----------------------|---------------------|----------|
| Separated   | 5.53 (3.57 – 13.47)  | 3.0 (1.26 – 16.33)  | 0.9344   |
| Monthly income  |                      |                     |          |
| <N30,000.00   | Referent             |                     |          |
| N30,001.00 – 50,000.00                                    | 0.85 (0.77 – 11.44)  | 1.25 (0.95 – 11.41) | 0.3455   |
| N50,001.00 – 100,000.00                                   | 2.25 (0.54 – 21.50)  | 2.02 (1.74 – 19.33) | <0.005   |
| >100,000.00   | 4.77 (1.97 – 22.79)  | 4.01 (3.11 – 27.33) | <0.005   |
| Working - experience                                      |                      |                     |          |
| <5 years  | Referent             |                     |          |
| 5 – 10 years  | 2.55 (0.77 – 13.33)  | 1.99 (0.85 – 14.45) | 0.9921   |
| 11 – 20 years   | 3.75 (1.83 – 21.99)  | 3.25 (1.16 – 22.56) | <0.005   |
| >20 years   | 6.76 (3.25 – 24.133) | 6.05 (3.03 – 26.77) | <0.005   |
| Aggregate knowledge score on HIV/AIDs preventive measures |                      |                     |          |
| Poor  | Referent             | 4.02 (2.13 – 14.55) | 0.00003* |
| Good  | 2.75 (2.11 – 17.22)  |                     |          |

\*Statistically significant difference

#### 4. Conclusion

From this study we can concluded that, the level of knowledge of HIV/AIDs infection among the study participants was found to be good, while the uptake and utilization of HIV/AIDs preventive measures was poor. Predictors of knowledge and utilization of HIV/AIDS preventive measures were educational status of secondary school and above, monthly income, working experience of more than ten years and good aggregate knowledge score of HIV/AIDS preventive measures. It was recommended that, all agencies responsible for HIV/AIDs control and their partners should take appropriate measures including various strategies to educate this occupational group on sexuality and reproductive health. Also, the establishment of STI clinics in strategic locations, as well as making condoms available, accessible, affordable, and acceptable for use by this group of people in our society, should all be part of the prevention strategy.

#### Compliance with ethical standards

##### *Acknowledgments*

We acknowledge all the research assistants that participate actively in conducting this research work.

##### *Disclosure of conflict of interest*

The authors have declared no conflict of interest

##### *Statement of informed consent*

Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

#### References

- [1] Shannon C, Dale S, Sarah V, Nick K, James V.H, Steven A.J. Sexual and drug use risk behaviour of long-haul truck drivers and their commercial sex contacts in New Mexico. *Public Health Reports*. 2010; 125: 52 – 53.
- [2] Oyedunni S.A, Oladimeji O, Musibau A.T. Perception of self-vulnerability to HIV infection among long distance truck drivers in Ibadan, Nigeria. *J. Basic Appl. Sci. Res*. 2011; 1(10): 1380-1385.
- [3] Lurie MN. Migration, sexuality and the spread of HIV/AIDs in rural South Africa. Cape Town, South Africa.: South African Migration Project. 2004. Page 3 – 6.

- [4] Coffee M, Lurie MN, Garnett GP. Modeling the impact of migration on HIV epidemic in South Africa. *AIDS*. 2007; 21:343-50.
- [5] Michel S. Report on the global AIDS epidemic. UNAIDS. 2013. Page 14 – 16
- [6] Federal Ministry of Health: HIV/Syphilis Sero-Prevalence Survey in Nigeria. 2009. Page 1 – 2.
- [7] Onovo AA, Adeyemi A, Onime D, Kalnoky M, Kagniniwa B, Dessie M, Lee L, Parrish D, Adebobola B, Ashefor G, Ogorry O, Goldstein R, Meri H. Estimation of HIV prevalence and burden in Nigeria: a Bayesian predictive modelling study. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2023 Jul 20; 62:102098. doi: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2023.102098. PMID: 37538543; PMCID: PMC10393599.
- [8] Federal Ministry of Health (FMOH). Nigeria Integrated Biological and Behavioral Surveillance Survey 2010. Page 1 – 3.
- [9] Sunmola A.M. Sexual practices, barriers to condom use and its consistent use among long distance truck drivers in Nigeria. *AIDS Care*. 2005;17(2):208-21
- [10] Vuylsteke BL, Ghys PD, Traore M, Konan Y, Mah-Bi G, Maurice C, et al. HIV prevalence and risk behavior among clients of female sex workers in Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire. *AIDS*. 2003;17(11):1691–4
- [11] Lawoyin T.O. Condom use with sex workers and abstinence behaviour among men in Nigeria. *J R Soc Health*. 2004; 124(5):230–233.
- [12] Azuonwu O, Erhabor O, Frank-Peterside N: HIV infection in long-distance truck drivers in a low income setting in the Niger Delta of Nigeria. *J Community Health*. 2011; 36(4):583-7.
- [13] Ekanem E.E, Afolabi B.M., Nuga A.O., Adebajo S.B. Sexual behavior, HIV-related knowledge and condom use by intra city commercial bus drivers and motor park attendants in Lagos, Nigeria. *Afri J Reprod Health* 2005;9(1):78-87
- [14] Sunday, O., Olusola, O., James, B, Abiodun, O. Knowledge, attitude and risky sexual behaviour on HIV/AIDS amongst commercial motorcyclists in Osogbo, Osun State, South Western Nigeria. *Int. J. Med. Sci. Public Health*. 2013; 2: 104.
- [15] Chaturvedi S, Singh Z, Banerjee A, Khera A. Sexual behaviour among long distance truck drivers. *Indian J Community Med*. 2006; 31:153-6.
- [16] Idris SH, Sambo MN, Obi P. Comportment of heavy goods vehicle drivers in HIV spread along settlements around Kaduna: Kano Road transport corridor in Nigeria. *Int J Med Public Health*. 2013; 3:26-32.
- [17] Salam A, Janakar BP, Bhayya S. HIV/AIDS awareness among transport workers of Bijapur: Karnataka India. *India J Sex Transm Dis*. 2001; 22:10-3.
- [18] Adeoye S. Sexual behaviour, Perception of HIV/AIDS and condom use among commercial motorcyclist in Benin City. *Niger Post grad Med J*.2005; 12(4):262- 265.
- [19] Yahaya LA, Jimoh AA, Balogun OR. Factors Hindering Acceptance of HIV/AIDS Voluntary Counseling and Testing (VCT) among Youth in Kwara State, Nigeria. *Afr. J. Reprod. Health* 2010; 14(3): 159-164
- [20] Ndumiso T, Keith M, Geoffrey S, Lucy C, Bismark S, Dina B et al. Potential barriers to rapid testing for human immunodeficiency virus among a commuter population in Johannesburg, South Africa. *HIV/AIDS – Research and Palliative Care*. 2015;7: 1 -15.
- [21] Hassan ZI, Afolaranmi TO, Tagurum YO, Bello DA, Jonathan C. Daboar JC, Miner CA, Zoakah AI. Effect of health education on the uptake of HIV counseling and testing among long distance drivers in Jos North Local Government Areas of Plateau State. *J Med Trop* 2014; 16:97-103.
- [22] Ite N, Zhang J, Yao J, Tian X, Zhao G, Jang Q. Knowledge, attitude and practices of voluntary HIV counseling and testing among rural migrants in Shangai, China. *AIDS Educ. Prev*. 2009; 21:570-581
- [23] Aniebue PN, Aniebue UU. Voluntary Counseling and Willingness to Screen among Nigerian Long Distance Truck Drivers. *Niger Med J*. 2011; 52(1):49-54.
- [24] Uzochuckwu B, Uguru N, Ezeoke U, Onwujekwe O, Sibeudu T. Voluntary counseling and testing for HIV/AIDS: a study of the knowledge, awareness and willingness to pay for VCT among students of tertiary institutions in Enugu State, Nigeria. *Health Policy*. 2011; 99:277-284.

- [25] Maman S, Mbwanbo J, Hogan NM, Kilonzo GP, Sweat M. Women's barriers to HIV-testing and disclosure: challenges for HIV 1 voluntary counseling and testing. *AIDS Care*. 2001; 13 (5) 595-603.
- [26] Mabuto T, Latka MH, Kuwane B, Churchyard GJ, Charalambous S, et al. Four Models of HIV Counseling and Testing: Utilization and Test Results in South Africa. *PLoS ONE*. 2014; 9(7): 1-6.
- [27] Contextual barriers, motivations and coping strategies in the uptake of HCT and condom among truckers and female sex workers in Busia District: Findings of a rapid qualitative assessment. *FHI 360*. 2015. Page 5 – 7.
- [28] Kitara LD, Amone C, Okello C. Knowledge and misconceptions about HIV counseling and testing (HCT) among the post-conflict youths of Gulu, Northern Uganda. A prospective study design. *Pan African Medical Journal*. 2012; 12:31
- [29] Meremo A, Mboya B, Ngilangwa DB, Dulle R, Tarimo E3, Urassa D et al. Barriers to accessibility and utilization of HIV testing and counseling services in Tanzania: experience from Angaza Zaidi programme. *Pan African Medical Journal*. 2016; 23:189
- [30] Awosan K.J, Ibrahim M.T.O, Arisegi S.A. Erhiano E.E. Knowledge of HIV/AIDs, risk perception, sexual lifestyle and condom use among drivers in Sokoto, Nigeria. *Journal of Infectious Diseases and Immunity*. 2014;6(3): 19-25