



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



Mechanical properties of textile sutures for orthopaedic surgery

T Kanimozhi ^{1,*}, KM Pachiyappan ¹, E Kalaiselvi ¹, V Mahalakshmi ¹ and N Gokarneshan ²

¹ Department of Costume Design and Fashion PSG College of arts and science Coimbatore, India.

² Department of Costume Design and Fashion, Dr. SMS Rajalakshmi College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore, India.

GSC Advanced Research and Reviews, 2021, 07(03), 072–074

Publication history: Received on 15 June 2021; revised on 16 June 2021; accepted on 17 June 2021

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/gscarr.2021.7.3.0121>

Abstract

The surgical sutures commonly used in surgeries have been assessed by characterizing their behavior through tensile tests and determining which one has greater mechanical strength. Tensile tests of two different sutures have been performed. Tests have been performed under controlled conditions. The findings have been highlighted in this article.

Keywords: Suture; Surgery; Biomechanical; Anatomical; Mechanical tests

1. Introduction

The main objectives of orthopedic surgeries are total biomechanical and anatomical restoration [1, 2]. As such, there is a great variety of materials and methods for the treatment of dislocations and fractures, such as plates, screws, intramedullary nails, and pins, among others. Similarly, there are also several types and models of surgical materials for suturing and ligament repair. The literature recommends a variety of procedures to treat acromioclavicular joint injuries, including pin attachment through the acromioclavicular joint, coracoacromial ligament transfer using the Weaver-Dunn technique, fixation between the clavicle and the coracoid process, plaques, muscle transfer, and coracoclavicular binding with large-diameter non absorbable suture [3].

2. Mechanical properties

The suture materials that maintain the surgical reduction of the acromioclavicular joint, for instance, in the coracoclavicular binding technique, need to withstand the tensile forces to which these ligaments are normally subjected to keep joint stability; this is especially true for the coracoclavicular ligaments, which are responsible for the vertical stability of the joint. In addition, the ideal suture material must be easy to handle, allowing effortless knots with minimal discomfort to the fingers of the surgeon, and presenting good fastening capacity [4]. The tensile strength aspect led us to study the properties of the various suture materials to define those most suited for orthopaedic surgeries.

It has been focused to evaluate the properties of the suture materials normally used in orthopaedic surgeries and to characterize their behavior through tensile tests to determine those with the greatest mechanical resistance. Suture materials are used in orthopedic surgeries to close wounds, repair facial muscles, tendons, ligaments and joint capsules, and to cerclage or perform tension bands in certain fractures. The quality of the tissue repair depends on multiple variables, including characteristics of the tissue, properties of the suture material, and the surgical technique used.

The choice of the suture material has important implications in tissue repair, so adverse surgical outcomes can be avoided by selecting the suture material according to the diagnostic Indication [5]. Traditionally, braided and non-absorbable polyester sutures were used in surgery because they were more resistant and less likely to slip than

* Corresponding author: T.Kanimozhi

Department of Costume Design and Fashion, PSG College of arts and science Coimbatore, India..

absorbable polydioxanone (PDS) monofilament sutures. However, factors such as frequent suture uptake and reduced resistance led to the development of braided and nonabsorbable mixed sutures, consisting of polyethylene, polyester and PDS. Thus, several suture materials with these characteristics have emerged, such as FiberWire® and HiFi®, and their use became common in orthopedics. To maintain the surgical reduction of the acromioclavicular joint, for instance, as in the coracoclavicular binding technique, the sutures must withstand the tensile forces to which joint stability-involved ligaments are subjected; this is especially true for the coracoclavicular ligaments, responsible for vertical stability [6]. The literature shows that the intact coracoclavicular ligament supports a maximum traction force of 500 ± 134 N, a stiffness of 103 ± 30 N/mm, and an elongation at rupture of 7.7 ± 1.9 mm, with no significant difference between the contribution of the trapezoid and conoid ligaments in this configuration. The isolated conoid ligament presented a maximum strength of 394 ± 170 N, a stiffness of 105 ± 45 N/mm, and an elongation of 7.1 ± 2.1 mm, whereas the isolated trapezoid ligament presented a maximum strength of 440 ± 118 N, a stiffness of 84 ± 18 N/mm, and an elongation of 9.2 ± 2.6 mm [7].

A study by Wüst et al. aimed to compare the mechanical properties of the mixed braided nonabsorbable sutures FiberWire®, Hi-Fi®, Orthocord (DePuy Synthes, Rayham, MA, USA),® and Ultrabraid (Smith & Nephew, London, UK)® with conventional braided polyester suture, Ethibond®, and the PDS absorbable monofilament sutures, PDS II and Ethicon®; all of the sutures were #2. The initial hypothesis was that the polyester sutures are superior to the conventional braided polyester and degradable monofilament sutures regarding tensile strength and maximum elongation, but require more configurations of stable knots. The tests were performed at 60mm/minute and at room temperature.

Compared with the results obtained by Wüst et al, our work confirmed that mixed sutures had advantageous mechanical properties. However, mixed sutures have significant differences in their properties. All of the mixed sutures tested without knots were 2 to 2.5 times more resistant than the polyester and PDS sutures. The tensile strength tests of non-knotted sutures showed that FiberWire® had the highest maximum rupture load value, 263 N, whereas Ethibond® had a maximum force of 110 N. These values are in line with those obtained in the present study: 240.17 N and 97.98 N for FiberWire® #2 and Ethibond® #2, respectively [8]. Wright et al⁹ studied the behavior of non-damaged and damaged sutures in tensile tests, as well as the wear of sutures passed through anchors. The tensile force was applied at 90° and at 180° while the suture was drawn through the anchor hole. A total of 20 samples, 10 damaged and 10 intact, of each #2 suture from different materials were tested: PDS, Ethibond®, Tevdek (Teleflex Medical OEM, Gurnee, IL, USA)®, Orthocord® and FiberWire®, always in a dry environment at roomtemperature. From the tensile tests for the rupture of the suture, the modulus of elasticity and tensile strength were determined. The tensile test until rupture was performed in a Bionix MTS 858 test machine at a speed of 60 mm/minute.

Among the non-damaged sutures, FiberWire® had the highest values of maximum rupture load (255.3 ± 10.37 N), followed by Orthocord® (214.22 ± 11.63 N), PDS (141.22 ± 7.62 N), Tevdek® (116.61 ± 1.13 N) and Ethibond® (114.58 ± 1.58 N). These values are in line with those obtained in the present study, which were 240.17 N and 97.98 N, for FiberWire® #2 and Ethibond® #2, respectively [9]. Jhamb et al studied the biophysical properties of the high strength suture materials FiberWire® #2, Orthocord® #2, HiFi® #2, and Ultrabraid® #2, using light microscopy, scanning electron microscopy, and mechanical testing. 10 Different types of sutures without knots were loaded until rupture in a Bionix 858 MTS test device with a 2 kN loading cell to register load displacement curves. The samples tested were 5 cm in length and the speed used in the test was 10 mm/minute. The Ultrabraid® suture presented the maximum strength of 264 N in traction tests, followed by FiberWire® with 238 N, HiFi® with 215 N, and Orthocord® with 212 N. The rupture force for FiberWire® was 240.17 N, and 221.96 N for HiFi®; these values are in line with those obtained by Jhamb et al [10]. The review of these literature reports showed different speeds adopted for suture tensile tests, ranging from 10 mm/minute to 60 mm/minute. The speed of 20 mm/minute is at a level between these values and so it was adopted by us. We believe that this small speed difference had no relevance in the results.

It has been shown that the superiority of polyethylene, polyester and PDS mixed sutures (FiberWire® #2 and HiFi® #2) over braided, multifilament polyester sutures covered with polybutylate (Ethibond® #5 and #2). Fiber Wire® #2 and HiFi® #2 presented, respectively, a mean rupture strength of 240.17 N and 213.38 N; while Ethibond® #5 resisted until 207.38 N and Ethibond® #2, until 97.98 N. No suture material presented a tensile strength higher than that described in the literature for the coracoclavicular ligaments. However, the present study did not use sutures similar to in vivo coracoclavicular binding techniques, but assays with isolated suture samples fixed in metal claws [11].

Therefore, new tensile studies must be performed with biomechanical models representing the anatomy of the shoulder and of the coracoclavicular ligaments in a simplified way, allowing a better comparison between the resistance of the ligaments and bindings with suture materials.

3. Conclusion

The more recent braided non absorbable polyester sutures are superior to the braided conventional polyester sutures. Among the sutures tested, the most resistant to tensile forces is FiberWire® #2, followed by HiFi® #2. Ethibond® #5 and Ethibond® #2 were shown to be less resistant, the latter being the least resistant.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

None.

References

- [1] Nordin M, Frankel VH. Basic biomechanics of the musculoskeletal system. 3rd ed. Rio de Janeiro: Guanabara Koogan. 2003.
- [2] Moore KL, Dalley AF. Clinic-oriented anatomy. 4th ed. Rio de Janeiro: Guanabara Koogan. 2005.
- [3] Mazzocca AD, Santangelo SA, Johnson ST, Rios CG, Dumonski ML, Arciero RA. A biomechanical evaluation of an anatomical coracoclavicular ligament reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med.* 2006; 34 (02): 236-246.
- [4] Fukuda K, Craig EV, an KN, Cofield RH, Chao EY. Biomechanical study of the ligamentous system of the acromioclavicular joint. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1986; 68(03): 434-440.
- [5] Najibi S, Banglmeier R, Matta J, Tannast M. Material properties of common suture materials in orthopaedic surgery. *Iowa Orthop J.* 2010; 30: 84-88.
- [6] Swan KG Jr, Baldini T, McCarty EC. Arthroscopic suture material and knot type: an updated biomechanical analysis. *Am J Sports Med.* 2009; 37(08): 1578-1585.
- [7] Harris RI, Wallace AL, Harper GD, Goldberg JA, Sonnabend DH, Walsh WR. Structural properties of the intact and the reconstructed coracoclavicular ligament complex. *Am J Sports Med.* 2000; 28(01): 103-108.
- [8] Wüst DM, Meyer DC, Favre P, Gerber C. Mechanical and handling properties of braided polyblend polyethylene sutures in comparison to braided polyester and monofilament polydioxanone sutures. *Arthroscopy.* 2006; 22(11): 1146-53.
- [9] Wright PB, Budoff JE, YehML, Kelm ZS, Luo ZP. Strength of damaged suture: an in vitro study. *Arthroscopy.* 2006; 22(12): 1270-5.e3.
- [10] Jhamb A, Goldberg J, Harper W, Butler A, Smitham PJ, Walsh WR. String theory: an examination of the properties of "high strength" suture materials. In: Annual Scientific Meeting of the Australian Orthopaedic Association. 2007.
- [11] Leandro CG, Dagoberto de OC, C A A, Gabriela L M R S C, S C S J. *Rev Bras Ortop.* 2019; 54(3): 247.